

Citation for published version:

Nasrin Nasr, Beatriz Leon, Gail Mountain, Sharon M. Nijenhuis, Gerdienke Prange, Patrizio Sale, and Farshid Amirabdollahian, 'The experience of living with stroke and using technology: opportunities to engage and co-design with end users', *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, Vol. 11 (8): 653-660, November 2016.

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.3109/17483107.2015.1036469>

Document Version:

This is the Accepted Manuscript version.

The version in the University of Hertfordshire Research Archive may differ from the final published version.

Copyright and Reuse:

This manuscript version is made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Enquiries

If you believe this document infringes copyright, please contact Research & Scholarly Communications at rsc@herts.ac.uk



**The experience of living with stroke and using technology:
opportunities to engage and co-design with end users**

Journal:	<i>Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology</i>
Manuscript ID:	TIDT-09-2014-001.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Research
Keywords:	Experience-centred design, home-based rehabilitation, user requirements, cultural probes, in-depth interviews, Robotic rehabilitation, stroke rehabilitation

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Implications for rehabilitation

- An understanding of how stroke survivors make sense of their experiences of living with stroke is needed to design home-based rehabilitation technologies.
- Linking stroke survivors’ goals, motivations, behaviour, feelings and attitude to user requirements prior to technology development has a significant impact on improving the design.

For Peer Review

Introduction

Upper limb rehabilitation is strongly needed especially in the early stages following stroke. Research into motor relearning and processes of cortical reorganization after stroke has demonstrated that regular repetitive exercises support restoration of arm function [1, 2]. However, the exercises should be meaningful, personalised and goal-directed [3]. Robotic technology has the potential to provide people with stroke with the opportunity to independently perform intensive and repetitive exercises [4, 5]. One of the major advantages of technology-supported rehabilitation interventions such as those provided through robotics is that the technology enables users to perform exercises in their own homes thereby assisting them to have more active roles in their care. Facilitation of self-directed exercising provides opportunities for distributed practice sessions and variations within practice which are deemed to be more beneficial for the improvement of arm function [6]. Moreover, people with stroke are free to choose the number and length of practice sessions at their own convenience which is more likely to result in enhancement of performance and retention of tasks [7]. Although the significance of home-based rehabilitation technology has been underscored in the literature, the challenges of creating appropriate applications for the home environment are yet to be adequately addressed. Most commercially available rehabilitation robotics systems are bulky, large and expensive and are for use in clinical rather than home settings.

The challenges faced by technology developers in creating home based rehabilitation robotic systems mirrors the failure of most technology initiatives during the implementation phase in real situations [8] and is attributed to many factors including technical, behavioural, economical, organisational, policy and legislation [9]. There are still practical problems with translating a technology concept into real practice. Determinants that influence the

1
2
3 implementation of technology applications vary with the type of technology under
4
5 consideration. However, involvement of end users and professionals as potential users of
6
7 technology in the design process is crucial in determining how the resultant device is
8
9 perceived and fits into people's lives [10]. This emphasis upon how users' perspectives can
10
11 be used to develop and evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of novel technologies has
12
13 been accompanied by interdisciplinary and pragmatic research, where users are partners in
14
15 the design and development process. Rather than focusing on functional dimensions, user-
16
17 driven approaches focus on how people make sense of their experiences including their
18
19 experiences of using technologies in everyday life and hence support the importance of deep
20
21 and meaningful dialogue with users [10]. This user driven knowledge provides researchers
22
23 with new conceptual tools for understanding complex conditions such as stroke and the
24
25 potential role that technologies might play in the management of these conditions.
26
27
28

29
30 This approach continues to command the attention of researchers within health and social
31
32 sciences as well as technology and design fields. For example, the potential of new
33
34 technology has been previously used to design and develop stroke rehabilitation prototypes
35
36 [11, 12]. A recent review of users' perspectives about virtual reality games for stroke
37
38 rehabilitation showed the importance of incorporating user experiences into the design
39
40 process [13].
41
42
43

44
45 This paper reports mainly the findings of the first phase of an interdisciplinary project called
46
47 the Supervised Care and Rehabilitation Involving Personal Tele-robotics SCRIPT, funded
48
49 partially by the European commission and involves researchers from several European
50
51 countries. The first phase of the study examined the outcome of initial engagement with the
52
53 potential users of a to-be-designed robotic technology for home-based rehabilitation of the
54
55 hand and wrist following stroke. The aims of this first phase of the study were twofold: 1) to
56
57
58

1
2
3 understand users' experience of living with stroke and with technology in their home, 2) to
4
5 envision their relationships with their social and physical environment and with the to-be-
6
7 designed technology. Furthermore, the paper reports the findings of the initial evaluation of
8
9 the very early prototype with the users and their feedback on the system requirements.
10

11 12 13 **Methodology**

14
15 A hybrid of well-established health services research and user-engagement in design methods
16
17 were used to capture views of people with stroke and their carers in three different European
18
19 countries: the United Kingdom (UK), Italy and the Netherlands (NL). Data were collected
20
21 through application of qualitative methods such as in-depth interviews as well as using
22
23 diaries and photography activities. The first prototype system was evaluated using a
24
25 participatory approach.
26
27

28 29 30 **Participants**

31
32 A sample of ten households (ten stroke survivors and eight carers) was purposefully selected
33
34 [14] to participate in the study (see Table 1 for details). The purpose was to select cases to
35
36 yield rich and in-depth understanding of their experiences of stroke and technology rather
37
38 than to generalise the findings. We were not looking for extreme, deviant, typical and
39
40 representative cases but for a diversity of users to provide designers with detailed knowledge
41
42 about their experiences. We used criterion sampling strategy [14] to select the participants
43
44 based on our research questions. The criteria included time since stroke that is the target
45
46 group for participation were people six months post stroke at the chronic stage and aged
47
48 between 18 and 80 years old. Other inclusion criteria were having hand function to some
49
50 extent, with no cognitive or other psychological or physical impairments that would hinder
51
52 them from giving informed consent or participating in the proposed research activities. This
53
54 criterion was of importance as the focus of the to-be-designed technology was to encourage
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 physical functional exercises for hand and wrist following stroke. Participants were required
4
5 to live in the community, with a family or friend closely involved in their care. They also
6
7 needed adequate language skills in order to understand and express themselves verbally in
8
9 order to be able to engage in the proposed research and to provide designers with a clear
10
11 picture of their lives. Carers were recruited once the person they cared for had agreed to
12
13 participate in the study and were identified by the person with stroke.
14
15

16
17 Ethical approval was received from the School of Health and Related Research ethics
18
19 committee, University of Sheffield and appropriate approval bodies in each participating
20
21 country. All participants were provided with the study information prior to being asked to
22
23 make a decision about participation, and informed consent and permission to record
24
25 interviews and discussions was then obtained prior to them taking part. The identity of the
26
27 participants was anonymised.
28
29

30
31
32 *Insert table 1 about here*
33

34 35 **Methods**

36
37 Participants selected for this study were visited in their homes on two successive occasions.
38
39 The aim of the visits was to better understand the physical, personal and social aspects of
40
41 participants' lives and the context in which they could envision using the proposed
42
43 technology. The data from these visits would enable us to identify the user needs and
44
45 abilities. In order to enhance our understanding of users' experiences, we combined
46
47 traditional qualitative methods e.g. interviews with design approaches named cultural probes
48
49 [15, 16]. A third home visit was made in which the usability of the first prototype was
50
51 evaluated with only two participants.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 We used cultural probes to promote motivational and creative responses and provide clues
4 about participants' lives and thoughts. Their aim was to allow the participants to gather data
5 about their personal lives and their experiences to be discussed later in the interviews [17].
6
7

8
9 Materials provided in the cultural probe pack in this study included a personal diary and a
10 disposable camera to support self-reflection. Participants were given the option to select one
11 or both of these items to record their views and perceptions between two home visits.
12
13
14

15
16
17 On the first visit, we introduced the participants to the cultural probes which were to be left
18 with them for three weeks. Participants were presented with a guide leaflet to prompt them
19 how to use the materials. Diaries prompted the participants to talk about themselves, their
20 families and friends. They included some questions and tasks, like: What would you do in
21 your dream day? And what would you like to receive from your friends and family as gifts?
22 Why? Give a description of a perfect solution that could assist you to achieve your life goals,
23 to support your home-based rehabilitation, to maintain/improve your relationships with
24 family/friends and to assist you to accommodate to your new roles.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Participants were also asked to take photos based on two given assignments: A comfortable
37 place in their homes and something they wanted to get rid of. The rest of the photos were
38 unassigned and the choice of the target was subjective according to participants' decisions
39 what they were willing to capture in their photos.
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 On the second visit, three weeks later, the content of the completed probe packs were
47 discussed with the goal of establishing further empathy with the participants. The content of
48 the probe packs including the photos were used as prompt to conduct one-to-one interviews
49 with people with stroke and carers.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Analysis

Cultural probes are often criticised for the lack of comprehensive data and prescribed analysis as the probe findings have detailed and fragmented nature [16]. We discussed the content of diaries and photos with the participants to facilitate the interviews. The qualitative data generated from the interviews were analysed using thematic analysis [18]. First we identified themes that were related to an individual interview account. Then we examined the interview accounts systematically and compared them in terms of similarities and differences. This resulted in themes that were concerned with our research question. The emerged themes were supported by the extracts from the participants' full interview accounts, which were produced by verbatim transcript.

Results

Cultural probes and interviews

All participants from the UK, the NL and Italy chose to use both diaries and photography activities in the probe packs but some of them did not complete all the activities involved. The photos reflected different aspects of their lives. For example, some photos told us about their relationships (e.g. photos of partners and other members of family), their home environment (e.g. photos of their gardens, kitchen, bathroom, living room, furniture, mobility devices, and used technologies), their hobbies (e.g. wood works, cooking and playing guitar), and their social activities (e.g. visiting family and friends).

When looking at Steven's photo album, there was a picture of his wheelchair that he wanted to get rid of and a few pictures of his living room where he spends most of his time watching television. Similarly, Alberto expressed his dislike of his exercise bicycle because he is not able to use it anymore and Beatrice and Sem wanted to get rid of their wheelchair and

1
2
3 computer, respectively. This activity led participants to reflect on their stroke as a relative
4
5 experience and to compare their situations with the past.
6
7

8
9 The unassigned photos provided further insight into the participants' experiences of stroke.
10
11 Lore's album included a range of photos of her going shopping with her mobility scooter,
12
13 doing gardening and relaxing on a chair in the garden. For Sem, photos of his partner as his
14
15 main support, his bike, his chair, and his brainteasers depicted his story of stroke. David took
16
17 a number of photos that showed the importance of woodwork, gardening and playing guitar
18
19 for him after stroke. Cristina took photos of herself while performing rehabilitation exercises
20
21 and doing her make-up. She took photos of the bedroom and living room as comfortable
22
23 places in her home. When reviewing the photos, she was asked why she had taken all the
24
25 photos of herself, and she responded that she had developed an appreciation of how
26
27 everything has changed since she had a stroke:
28
29

30
31
32 'Everything changed, even my living conditions. I live in my house, but I could not stay
33
34 alone, so my daughter and my son-in-law have moved here. For me, it was a big change
35
36 because I love to stay alone.' (Cristina)
37
38

39
40 In addition, the diary acted as a tool for participants to communicate better with the
41
42 researchers and complemented the interview data. The heterogeneity of responses provided
43
44 us with a multifaceted picture of potential users of technology who have a range of attitudes
45
46 and expectations. For example, in the diary participants described a perfect or dream day in
47
48 different ways. While David would play guitar and go for a walk with his grandchildren, a
49
50 dream day for Sem would be a day free from planning and thinking about which obstacles he
51
52 might face during the day. Cycling, going out, having fun, car driving and going on holiday
53
54 are the features of Lore's dream day and Nick and Silvio described their dream days as
55
56 follow:
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 'I would go out in the country side and enjoy a good long walk.' (Nick)
4

5
6 'Having a normal life, doing things like driving the car, taking the train, listening to music,
7
8 to be able to have and follow a conversation, be able to walk and to make plans for the
9
10 future.' (Silvio)
11
12

13
14 In the diary, participants were asked to describe gifts they wish to receive from friends and
15
16 family. Some of these imaginary gifts were practical like Lore who wanted to receive
17
18 flowers, Sem who wanted a macro-lens for his photo camera to use it for close-up photos of
19
20 plants, or Nick who wanted to receive an iPad as it is simpler to use than a laptop. However,
21
22 others envisioned the practical values of imaginary technologies. For example, David wished
23
24 for a pair of shoes to enable him to walk with his family and friends. Sem wished for
25
26 "appreciation and respect" as perfect gift from families and friends. Lore wished for everyone
27
28 to act normally around her. The following quotes show how Alberto and his wife describe
29
30 their favourite gifts:
31
32

33
34
35 'A car with an automatic drive to be more autonomous and three cartons of cigarettes so
36
37 that I could smoke in freedom.' (Alberto)
38

39
40
41 'More involvement in everyday life, even a phone call to escape from the routine. I don't
42
43 care for something material, because the presence of people who care for you represents
44
45 already a gift.' (Sofia)
46
47

48
49 Participants envisioned perfect solutions that could assist them to achieve their goals. David
50
51 wants to have every machine available for rehabilitation in the clinic at home at his disposal.
52
53 Similarly, Sem believes assistive devices with the capacity to provide feedback on progress
54
55 could be a perfect solution to support his home-based rehabilitation. The following excerpt
56
57 shows Alberto's idea of a solution to support home-based rehabilitation:
58
59

1
2
3 'An efficient device, which can improve my condition without provoking terrible pain and
4
5 that I can handle by myself, without the help of somebody else.' (Alberto)
6
7

8 **User requirements** 9

10
11 The data obtained from the cultural probes and interviews was analysed and the following
12
13 themes emerged:
14

15 *Network of relationships* 16

17
18 Participants' relationships with others and how the stroke changed their relationships and
19
20 their personal and social roles is an important topic in their interview accounts. The cultural
21
22 probes provided insights into the participants' relationships with others. For example, they
23
24 compared their present situation with past times before stroke:
25
26
27

28
29 '(After I had the stroke) It was terrible, because I considered myself useless, worthless
30
31 compared to the person I was before. It (my life) changed because I lost the relationships
32
33 with the people I had known and I had cared for. This happened because I felt invalid and
34
35 unable to keep these relationships. So, it was even my fault.' (Antonio)
36
37

38
39 'Everyone is different with a stroke. It does devastate your social life.' (Janet)
40
41

42
43 The findings of the study also showed that changes in roles and responsibilities could create
44
45 negative feelings of frustration and stress for stroke survivors and their family carers.
46
47

48
49 'We (David and his wife) have been very near to blows because of the stroke. I push her
50
51 and she pushes me, I don't mean literally but I have a stick and I have been very near to
52
53 using it out of frustration. But the same goes for her, she is frustrated because I am like
54
55 this, I can't move without her.' (David)
56
57

1
2
3 The following excerpt demonstrates how stroke could also affect relationships in positive
4
5 ways:
6
7

8 'Your life just suddenly changes, suddenly he wasn't independent anymore. ... He
9
10 changed personality, but in a good way. He is now more cheerful, has to laugh about
11
12 things more, and makes more jokes. He really enjoys life now.' (Ava)
13
14
15

16 Hence, the experience after stroke is used as a process in which the stroke survivor creates
17
18 new identities in relation to self and others.
19
20

21 The findings also suggest that the person with stroke is involved in a network of relationships
22
23 and his/her decision to use a home-based rehabilitation system is influenced by those who are
24
25 part of this network such as friends, family, health professionals and peer groups. This was
26
27 stressed in Sem's diary where he pointed out the importance of receiving feedback on
28
29 progress and recovery from stroke:
30
31
32

33
34 '(It is important) the confirmation of family and friends, therapists and fellow stroke
35
36 sufferers, that they say you are on a good way or you are doing well.' (Sem)
37
38
39

40 *Attitudes towards technology*

41
42 People can experience different emotions in their interactions with technology and they are
43
44 believed to have different effects on their behaviour [23]. These emotions can be induced by
45
46 the product's quality, the meaning attached to the product, the interaction with the product,
47
48 the function facilitated by the product, the impact of product on ourselves and the effects of
49
50 other people's reactions to the product.
51
52
53

54 The findings of the study showed that participants with technology experience before the
55
56 stroke tend to have positive attitudes towards new technologies. They usually feel that their
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 abilities are not as good as before but there are willing to try new technologies and have
4
5 hopes that they could help them to regain their lost capabilities. For example, Nick – who
6
7 enjoys using his computer, phone, camera and playing video games - is content when using
8
9 different kind of technologies which have positive effects on his behaviour. He is motivated
10
11 to use these technologies as they give him some degree of independence. The following
12
13 excerpts demonstrate other participants' opinions:
14
15

16
17 'I trust technology; there are great progresses in certain areas. Therefore, I think that
18
19 technology could give great results even for my problems' (Antonio)
20
21

22
23 'I trust in new technologies and I really (have) hopes in its help. I would like to be able to
24
25 use both hands' (Silvio).
26
27

28 *Skills and experiences*

29

30
31 The participants' capabilities to use a rehabilitation system at home were also studied. They
32
33 had different levels of impairment on their affected arm and hand. Although some presented
34
35 good shoulder and elbow activity, the majority had difficulties with hand movements,
36
37 specially grasping small objects when fine manipulation is required. A rehabilitation system
38
39 should therefore take into account the level of impairments of the users and address the
40
41 movements that they need to improve.
42
43
44

45
46 Apart from different physical capabilities, the participants also had different levels of
47
48 knowledge about computers that also need to be taking into account when designing the
49
50 system. There is a wide range of users: from experienced technology users that are familiar
51
52 with modern devices and enjoy playing computer games, to people that do not even use a
53
54 simple mobile phone. Therefore, the rehabilitation system should be simple enough and have
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 as well different levels of complexity to motivate experienced users rather than creating
4
5 negative feelings of frustration and or boredom.
6
7

8 *Motivations*

9
10
11 The reasons why the user will feel motivated to use a rehabilitation system were also
12
13 identified as a significant factor which had a great impact on participants' behaviours.
14
15

16
17 In the case of stroke survivors, the motivation to regain control on their arm and hand
18
19 movements is usually very strong as it will allow them to be independent and regain
20
21 confidence in their own body. Therefore, they are usually interested in using rehabilitation
22
23 systems that will help them continue their training and improve their capabilities.
24
25

26
27 The following excerpts exemplify participants' views when asked if they would consider
28
29 using rehabilitation technologies:
30
31

32 'If it's needed, of course you would do that! If you like to make your life better, you will
33
34 do everything you can do for it.' (Sem)

35
36
37
38 'It's very good to use technologies for rehabilitation. It's better/more fun to train with a
39
40 device, so that's very good.' (Lore)

41
42
43
44 'Yes, but only if it is not a torture' (Alberto)

45
46
47 Also, they expressed their opinions about using robotic devices:

48
49
50 'You don't have the idea you are actually training, when you are e.g. playing a game with
51
52 a robotic device.' (Sem)

Goals

Identifying participants' goals and relate them to the to-be-designed technology was central in this study. Goals are meaningful and challenging activities that the person with stroke is trying to achieve or regain after stroke [19]. Goals also represent the user's motivation for performing the task associated with using a specific product [20]. Their identification is important as they should be the focus of the product's design determining how the product looks, behaves, operates and feels.

The participants described activities that they would like to do but they feel they are unable to perform as a result of the stroke. They described general goals like being able to improve hand function, doing daily activities and reaching, grasping and holding objects. They also focused on specific goals that are more personal and related to their personal interests. A summary of the participants' goals before and after stroke is presented in table 2.

Insert table 2 about here

Participants compared their current goals with the past and provided accounts that showed how the stroke was perceived as a relative experience. For example, while some participants tried to regain their goals before the stroke, others reframed their expectations and created new and more realistic goals. For example, Alberto was very active before his stroke and enjoyed sailing and repairing boats. However, after the stroke he has developed a new goal. He regularly takes part in a mosaic workshop in order to learn how to do mosaic work with one hand. While Sem enjoyed cycling, photography and playing tennis before his stroke, he performs the same activities after the stroke in a different context and with more careful planning. For example, after the stroke he plays tennis with other stroke survivors in a stroke support group. However, Cristina seeks to regain her goal before stroke. She wants to be able to drive again as she enjoyed driving before her stroke.

System requirements

The information collected with the cultural probes and interviews was analysed to identify main issues concerned with target users. The next step was to envision and define the requirements of a home rehabilitation system which meets the goals and needs of stroke survivors. Table 3 shows a few examples of how we inspired by users' needs to envision system requirements. The to-be-designed system was envisioned as a customised hand orthotic device in conjunction with a user interface and therapeutic gaming environment which is remotely supervised by a healthcare professional using an offline therapy portal, developed for home rehabilitation of the hand and wrist following stroke. We grouped the requirements into four aspects: the usability of the system, the requirements for rehabilitation training, the feedback on training performance, and the requirements to ensure users' motivation. We initially evaluated the first prototype system with David and his wife Janet and with Steven and his wife Laura.

Insert table 3 about here

Usability of the system

Taking into account the different levels of user skills, the system should be simple to start and operate allowing it to be used for people with little or no computer experience. Also, the system should be usable by both left and right sided affected patients and should be possible to be used by the stroke patients without the direct assistance of a healthcare professional at their homes. The system should fit their home environment and not interfere with other furniture or equipment such as a wheelchair. During the interviews, the participants were very open to accommodate the system at their homes:

1
2
3 'If it's needed, we really like to train at home. It's no problem to offer some space to place
4
5 an extra device for training in ou home.' (Sem)
6
7

8
9 'It's no problem to place extra devices in the living room, even for a longer time. You
10
11 want to do everything to have more arm and hand function. And I think this also concerns
12
13 all other patients after stroke. ... Besides, it's important to use the device by yourself (put
14
15 the device on and off), without help from someone else to be more independent.' (Lore)
16
17

18 *Training for stroke rehabilitation*

19
20 Different aspects of the therapy should be considered when designing a system using games
21
22 for rehabilitation, such as type of movements, game adaptation and therapy safety. The
23
24 movements available in the games should train normal movement patterns needed for daily
25
26 activities, promote active participation of the arm and hand, promote frequent movement
27
28 repetition, offer different movements in one training session and train also movement
29
30 coordination. Additionally, the games should provide training from gross to fine
31
32 manipulation, which includes training of grasping gestures used in daily living given that this
33
34 is one of the main goals desired by the stroke survivors. This is exemplified by the following
35
36 expression:
37
38
39
40

41
42 'You concentrate enough on that hand and I wanted that hand back that much with playing
43
44 guitar, and I got my thumb to move in bed when I was in hospital, just a twitch and I knew
45
46 it was made contact and from there on I got it open every one finger at a time. Took
47
48 months and months but it worked and now I can play tune and I can strum me guitar. I say,
49
50 I couldn't bend that arm but it takes time and willpower up here, and believe me you've
51
52 got to motivate people to think like that'. (David)
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The games should be adaptable to the capabilities of each user. They should be challenging,
4 so not too difficult but also not too easy that they become boring. This can be achieved at
5 different levels, for example changing the assistance that the orthosis is given or changing the
6 difficulty of the game such as increasing or decreasing its speed.
7
8

9
10
11
12 The safety of the therapy is an important aspect to take into account. The system should be
13 designed to prevent further injuries or complications such as increasing the users' spasticity
14 or changing secondary tissue because they are training with the wrong strategy. Direct
15 involvement of healthcare professionals during the design of the system is a requirement to
16 ensure the training would be safe and appropriate for post-stroke users.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 25 *Feedback on performance*

26
27
28 In order to increase users' motivation, different feedback of their performance should be
29 available in the system. This was emphasized by one of the participants:
30
31

32
33 ' (Using) a device you can have a kind of reward, a challenge, but the results should be
34 shown very soon to be motivating. You should not wait too long before you receive
35 feedback. It's also nice to have an overview of progress of the last time (e.g. progress
36 during the last weeks). However, personal contact stays important, not only results shown
37 on a device!' (Sem)
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 The feedback should be presented during the game to give information about the user current
47 performance and to encourage them to try to achieve their goals. After the games, a summary
48 of the performance should be immediately presented and there should be an option to review
49 general summaries of the performance accessible at any time.
50
51
52
53
54

55
56 The feedback presented should not only include information about the game performance
57 such as the scores achieved, but also information relevant to improvements in their arm and
58
59
60

1
2
3 hand capabilities such as changes in their range of motion or the number of repetitions
4
5 performed for each movement.
6
7

8
9 It is important that the performance of the users is constantly reviewed by a healthcare
10 professional, so the feedback should also be available for them to review and change the
11 training program accordingly such as the games available and their difficulty.
12
13
14

15 16 *Motivational aspects* 17

18
19 As it was shown by the cultural probes, the stroke survivors have a strong motivation to use
20 rehabilitation systems that will allow them to improve their capabilities. However, in order to
21 motivate the users to continuously play the games, the system should be designed in such a
22 way that it keeps the user motivated taking into account the users' differences. This was
23 clearly pointed out by one of the participants:
24
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 'They (stroke survivors) will have the motivation by themselves, but the use of
32 technological devices in rehabilitation might also be motivating. It will be more
33 challenging with the use of devices. Furthermore, competition (training with other
34 patients), and training with games/play-like might be very important. Also, the movements
35 you are training should be meaningful. You have to think: if I do a certain movement
36 again and again, maybe in future I can do this and that.' (Sem)
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 Using games to train different movements could be a good way to motivate the patients to do
47 the therapy instead of asking them to perform a predefined set of exercises. In order to
48 achieve this, the games should be simple, fun, engaging, tailored and encouraging. There
49 should be various games that the users can choose according with their preferences. They
50 should be interesting for men and women as well as for all age groups. Also, they should
51 provide different levels according with the users capabilities and be adaptable so they can be
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 played by different patients. Also, they should be available in different languages so users
4
5 from different countries can use the system. David expresses his view about motivation in the
6
7 following excerpt:
8
9

10 'So you've got to find a way of saying how can we get people interested in using that
11 system, and you've got to get them interested 'cause if the interest isn't there then they'll
12 not take to it, will they? For example, you know get some music to match what the user is
13 doing, it's all motivation, and the simpler the better'. (David)
14
15
16
17
18

19
20 An important aspect that was pointed out by many participants was their concerns about the
21 lack of interaction from a real therapist having the system at home. Steven expressed his
22 concerns in the following excerpt:
23
24
25

26
27
28 'There seems to be so little out there and I'm worried that people are going to feel more
29 isolated' (Steven)
30
31
32

33
34 Therefore, having constant interaction with a real healthcare professional is very important to
35 keep the users motivated. This interaction can be provided through an interface that allows
36 the user to contact the professional through messages (using text or video) to ask any
37 questions or concerns they might have about the system. This interface will also give the
38 professional the opportunity to remotely interact with the users to offer them instructions,
39 advice and motivation.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Receiving feedback on progress could be linked to the motivational aspects of rehabilitation.
49 Feedback and motivation could also be related to users' relationships with family members
50 and friends. While some participants seemed to be motivated with scores as a means of
51 receiving feedback on their progress, others believed that effective feedback may take more
52 than one form. Users of different backgrounds can become motivated when they receive
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 meaningful and culturally-responsive feedback. For example, involving a family member or
4
5 friend in the rehabilitation training at home might increase motivation and encourage
6
7 engagement. This is demonstrated by the following expression:
8
9

10 'You know when my brother comes in I show off, it makes me show off 'cause he's seen
11
12 what I was like when I first come out of hospital, I couldn't get out of this wheelchair and
13
14 when I walking again a bit better, course I've nearly fallen over like but that's beside the
15
16 point, I'm motivated knowing. ... There's nothing more good in my opinion for a stroke
17
18 victim is to know people care'. (David)
19
20
21
22

23 At the end of the first and second home visits we were quite optimistic that we have reached
24
25 an agreed understanding with the users about the issues they face day to day when living with
26
27 stroke. We identified their life goals, their attitudes and capabilities towards technology and
28
29 the acceptability of technology. These individual data provided rich description of the
30
31 potential users of technology and helped designers capture key aspects of their lives,
32
33 activities and situations in which the to-be-designed technology will operate. The findings
34
35 informed the design of the first prototype which was initially tested with two participants who
36
37 were also involved in the first phase of the study.
38
39
40
41

42 **Evaluation of the prototype**

43
44 We evaluated the first prototype by using a participatory design approach which is used at
45
46 the early stage of system development and involves users by encouraging them to think
47
48 aloud about the structure, functionality, usability and acceptability of the system [21]. The
49
50 formative evaluation comprises a series of tasks prepared by the researchers and are
51
52 presented to the users. Users are asked to perform the tasks, narrate their ideas, think aloud
53
54 and ask the evaluator for advice where they are unsure what to do. Users are allowed to
55
56 make mistakes and the researchers ask questions to find out about the nature of usability
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 problem. Participants' interactions with the devices are audio and video recorded upon
4
5 permission from the participants.
6
7

8
9 We took the system to David's and Steven's house to elicit their views on the system
10
11 requirements and to find out whether the proposed system would be perceived valuable by
12
13 them. We aimed to find out how easy/difficult the system is to use by them and to
14
15 understand what problems the system poses and how these problems could be improved. The
16
17 outcomes of the first evaluation were fed back to the developers, and alterations were made
18
19 to the design for the next iteration of the prototype. A few major usability problems were
20
21 identified and the participants suggested that the next iteration of the system should consider
22
23 the following solutions:
24
25

26 27 **Compact**

28
29
30 David and Steven both live in small semi-detached houses with small living rooms. We set
31
32 up the system in their living rooms but they believed that the system was too bulky and
33
34 unmanageable in people's homes. David suggested that users should be able to simply
35
36 connect the system to their own TV and play the games. He was concerned that the current
37
38 system would be in the way when they have visitors especially when their grandchildren
39
40 visit them.
41
42
43

44 45 **Easy to use and operate**

46
47 David and Steven managed to put on the hand orthosis with the assistance from their carers.
48
49 However, they preferred to be able to don and doff the orthosis independently. They
50
51 managed to start the system with some prompts from the researcher but they found the
52
53 instructions confusing and suggested that some texts should be reworded.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Different formats for providing feedback

The system gives feedback using different formats including scores, graphs and bar charts.

While Steven preferred to receive feedback in the form of simple scores, David believed that people with stroke would not like the idea of graphs and bar charts as a means of receiving feedback. He stated:

‘The person with stroke will realise when they progress by looking at the function of their hands and they gain the sense of improvement through experience by doing the exercises and observing the progress’. (David)

Different types of communication

The system provides the user with an opportunity to communicate with their therapist by sending a text message. This was problematic for Steven because after his stroke he does not leave space between the words and that could be confusing for the recipient of the text message. He suggested that sending an audio or video message to the therapist would be easier for him.

Motivation

They believed that the concept behind the system is very interesting and it is rather simple to use. However, David suggested that there should be a mentor talking to the user and having dialogue with them all through the programme, from the start when the user logs in to the system, through the calibration phase, playing the games, receiving feedback and sending messages. He emphasised the significance of having a personalised human interaction with the user to motivate them to perform the therapeutic games. For example, seeing a therapist talking to the user and instructing them during the programme could be encouraging for

1
2
3 them, the presence of a human agent either a therapist or a mentor could be uplifting for the
4
5 user.
6
7

8 Discussion

9
10 The purpose of this study was to understand the user not only as an individual with plans and
11 goals but also to address their whole experiences including the emotional aspects to provide
12 technology developers with insight into users' values, thoughts and feelings. Qualitative and
13 user-centred design methods such as cultural probes have been used to develop home-based
14 rehabilitation technologies for stroke [19]. These methods provide researchers with insights
15 into the subjectivity of patients' experiences of stroke and of technology and yield detailed
16 empirical knowledge grounded in their personal and social contexts.
17
18

19 The findings of this study help technology developers detect a number of issues that cluster
20 around what is commonly referred to as user requirements. Research related to assistive
21 technology is often dominated by the functionality and usability of systems and fails to
22 recognise experience and human factors that would enhance design and subsequently would
23 have a meaningful impact on people's lived experiences. By adopting a mixed-method of
24 user engagement in design, the study showed useful insight into the experiences of stroke
25 survivors and their carers. The experiential data that emerged transcend the limits of
26 functional user requirements to illustrate a bigger picture that presents a holistic approach to
27 support future design development.
28
29

30 The findings further suggest that the person with stroke is involved in a network of
31 relationships and his/her decision to use a home-based rehabilitation system is influenced by
32 those who are part of this network such as friends, family, health professionals, and peer
33 groups. Hence, the experience of stroke and technology use could be a process that the person
34 with stroke and the carer create new identities in relation to self and others. Being entangled
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 in the network of relationships would create a complex, dynamic, changing and adaptive
4
5 situation [22] that requires continuing meaningful dialogue with the user to encourage
6
7 participation and engagement.
8
9

10
11 This study has important implications when designing home-based rehabilitation technology
12
13 for stroke survivors. Prior to design, designers and developers need to clear any doubts about
14
15 the context in which the to-be designed technology is expected to operate. They should have
16
17 a clear understanding of how stroke survivors make sense of their experiences of living with
18
19 stroke and their perception of using technology. Users' goals, motivations, behaviour, and
20
21 relationships should be identified to not only create desirable rehabilitation technology but to
22
23 enrich the lives of stroke survivors who decide to use them in their homes [10].
24
25
26

27 Owing to the relatively small sample in this study, we are limited to generalise the findings.
28
29 However, the participants were selected purposively and their narratives were used to
30
31 establish a dialogue with the researchers to bring their experiences into the process of design
32
33 as co-designers.
34
35
36

37 The findings of first formative evaluation raised a number of usability issues about the system
38
39 that need to be addressed in future iterations of the system.
40
41
42

43 **Conclusion**

44
45 The findings of the study helped us understand the potential users of a robotic rehabilitation
46
47 technology, their goals, their network of relationships, and the context in which the
48
49 technology is meant to operate. In other words, user characteristics as well as contextual
50
51 characteristics were identified which would play into the process of design and development.
52
53 During next stages of our research, we continue to engage with target users to evaluate and
54
55 implement the prototypes into stroke survivors' homes. This will enable us to continue the
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 process of user-centred and participatory design, while ensuring that development stays close
4
5 to the needs of the potential users.
6
7

8 **Acknowledgements**

9
10
11 We sincerely thank all the participants who agreed to be involved in this study. We are
12
13 thankful to SCRIPT consortium for their support. This work has been partially funded under
14
15 grant FP7-ICT-288698(SCRIPT) of the European Community Seventh Framework
16
17 Programme.
18
19

20 **Declaration of Interest**

21
22 The authors report no conflicts of interest.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- [1] Schaechter JD: Motor rehabilitation and brain plasticity after hemiparetic stroke. *Prog Neurobiol* 2004, 73: 61-72.
- [2] Krakauer JW: Arm function after stroke: From physiology to recovery. *Seminars in Neurology* 2005, 25: 384-395.
- [3] Birkenmeier RL, Pranger EM, Lang CE: Translating animal doses of task-specific training to people with chronic stroke in 1-hour therapy sessions: a proof-of-concept study. *Neurorehabil Neural Rep* 2010, 24:610-635.
- [4] Prange GB, Jannink MJA, Groothuis-Oudshoorn CGM, Hermens HJ, Ijzerman MJ: Systematic review of the effect of robot-aided therapy on recovery of the hemiparetic arm after stroke. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2006, 43:171-183.
- [5] Kwakkel G, Kollen BJ, Krebs HI: Effects of robot-assisted therapy on upper limb recovery after stroke: A systematic review. *Neurorehabil Neural Rep* 2008, 22:111-121.
- [6] Winstein CJ, Campbell SJ: Conditions of task practice for individuals with neurologic impairments. In *A Textbook of Neural Repair and Rehabilitation*. Edited by Selzer ME, Clarke S, Cohen LG, Duncan PW. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006:89-102.
- [7] Shea CH, Lai Q, Black C, Park JH: Spacing practice sessions across days benefits the learning of motor skills. *Hum Movement Sci* 2000, 19:737-760.
- [8] Berg M: Patient care information systems and health care work: a social technical approach. *Int J Med Inform* 1999, 55:87-101.
- [9] Broens THF, Huis in't Veld RMHA, Vollenbroek-Hutten MMR, Hermens HJ, van Halteren AT, Nieuwenhuis LJM: Determinants of successful telemedicine implementations: a literature review. *J Telemed Telecare* 2007,13: 303-309.

- 1
2
3 [10] Wright P, McCarthy J. Experience-centred design: designers, users and communities
4 in dialogue. NY: Morgan and Claypool; 2010.
5
6
7 [11] Torsi S, Nasr N, Wright P, Mawson S, Mountain G: User-centred design for
8 supporting the self-management of chronic illnesses: an interdisciplinary approach. *In*
9 *Proceedings of the second International Conference on Pervasive Technologies related to*
10 *Assistive Environment: 9-13 June 2009; Corfu, Greece. ACM.*
11
12
13
14
15
16 [12] Nasr N, Torsi S, Wright P, Mawson S, Mountain G: Supporting the self- management
17 of stroke by applying a user-centred design approach [abstract]. *Clinical Rehabilitation*
18 2010, 24: 276-287.
19
20
21
22
23 [13] Lewis GN, Woods C, Rosie, JA, McPherson, KM: Virtual reality games for
24 rehabilitation of people with stroke: perspectives from the users. *Disabil Rehabil Assist*
25 *Technol* 2011, 6:453-463
26
27
28
29
30 [14] Patton MQ (1990). *Qualitative Evaluation and Research Methods*. California: Sage;
31 1990.
32
33
34 [15] Gaver W, Dunne T, Pacenti E: Cultural Probes. *Interactions* 1999, 6: 21-29.
35
36 [16] Gaver WW, Boucher A, Pennington S, Walker B: Cultural probes and the value of
37 uncertainty. *Interactions* 2004, Sep/Oct: 54-56.
38
39
40 [17] Mattelmaki T, Battarbee K: Empathy probes. In Proceedings of PDC: 23-25 June
41 2002, Malmo.
42
43
44
45 [18] Coffey A, Atkinson P: Making sense of qualitative data: Complementary research
46 strategies. London: Sage; 1996.
47
48
49 [19] Mawson S, Nasr N, Parker J, Zheng H, Davies R, Mountain G: (2013). Developing a
50 personalised self-management system for post-stroke rehabilitation, utilising a user-
51 centred design methodology. *Disabil Rehabil: Assist Techn* 2013;
52 <http://informahealthcare.com/idt> ISSN 1748-3107 print/ISSN 1748-3115 online.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 [20] Cooper A, Reimann R, Cronin D: About Face 3.0: The essentials of interaction
4 design. Wiley; 2007.
5
6
7 [21] Monk A, Wright P, Haber J, Davenport L: Improving your human-computer interface:
8 A practitioner's guide. Prentice Hall; 1993
9
10
11 [22] Patton M Q: Developmental Evaluation: Applying Complexity Concepts to Enhance
12 Innovation and Use. The Guilford Press: New York; 2011
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Table 1: Participants' characteristics

Country	Name	Age	Gender	Time since stroke	Occupation before the stroke	Carer
UK	David	70	M	5 years	Guitarist	Janet
UK	Steven	60	M	4 years	Business man	Laura
UK	Nick	69	M	2 years	Teacher	Ann
NL	Sem	65	M	6 years	Purchasing assistant	Ava
NL	Lore	67	F	7 years	Data typist	Hendrik
Italy	Alberto	69	M	2 years	Boat mechanic	Sofia
Italy	Antonio	70	M	5 years	Policeman	-
Italy	Silvio	60	M	4 years	Employee of IBM	Cara
Italy	Beatrice	72	F	2 years	Tailor	-
Italy	Cristina	77	F	1 year	Housewife	-

For Peer Review

Table 2: Life goals described by participants

Specific goals before and after stroke		
Participants	Pre-stroke goals	Post-stroke goals
Lore	Gardening, photography, cycling, driving	Improve relationships with family/friends
Sem	Cycling, going on holidays, photography, playing tennis, going to gym	Doing the same things but with careful planning, e.g. playing tennis in a stroke support group
David	Playing guitar	Playing guitar, learning how to do woodwork, going for a walk with grandchildren
Steven	Fishing, playing golf, fundraising	Cooking with his wife support, using computer (key boards and mouse)
Alberto	Sailing, repairing boats	Taking part in a mosaic workshop to do art with one hand
Antonio	Doing sports, driving car	Going to seaside, walking within targeted distance
Silvio	Reading, listening to music, going for a walk, making plans for future	Being able to use both hands
Beatrice	Sewing, cooking	Washing herself, walking the dog, shopping, regain relationships with children
Christina	Driving, shopping,	To be able to drive again
Nick		Going for long walks, spending time with grandchildren, using technology to gain independency

Table 3: Envisioning systems requirements

User requirements	Systems requirements
Motivational reasons to use the system	Simple, easy to use system with fun, engaging and tailored games
The importance of network of relationships	Involving family members in the rehabilitation training and receiving feedback on progress from them
Users' skills, background and experiences	Design solutions tailored to a range of users
Users' goals and the importance of hand function in performing daily activities	Games should provide grasping gestures and promote repetition of movements

For Peer Review