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Physical Activity and Mental Health in a Student Population.

Abstract

Background
A growing body of literature indicates that physical activity can have beneficial effects on mental health. However, previous research has mainly focussed on clinical populations, and limited research has been conducted on the psychological effects of physical activity in those without clinically defined disorders.

AIMS
The present study investigates the association between physical activity and mental health in an undergraduate university population based in the United Kingdom.

Method
One hundred students completed questionnaires measuring their levels of anxiety and depression using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and their physical activity regime using the Physical Activity Questionnaire (PAQ).

Results
Significant differences were observed between the low, medium and high physical activity groups on the mental health scales, indicating better mental health for those who engage in more physical activity.

Conclusions
Engagement in physical activity can be an important contributory factor in the mental health of undergraduate students.

Declaration of Interest: None
Introduction

The positive relationship between physical activity and health has been well documented (see for example Blair et al., 2001; Department of Health, 2004). Furthermore, the positive alliance between physical activity, mental health and the treatment of mental health problems, has also been demonstrated, culminating in numerous papers and reviews (for example Biddle et al., 2000; Fontaine, 2000; Lawler and Hopker, 2001; Phillips et al., 2003; Dunn et al., 2005; Penedo and Dahn, 2005; Saxena et al., 2005; Stathopoulou et al., 2006). This has resulted in the promotion of physical activity, within health policy and practice, for the improvement of both physical and mental health in the general population.

In terms of the relationship between physical activity and mental health problems, the majority of the evidence exists with regard to depression and anxiety related disorders. In respect to depression, although the range of measures used to define depression makes the comparison between studies problematic, there is a consensus that there is a negative link (Mutrie, 2000). According to Crone et al., (in press) reviews generally conclude that physical activity has a beneficial effect on mild to moderate depression (Lawler and Hopker, 2001; Craft & Perna, 2004; Faulkner and Biddle, 2004; Craft, 2005) and public health recommendations for aerobic physical activity have been found to be an effective treatment for mild to moderate major depressive disorder (Dunn et al., 2005). Research on the effect of physical activity on anxiety has included a number of recent meta-analysis and systematic reviews, for example Long and van Stavel (1995) and Hamer et al., (2005). These too have concluded a negative relationship, particularly for aerobic exercise, on anxiety related disorders. Furthermore, Biddle and Mutrie (2001) argue that the evidence gathered to date suggests that physical activity, and in particular exercise, can be as effective at alleviating anxiety as any other medication free treatment.

Although there has been a known relationship between physical activity and mental health for some time, there still remains a lack of consensus regarding which mechanism is responsible (Crone et al., 2005; 2006). It is thought likely that this is a combination of physiological, biochemical and psycho-social aspects (Biddle and Mutrie, 2001), however, there is also
growing evidence that the actual process of engaging in physical activity (e.g., in providing
distraction from symptoms), rather than the activity itself, is influential in eliciting various
mental health benefits (Faulkner and Sparkes, 1999). Furthermore, involvement in certain
physical activities has been found to have other holistic benefits, for example the opportunity
for social interaction (Crone et al. 2005), psycho-social benefits such as positive emotional
experiences (Carless and Douglas, 2004; Carless and Sparkes, 2008; Crone, 2007), a sense
of achievement (Fogarty and Happell, 2005) and improvements in general mental wellbeing
(La Forge et al., 2002).

Regardless of whether the relationship between physical activity and mental health is fully
understood, previous research does conclude that physical activity does have beneficial
effects on general mental health. Within the Higher Education environment, it is surprising
that little research has explored the potential benefits of physical activity on a cohort of
individuals who are at risk of mental health problems, i.e., University students. Of the few
studies that have been conducted, Ahmadi et al. (2002) reported that engaging in body
building and swimming reduced scores on the Beck Depression Inventory in female students,
whilst Toscovic (2001) found that students engaging in dynamic Taekwondo also reported
lowers of levels of depression than a control group. Research into the mental health and well-
being of students is particularly important as some studies have identified that anxiety and
depression are a common problem, with students likely to suffer mental health problems
because of concerns regarding their studies (Wardle et al., 2004). Other concerns may
include tuition fees and moving away from home. Furthermore, Harrison (1999) concluded
that students were 1.64 times more likely to experience symptoms of mental ill health than
other young people. Worryingly, one study reported that 60% of undergraduate students had
elevated levels of anxiety and depression (Inam et al., 2003). As a consequence of the
findings from this limited amount of research with a university population, and of the
increasing numbers of young people attending university in the United Kingdom, this study
aimed to investigate self-reported levels of anxiety and depression and compare these with
self-reported physical activity behaviour, in a UK based University.
Method

Participants

One hundred undergraduate university students (male = 20; female = 80) were recruited via opportunity sampling from the Faculty of Education, Humanities and Sciences at the University of Gloucestershire. Ninety percent were traditional age students (mean age 20.4 years) whilst ten percent were older (mean age 35 years). All spoke English as their first language and none had any physical impairment which would have hindered their ability to engage in physical activity.

Materials

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)
The HADS (Zigmond & Snaith, 1983) is a fourteen item self-report questionnaire with seven questions relating to anxiety (e.g., I get sudden feelings of panic) and seven questions relating to depression (e.g., I feel as if I am slowed down). Scoring is based on a 4 point likert scale (0=Not at all; 1=Not very much; 2=Quite a lot; 3=Definitely). The maximum score for each subscale is 21. For both subscales, scores of 0-7 indicate the absence of clinical symptoms of anxiety and depression, scores of 8-10 indicate mild symptoms, 11-14 moderate symptoms and 15-21 severe symptoms. This scale has good psychometric properties in terms of factor structure, inter-correlation and internal consistency (Mykletun et al. 2001). The scale was originally designed to detect elevated levels of anxiety and depression amongst patients in non-psychiatric hospital clinics, and therefore is suitable to use with any population who do not have a clinically defined psychiatric disorder.

Physical Activity Questionnaire (PAQ)
The PAQ (Thirlaway & Benton, 1992) was designed to investigate the effects of physical activity and cardiovascular fitness on mental health and mood and is based on the assumption that the more engagement someone has with aerobic exercises, the more beneficial this will
be. Participants are required to record the details of the physical activity they undertook during the previous four weeks, in terms of type (e.g. running, swimming), frequency (number of times per week) and duration of activity. The total duration of physical activity, per month, was calculated to the nearest minute. In line with Thirlaway and Benton (1992) each type of exercise is then assigned a numerical value which reflects estimated oxygen uptake per minute (estimates based on Cooper, 1970). Strenuous activities (e.g., running, cycling) are assigned a higher numerical value than more sedentary activities (walking, Yoga). The total time spent on an activity is then multiplied by this value to give a total physical activity score.

Results

Depression and Anxiety

The mean anxiety score for the student cohort was 8.5 (S.D. = 3.9; range 1-19). This falls within the mild symptom range. For depression, the mean score was 3.6. (S.D. = 2.7; range 0-14). This falls within the normal range of depressive classification. Considering the percentage of students who fall within the different severity band ratings of anxiety and depression, 40% of students were considered normal on the anxiety subscale and 90% on the depression subscale. Only 6% of students were considered to have severe anxiety, with no students falling into this severity range for depression. See Table 1.

Physical Activity

Participants were separated into three non overlapping groups based on their physical activity score to enable comparisons to be made between physical activity levels and scores on the HADS. See Table 2. The three groups did not differ in age (F=1.6, p=.20).

Insert Table 1 about here

Insert Table 2 about here
Associations between physical activity and mental health

A one-way ANOVA revealed a significant main effect for depression \(F = 11.34, p < 0.001, \) eta = .19. Post hoc t-tests revealed that the high and low group and the high and medium physical activity groups differed significantly (both \(p's = < 0.001\)). The medium and low physical activity groups did not differ significantly (\(p = > 0.05\)). For anxiety, a one-way ANOVA revealed a significant main effect \(F = 43.1, p = < 0.001, \) eta = .47. Post hoc t-tests revealed significant differences between each physical activity group (all \(p's < 0.05\)).

Insert figure 1 about here

Correlational Analysis
Scores on the depression subscale of the HADS and PAQ showed a significant negative correlation \(r = -0.607, n = 100, p = 0.01, \) two-tailed. A similar effect was observed for the anxiety subscale of the HADS \(r = -0.588, n = 100, p = 0.01, \) two-tailed. The effect sizes for the two analyses were \(d = 1.5\) and \(d = 1.4,\) respectively.

Discussion
The purpose of the present study was to examine the relationship between anxiety and depression and physical activity in an undergraduate student population. Results revealed that students who engaged in high levels of physical activity showed significantly lower levels of anxiety and depression than the medium and low physical activity groups. The results from these comparisons are confirmed by the correlational analysis. Treating our cohort as a whole, we found that increased amounts of physical activity were negatively correlated with
self-reported anxiety and depression. That is to say, as physical activity levels increased, self reported levels of anxiety and depression decreased. These findings are consistent with two other studies in this area (Ahmadi et al. 2002; Toskovic, 2001), whilst not being consistent with a third (Mack et al. 2000).

Although the findings of this study are nothing new in that there is a well established literature which shows that physical activity has beneficial consequences for mental health (Biddle et al., 2000; Dunn et al., 2005; Penedo and Dahn, 2005; Saxena et al., 2005; Stathopoulou et al., 2006), this study is one of the few which has explored this issue in a population of University students. Furthermore, most previous studies have considered this issue with clinically defined populations, this study utilised a cohort of participants who were not primarily identified as having any mental health problems.

This study suggests a dose-response relationship between physical activity and mental health, the greater the physical activity the lesser the symptoms of anxiety and depression. However, the issue of the dose –response relationship between physical activity and mental health is an unresolved one. Although many studies have suggested that greater amounts of physical activity are associated with a reduction in depression (e.g., Hassmen et al. 2000) and anxiety (e.g., Steptoe et al. 1989), a review by Dunn et al. (2001) indicates that most of these studies have been either observational or quasi-experimental in nature with no randomised controlled trials having being conducted. Therefore this relationship, although plausible, remains yet to be convincing.

Furthermore, while these results suggest a relationship between greater physical activity and lower levels of depression and anxiety, they do not infer a cause and effect relationship. That is, it is difficult to say that higher levels of depression and anxiety are due to a lack of physical activity. It may be the case that the more depressed and anxious an individual becomes, the less likely they are to engage in physical activity. This suggestion is certainly supported in the case of depression, as depressed people are less likely to want to engage in physical activities (Ussher et al. 2007; Paluska and Schwenk, 2000) and a common symptom of
depression is a loss of interest in regular activities and psychomotor retardation (DSM-IV-TR; APA, 1994). However, our findings of a very low incidence of depression in our student population does not suggest that these symptoms are the cause of a lack of engagement in physical activity. In terms of anxiety, our results in this study suggest that, by engaging in physical activity, individuals may be able to manage levels of anxiety. However, again, increased apprehension and worry may serve to inhibit a person, and prevent them from, for example, attending a gymnasium or exercise class. Our findings of elevated levels of anxiety in our population does suggest that this may be a contributory factor in the lack of engagement in physical activity, although it is also important to note that anxiety comes in many forms, only some of which (e.g. social phobia) may inhibit a student’s engagement.

Previous studies which have looked at the mental health of University students have suggested an increased risk of problems (Wardle et al., 2004; Harrison, 1999; Inam et al. 2003). The current study found that, in terms of anxiety, 60% of students showed elevated levels which does confirm previous findings. Students are faced with multiple stressors (academic work, employment, finances, housing, relationships etc) and so these findings are perhaps not surprising. In terms of depression, only 10% of students showed elevated levels which indicates that this problem is much less prevalent. Indeed, no students were reported to be in the severe category for depression. Certainly though, the issue of potential mental health problems in University students is one which needs to be proactively and continually monitored by academic institutions.

While the present study has revealed a positive link between increased physical activity and mental health promotion, limitations are present. Firstly, the issue of cause and effect requires a more specific analysis. Longitudinal randomised controlled trials are certainly needed to help answer this question. Secondly, as North et al (1990) suggest, the precise factors affecting the lower levels of depression and anxiety in the high physical activity group are important to consider. It remains unresolved whether the differences stem from physiological, biochemical or psycho-social means (Biddle and Mutrie, 2001; Crone et al., 2005), and a clarification of the mechanism of action should be one of the goals of future research.
Furthermore, qualitative research into the perceptions of the role of physical activity in the lives of students for the maintenance of good mental health may also shed light on this relationship. Indeed, qualitative methodology is already making an important contribution to this literature, for people with mental health problems (e.g., Crone & Guy, 2008). Future research on this topic should also consider whether there are different prevalence rates of mental health problems amongst male and female students, and if physical activity affects the mental health of male and female students differently. The current study was limited by having an overrepresentation of female participants.

Finally, our findings contribute to the growing body of literature demonstrating the positive effects of physical activity on mental health. Conclusions should be of interest to students wishing to maintain and promote their mental health while at university, and for universities wishing to safeguard their students emotional well-being through the promotion of physical activity.
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Figure 1 presents the HADS anxiety and depression scores for each physical activity group.
Table 1. Percentage of students falling in each of the severity boundaries of the Anxiety and Depression subscales of the HADS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Anxiety</th>
<th>Depression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Physical Activity score for the low, medium and high groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Physical Activity Score</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low physical activity (N=34)</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>0.56 to 5.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium physical activity (N=33)</td>
<td>68.45132</td>
<td>107.97 to 156.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High physical activity (N=33)</td>
<td>554.94</td>
<td>444.48 to 665.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>