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ABSTRACT

Context. Several models compete to explain the abundance properties of stellar populations in globular clusters. One of the main constraints is the present-day ratio of first- and second-generation stars that are currently identified based on their sodium content.

Aims. We propose an alternative interpretation of the observed sodium distribution, and suggest that stars with low sodium abundance that are counted as members of the first stellar generation could actually be second-generation stars.

Methods. We compute the number ratio of second-generation stars along the Na distribution following the fast rotating massive star model using the same constraints from the well-documented case of NGC 6752 as in our previous developments.

Results. We reproduce the typical percentage of low-sodium stars usually classified as first-generation stars by invoking only secondary star formation from material ejected by massive stars and mixed with original globular cluster material in proportions that account for the Li-Na anti-correlation in this cluster.

Conclusions. Globular clusters could be totally devoid of first-generation low-mass stars today. This can be tested with the determination of the carbon isotopic ratio and nitrogen abundance in turn-off globular cluster stars. Consequences and related issues are briefly discussed.
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1. Introduction

The well-documented O-Na anti-correlation is now accepted as the main chemical characteristic of stellar populations in bona fide globular clusters (GC) both in our Galaxy and in the Local Group (e.g. Carretta et al. 2009a; Mucciarelli et al. 2009; Larsen et al. 2014, and references therein). In these massive and old star clusters, long-lived low-mass stars (LMS) exhibit large and anti-correlated spreads in Na and O abundances. This pattern has not yet been found among field stars covering the same metallicity range as Galactic GCs (but see Carretta et al. 2010 who suggest that ∼1.4% of the field metal-poor stars are likely Na-rich stars evaporated from GCs). It is interpreted as the presence of (at least) two stellar generations in every individual GC. First-generation (1G) stars are defined as those that have Na and O abundances similar to that of halo field stars of similar metallicity. On the other hand, second generation (2G) stars are identified thanks to their Na overabundances and (eventual) O depletion; they are expected to have formed out of the ashes of hot hydrogen burning ejected by more massive, fast-evolving 1G GC stars and mixed with original proto-cluster gas (e.g. Prantzos et al. 2007).

The present-day 1G/2G ratio is only estimated based on abundance criteria (Prantzos & Charbonnel 2006). Considering abundance determination uncertainties, Carretta et al. (2009b) labelled the 1G stars of individual GCs as those characterized by [Na/Fe] ratios falling in the range between [Na/Fe]min and [Na/Fe]min + 0.3 dex, where [Na/Fe]min is the lowest Na abundance derived in each specific GC. All the other GC stars departing from this low Na area are considered 2G stars2. Using their homogeneous spectroscopic study of ∼1400 red giants in 15 Galactic GCs, they showed that the 1G component is present at a constant level of 33 ± 1% in all the Galactic GCs surveyed so far (see also Carretta 2013).

This ratio is a key parameter for the scenario of secondary star formation that aim at explaining the presence of multiple populations and the observed abundance patterns in GCs. It constrains the initial GC mass depending on the invoked 1G polluters, namely the fast rotating massive stars (25–120 M⊙, hereafter FRMS; Maeder & Meynet 2006; Prantzos & Charbonnel 2006; Decressin et al. 2007a; Krause et al. 2013), the massive asymptotic giant branch stars (6–11 M⊙, AGB; Ventura et al. 2001, 2013; D’Ercole et al. 2010; Ventura & D’Antona 2011), as well as the possible contribution of massive binary stars (de Mink et al. 2009; Izzard et al. 2013), and of FRMS paired with AGB stars (Sills & Glebbeek 2010) or with high-mass interactive binaries (Bastian et al. 2013; Cassisi & Salaris 2014). Whatever the actual polluting stars are and assuming “classical” values for the initial mass function (IMF), the observed value of this ratio (33 ± 1%) implies that more than 95% of the 1G LMS were ejected from the GCs whose initial stellar masses were 8–25 times larger than today (Prantzos & Charbonnel 2006; Decressin et al. 2007b, 2010; Carretta et al. 2010; D’Ercole et al. 2010; Schaerer & Charbonnel 2011). Fast gas expulsion has been suggested to solve this so-called mass-budget problem, such that most of the 1G stars would be lost with the gas (e.g. Decressin et al. 2010). However, stellar and

2 Carretta et al. (2009b) further divided the 2G group into an “intermediate” and an “extreme” component (the latter not being present in all the clusters), depending on their O depletion with respect to the highest O abundance observed, i.e. on the [O/Na] ratio.
supernovae feedback is unlikely to accomplish this (accretion onto dark remnants might work however, Krause et al. 2012), and constraints from dwarf galaxies limit the amount of halo stars with GC metallicities (e.g. Larsen et al. 2014).

Here we show that in the FRMS scenario, a large fraction of 2G stars can form with Na and O abundances similar to those of the stars that are presently counted as 1G stars (i.e. low Na and high O similar to that of halo field stars; Sect. 2). We propose observations of the carbon isotopic ratio in turn-off GC stars as a critical test to discriminate between “true” 1G LMS formed from pure original proto-cluster gas and “fake” 1G LMS actually made of a mixture of massive star ejecta and original material, and briefly discuss the case of the other polluter scenarii (Sect. 3). If confirmed, this result would lead to a new paradigm shift in the domain, by considerably alleviating the mass budget problem, and reconciling GC issues with constraints provided by young massive clusters (YMC) and dwarf galaxies (Sect. 4).

2. Theoretical sodium distribution

2.1. FRMS guidelines

The 1G is supposed to form from proto-cluster gas that was already enriched in heavy metals (i.e. iron-group, alpha-, and neutron-capture elements) during the Galactic chemical evolution, and it has an initial (or original) composition similar to that of field stars of similar [Fe/H]. In particular, the lowest Na abundance [Na/Fe]min (see Sect. 1) as well as the highest O abundance observed in a given GC are considered as the original abundances of these elements in the proto-GC gas; the same applies to all the light elements whose abundances are correlated or anti-correlated with that of Na, namely Li, F, C, N, Al, Mg.

Very early in GC evolution after the formation of the 1G, photo-dissociation of molecular hydrogen by Lyman-Werner photons emitted by 1G massive stars is expected to prevent further “classical” star formation from occurring (Conroy & Sporgel 2011; Krause et al. 2013). However, in the FRMS scenario (Prantzos & Charbonnel 2006; Decressin et al. 2007a; Krause et al. 2013), the formation of 2G LMS is expected to occur in the immediate vicinity of polluter stars with initial masses higher than $\approx 25 \, M_\odot$. More precisely, if 1G massive stars have mechanical ejections at or near rotation breakup, massive and gravitationally unstable equatorial discs can form and be fed both by H-burning products ejected by FRMS and by original proto-GC gas. The episode of 2G star formation is expected to last over a period of $\approx 3.5–8.8 \, \text{Myr}$ after the formation of the 1G massive stars, the exact duration depending on the upper mass limit for stars to explode as supernovae or to become black holes.

If substantial amounts of gas were not converted into stars or accreted towards the FRMS when the first energetic SNe explode, this gas should remain in the GC (feedback energy would only suffice to stir turbulence below escape speed) and would likely mix with the SNe ejecta. Because there is no hint for this to occur from LMS in most GCs, more energetic feedback, for example due to accretion onto dark remnants, needs to clear out this gas later, but before it can form stars again. Recent observations suggest even that YMC keep their gas only a few Myr after star formation (Smith et al. 2006; Bastian et al. 2013, 2014; Cabrera-Ziri et al. 2014; Bastian & Strader 2014; Hollyhead et al., in prep.). This could mean that either the feedback energy has been underestimated, or that at least all the strongly gravitationally bound gas is converted into stars. In any case, the timescale of a few Myr after the onset of star formation for gas to be present would still be sufficient for the FRMS ejecta to encounter and mix with such gas, as required by the lithium constraint (see below).

2.2. Sodium abundance distribution of the second stellar generation. Qualitative description

The material ejected by the FRMS presents various degrees of enrichment in H-burning products as the polluters evolve. The polluter stars first release material of original composition. Actually, at the very beginning of the main sequence evolution, the stellar ejecta of the massive stars still contain fragile elements like lithium, beryllium, boron, and fluorine, which are protected from proton-captures in the most external and coolest stellar layers. Then LiBeBF-free material is released but still with Na and O abundances similar to the original abundances. Finally, as stars evolve along the main sequence and the luminous blue variable (LBV) phase, the products of the CNO-cycle and of the NeNa- and MgAl-chains are transported from the core towards the stellar surface and within the slow wind thanks to rotation-induced mixing. Importantly, the presence of the fragile Li and Be (Pasquini et al. 2005, 2007) in the long-lived LMS enriched in Na indicates that the polluters ejecta did mix to various degrees with pristine material to form the 2G stars. In summary, one expects to first form 2G stars with Na and O contents similar to that of the 1G (i.e. “fake” 1G stars), and then 2G stars with various degrees of Na enrichment and O depletion. Note that the maximum time spread of this sequence corresponds typically to the main sequence lifetime of the massive polluters (i.e. 3.5 and 8.8 Myr for the 120 and 25 $M_\odot$ stars with $[\text{Fe/\text{H}}] = -1.56$ respectively).

2.3. Quantitative sodium abundance distribution

To compute the number fraction of 2G LMS born with a specific chemical composition, we follow the method and the assumptions presented in Decressin et al. (2007b). We use the time-dependent ejecta of Decressin et al. (2007a) models for FRMS computed at the metallicity of NGC 6752 $[\text{Fe/H}] = -1.56$ and assume that 100% of the H-processed ejecta are released in slow winds and recycled into 2G. The behaviour of Li with respect to Na observed in this well-studied GC is used to estimate the dilution factor between the material ejected in the slow equatorial stellar winds and original interstellar matter. The amount of material that is made available to form 2G stars is very large. Indeed, Decressin et al. (2007a) FRMS models lose about one third to half of their initial mass along the main sequence and the LBV phase, and roughly the same amount of GC gas of original composition is required to account for the observed Li-Na anti-correlation in NGC 6752.

Figure 1 shows the prediction for the number fraction of 2G stars born with different Na abundances when considering Sapeter (1955) IMF for the FRMS polluters of masses higher or equal to 25 $M_\odot$, and assuming that the 2G consists only of stars with initial masses below or equal to 0.8 $M_\odot$ formed with Paresce & De Marchi (2000) log-normal mass distribution all along the Na abundance. The dilution factor $\alpha$ (Eq. (27) of Decressin et al. 2007b) varies here between 0.95 and $\alpha_{\text{min}} = 0.2$. We take $[\text{Na/Fe}]_{\text{min}} = 0$. The corresponding initial helium abundance distribution successfully explains the lack of Na-rich giants in NGC 6752 (Charbonnel et al. 2013). As expected, numerous 2G stars can form with Na abundances that perfectly overlap that of the stars that are currently considered 1G stars (i.e. with

3 Typically, stellar models with $Z = 0.0004$ and initial masses of 40, 60, and 120 $M_\odot$ do preserve Li in their most external 0.02, 0.018, and 0.036 $M_\odot$ (Ekström et al., priv. comm.).
low Na). Quantitatively, the theoretical proportion of 2G stars with [Na/Fe] between 0 and 0.3 dex, which is the domain generally considered that of 1G stars ( Sect. 1), is 45%. For a dilution factor varying between $\alpha = 0.8$ (instead of 0.95) and keeping $a_{\text{min}} = 0.2$, we obtain 34% of 2G stars with [Na/Fe] between 0 and 0.3 dex.

This result is in striking agreement with the percentage of 1G stars obtained when using the observed [Na/Fe] distribution, i.e. $33 \pm 1$% (Sect. 1). Therefore, one can in principle account for the whole Na abundance range observed today for long-lived LMS by invoking only secondary stellar formation in the neighborhood of FRMS. In other words, GCs could be totally devoid of 1G LMS, and all the LMS we observe today could have formed out of the ejecta of 1G massive stars mixed with original gas in roughly 50–50% proportions. This requires that 100% of the H-processed material released by the FRMS stays with the original gas in roughly 50–50% proportions. This requires that the FRMS material with low Na content is ejected from the GC or not recycled, the stars located in the low Na region of the O-Na anti-correlation would be “true” 1G stars.

3. Observational tests and differences with other scenarios

We propose two observational tests to disentangle between “true” 1G LMS formed from pure original proto-cluster gas and “fake” 1G LMS made of a mixture of 1G massive star ejecta and original material.

3.1. Carbon isotopic ratio

Most of the matter ejected in the slow winds of the FRMS is near CN-equilibrium, i.e. its carbon isotopic ratio (hereafter $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$) is $\sim 3.8$. When considering dilution with original gas that has much higher $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ (here we take the solar value of 90, but the results are consistent with those of Decremsin et al. 2007b, who assumes an initial value of 240; see also Chiappini et al. 2008), one obtains intermediate values. Figure 2 shows the predicted variation of $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ as a function of the Na abundance in the initial composition of 2G stars, under the same assumptions made in Sect. 2.3. Only the 2G stars with the lowest Na abundances are predicted to have high $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$, close to the original ratio at birth. Initial $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C} = 20$ is already predicted for [Na/Fe]$_{\text{lim}} + 0.05$ dex, and all the 2G stars with [Na/Fe] higher than $-[\text{Na}/\text{Fe}]_{\text{lim}} + 0.15$ dex are expected to be born with $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ lower than 15. In contrast, 1G stars with [Na/Fe] between 0 and 0.3 dex would be born with the original high $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$.

The determination of $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ in GC stars in the domain between [Na/Fe]$_{\text{lim}}$ and [Na/Fe]$_{\text{min}} + 0.3$ dex thus appears to be a powerful observational way to distinguish between “true” and “fake” 1G LMS. Note, however, that once LMS evolve towards the red giant branch, their surface $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ decreases from its original value due to dilution of H-processed core material with their external layers (the so-called first dredge-up). Later on, this quantity also decreases when the stars reach the RGB bump, probably due to thermohaline mixing (see e.g. Charbonnel et al. 1998; Gratton et al. 2000; Charbonnel & Zahn 2007; Charbonnel & Lagarde 2010$^4$). Therefore, the suggested observational test has to be performed in stars before the occurrence of the first dredge-up, i.e. in turn-off stars or in only slightly evolved sub-giant stars.

$^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ has actually been determined for a handful of sub-giant stars that span a relatively broad range of Na abundance in NGC 6752 and 47Tuc (Carretta et al. 2005). The observed $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ values range between 3 and 12. According to their position in the GC colour–magnitude diagrams, these stars should not have fully undergone first dredge-up, and must be born with these low $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$. Among them, only a couple have relatively low Na, but the stars with the lowest Na actually have a $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ of the order of 9–12. This is in very good agreement with the predictions shown in Fig. 2. However, the data are sparse and observations in turn-off stars are urgently needed.

3.2. Nitrogen abundance

As shown in Fig. 2, the initial nitrogen abundance of 2G stars is also expected to vary strongly with Na, again due to contamination of the original gas (here we assume initial [N/Fe] = 0) with CN-processed material at the equilibrium. Indeed, [N/Fe] varies by 0.4 dex when [Na/Fe] varies between [Na/Fe]$_{\text{lim}}$ and [Na/Fe]$_{\text{min}} + 0.3$ dex. Therefore, the determination of nitrogen abundances could also provide, in principle, a good observational test. Again, turn-off stars are the ideal targets, to avoid surface abundance changes due to first dredge-up and thermohaline mixing as the stars evolve$^5$.

In the case of NGC 6752, the observational situation is unclear. For stars spanning the range between [Na/Fe]$_{\text{lim}}$ and [Na/Fe]$_{\text{lim}} + 0.3$, Carretta et al. (2005) and Yong et al. (2008), find N abundance variations of 0.5 and 1 dex respectively. The difference between the two analyses cannot be attributed to

$^4$ A 0.8 $M_\odot$ model with [Fe/H] = $-1.75$ has a $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ of 40 and of 7 (starting from initial 90) respectively after the first dredge-up completion and at the RGB tip, in agreement with observations for field RGB star (Chantereau et al., in prep.; see also Charbonnel & Zahn 2007).

$^5$ In a 0.8 $M_\odot$, stellar model with [Fe/H] = $-1.75$, surface [N/Fe] increases by $-0.02$ dex due to first dredge-up, and $-0.06$ dex due to thermohaline mixing (Chantereau, et al., in prep.).
internal variations due to first dredge-up or thermohaline mixing in the two samples, as the lowest [N/Fe] value determined by Carretta et al. (2005) is considerably higher than that of Yong et al. (2008). Rather, Yong et al. (2008) speculate that by Carretta et al. (2005) is considerably higher than that of L6, page 4 of 4

The sequence of events is that “pure” 2G stars form first from raw AGB ejecta, until pristine gas is re-accreted and falls back into the GC core regions, mixes with the AGB winds, and forms 2G stars with diluted ejecta (D’Ercole et al. 2008, 2010, 2012). In this case, the stars observed in the domain between [Na/Fe]_{min} and [Na/Fe]_{min} + 0.3 dex are expected to be “true” 1G stars with original 12C/13C and N abundance. Therefore, the observational tests we propose will also be able to discriminate between the AGB and the FRMS scenarios.

4. Summary, consequences, and open issues

We show that the whole Na range exhibited today by long-lived, low-mass GC stars, as well as the percentages of the so-called 1st and 2d stellar generations, can be explained by invoking secondary star formation from FRMS ejecta mixed with pristine material. Therefore, GCs could be totally devoid of 1G LMS today. We propose observational tests to discriminate between true and fake 1G stars and to constrain the various polluter scenarii.

If the absence of “true” 1G stars in GCs today is confirmed, we should find out whether all 1G LMS were lost from the GCs or whether they have not formed, and why. The first case seems very improbable in view of the mass budget and gas expulsion problems, which would be even more exacerbated.

In the second case, the mass initially locked in 1G GC massive stars could have been only two to four times the present-day stellar mass, since roughly one third to half of the FRMS mass is made available for recycling into the 2G after having been mixed with original gas in ~fifty-fifty proportions. Of course, this is a minimum value for the initial GC mass as we assume that 100% of the available material is recycled into LMS. But this would definitively release the current tension between the different model predictions and the constraints coming from dwarf galaxies. We should then understand why LMS could not form initially. Clouds at higher temperature, which may occur after formation of any massive stars, are known to prevent LMS formation (Klessen et al. 2007, sharp turn-down below 7 M_☉). Magnetic fields, radiation feedback, and a steeper initial density profile have a similar effect (Girichidis et al. 2011; Peters et al. 2011). There are also observational hints that star-forming regions with higher stellar density have a more top-heavy mass function (e.g. Kryukova et al. 2014). Work is in progress on all these open issues.
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