

Citation for published version:

Brenda Roe, et al, Editorial, 'Management of Fecal Incontinence in Older People With Dementia Resident in Care Homes: A Realist Synthesis—The FINCH Study', *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, July 2017.

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2017.06.001>

Document Version:

This is the Accepted Manuscript version.

The version in the University of Hertfordshire Research Archive may differ from the final published version. **Users should always cite the published version.**

Copyright and Reuse:

Crown Copyright © 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of AMDA - The Society for Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine.

This Manuscript Version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

Enquiries

If you believe this document infringes copyright, please contact the Research & Scholarly Communications Team at rsc@herts.ac.uk

Editorial: Management of Faecal Incontinence in older people with dementia resident in Care Homes: A realist synthesis – The FINCH Study

Roe B¹, Goodman C², Russell B², Buswell M², Norton C³, Harari D⁴, Harwood R⁵, Rycroft Malone J⁶, Drennan VM⁷, Fader M⁸, Maden M⁹, Bunn F²

1. Edge Hill University, Ormskirk, UK; 2 University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, UK, 3. Kings' College, University of London, UK; 4. Guys and St Thomas' Hospital, London, UK; 5. Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham UK; 6. Bangor University, Bangor, UK; 7. St George's University of London and University of Kingston, Kingston, UK; 8. University of Southampton, Southampton, UK, 9. University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK. 10.

Many nursing homes provide continuing care and support for older people with dementia (1). Care home residents may have three or more health conditions, with an estimated 80% in the United Kingdom having dementia without a confirmed or documented diagnosis, up to a third of whom may be at an advanced stage (2, 3). In this editorial the term care home is used and includes registered homes providing nursing, social and/or continuing aged care. Advanced dementia is variably defined but usually includes complete loss of memory and recognition, severe dependency for activities of daily living, (such as bathing, dressing, toileting and feeding), poor or absent communication, incontinence, poor mobility, difficulty swallowing and weight loss (4). The prevalence of faecal incontinence (FI) in care homes ranges from 30% to 50% (5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10), with dementia being an independent risk factor for FI (6, 11, 12). FI four times higher in people with confirmed dementia compared to matched community samples (13). FI has a negative impact on dignity, comfort, quality of life and staff morale. There is a paucity of evidence on how to manage FI in older people with advanced dementia in care homes despite practice guidelines for managing FI being available.

Recent philosophical and methodological developments have challenged the dominant medical focus on randomized trial evidence, especially for complex clinical problems and interventions. Randomised controlled trials and conventional systematic reviews, give high degrees of confidence about causal relationships between treatments and outcomes. But generalisability may be uncertain, and non-trial evidence is difficult to use. Realist review and synthesis attempts to address this, by asking the question what works, for whom, under what circumstances, and why? Hypotheses, called Context-Mechanism-Outcome configurations (CMOs) are proposed and evidenced from a variety of study designs in the literature. The focus on mechanisms and necessary conditions for success allows for rigour, even when raw contributing evidence is of variable quality. The process is guided by a multi-disciplinary external steering committee and interviews with relevant stakeholders. This approach was used to investigate the problem of managing FI in care homes for people with advanced dementia (the National Institute for Health Research FINCH study).

To address the lack of evidence on FI in care homes the recently published FINCH study comprised a realist review and synthesis (14). The objectives were to identify interventions or elements that could potentially be effective, how they work and the range of outcomes. To identify barriers and facilitators to their uptake, acceptability and implementation in managing FI in people with advanced dementia in care homes. Also, to establish evidence on feasibility and cost of interventions to manage FI. The full report is available (14) and a paper from the realist review by Buswell et al (15) is published in this current issue of JAMDA.

For the review FI was not considered an inevitable consequence of aging or dementia. It was defined as the involuntary loss of liquid or solid stool that is a social or hygienic problem (16) and “the voiding of urine or faeces either following an unsuccessful effort, or with no attempt to employ an acceptable facility (e.g. toilet, commode)” (17). Six theories related to how to improve continence care for people living with dementia and FI in care homes were identified. These were: 1. Clinician led support, assessment and review; 2. Ongoing teaching, review and feedback to care staff on how to reduce and manage FI; 3. Addressing the causes and prevention of constipation; 4. Interventions that reflect the degree of cognitive and physical capacity of the resident; 5. Common understanding of the potential for recovery and reduction of FI; 6. Integrating care for people living with dementia and FI into everyday work patterns of the care home and staff.

Results from an RCT (18) found people with more cognitive impairments were most responsive to prompted voiding. It was suggested, as they were unable to self-initiate drinking or toileting requests prompting protocols compensated for this and led to a higher degree of responsiveness compared to other residents with incontinence. Findings from qualitative research, found tension between patient-centred care (PCC) and care home priorities: the need for residents to appear clean and well-groomed was given priority over PCC (19). A realist approach can incorporate evidence from other areas, including urinary incontinence, other aspects of dementia care and what is known of the nursing home or care home context. For example, Saga et al (20) looked specifically at causes of FI while Stokes (17) investigated toileting difficulties for people with dementia. The work of Schnelle and colleagues for over 30 years has investigated single component, then multi-component complex interventions for prompted voiding and timed voiding taking into account, equipment, resources, education and training of staff, as well as quality control issues and implementation (14, p71). In our review, of the 20 continence studies that included people with dementia only 16 had an assessment of their dementia or confirmed diagnosis. Only one study considered the impact of dementia and the influence it had over the disease trajectory on interventions to improve UI in care homes (21).

The main findings of this realist review are that FI in care home residents is almost always in association with urinary incontinence. Solely focusing on resolving constipation can exacerbate FI via laxative induced diarrhoea. How the current status of dementia and subsequent trajectory affects a person's ability to benefit from different interventions for FI is unknown. Few studies have compared different designs of absorbent products for FI or considered the particular needs of people with dementia in care homes. Continence care is usually delivered by lowest paid frontline care staff in care homes and understanding that this is part of personal and intimate care is crucial. There is a mismatch of worlds - the research evidence world and the real world of direct care delivery. Personal and intimate care should assure privacy, respect, dignity and personhood. A particular ethical or moral issue when caring for people with FI and advanced dementia is the digital rectal examination recommended within guidelines for the management of FI (22). The findings of FINCH suggest that in practice digital rectal examination is not warranted for this specific client group and must be done selectively and traded against any potential distress it may cause.

In summary the overall findings are; very few intervention studies have investigated FI for people with dementia living in care homes. Many studies identify dementia as a risk factor for FI. Clinician led assessment and review are important foundations for good continence care and there is conflict between common understanding and care home work practices. Support of care staff to act on their training and knowledge to improve continence outcomes for people living with dementia is essential.

Continence care is part of intimate care (14, 23). Providing intimate and personal care for people with dementia requires particular skills to minimise distress and maximise their comfort. The implications for policy and practice are that interventions which give staff support and authority to act on their training would result in care focused on the person with dementia. Interventions that fit with the culture and work of the care home generate staff confidence that dependent continence (that is with support from care staff and / or treatment) can be achieved for people with dementia. Finally, interventions should recognise that continence care, is part of intimate and personal care and is in essence a valuable activity requiring specific dementia care skills.

1235 words

References

1. Toot, S., Swinson, T., Devine, M., & Challis, D. Causes of nursing home placement for older people with dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Psychogeriatrics*. 2016; Published online early: 03 November 2016.
2. Gordon, A. L., Franklin, M., Bradshaw, L., Logan, P., Elliott, R., & Gladman, J.R.F. Health status of UK care home residents: a cohort study. *Age and Ageing*, 2014; 43:97-103.

3. Alzheimer's Society. Dementia report 2014 statistics. <https://www.alzheimers.org.uk/statistics>. (Accessed 23/12/2016).
4. Reisberg, B., Wiegel, J., Fransen, E., Kadiyala, S., Auer, S., Souren, L., Sabbagh, M., & Golomb, J. Chapter 8. Clinical features of severe dementia: Staging. In Burns, A. & Winblad, B. (Eds) Severe dementia. Chichester, Wiley. 2006; Chapter 8; 83.
5. Chassagne, P., Landrin,I.,Neveu, C., Czernichow, P., Bouaniche, M., Doucet, J.Denis, P., & Bercoff,E. Fecal incontinence in the institutionalized elderly: incidence, risk factors, and prognosis The American Journal of Medicine, 1999; 106:185-190.
6. Borrie, M.J. & Davidson, H.A. Incontinence in institutions: costs and contributing factors. Journal of the Canadian Medical Association. 1992; 147: 322-328.
7. Nelson, R., Furner, S., & Jesudason, V. Fecal incontinence in Wisconsin nursing homes: prevalence and associations. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum. 1998; 41: 1226-1229.
8. Brocklehurst, J.C. Promoting continence: clinical audit scheme for the management of urinary and faecal incontinence. London, Royal College of Physicians of London, Research unit. 1998.
9. Harrington, C., Carrillo, H., Dowdell, MM., Tang, P.P., & Wolessagle Blank, B. Nursing Facilities, Staffing, Residents and Facility Deficiencies, 2005 Through 2010 by Charlene Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences. San Francisco, University of California. 2011.
10. Rodriguez, N.A., Sackley, C.M., & Badger, F.J Exploring the facets of continence care: a continence survey of care homes for older people in Birmingham. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2007; 16: 954–962.
11. Nakanishi, N., Tatara, K., Naramura, H., Fujiwara, H., & Takashima, Y.H.F. Urinary and fecal incontinence in a community-residing older population in Japan. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 1997;45: 215–219.
12. Johanson, J.F., Irizarry, F., Doughty, A . Risk factors for fecal incontinence in a nursing home population. Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology. 1997;24:156–60.
13. Grant, R.L., Drennan, V.M., Rait, G., Petersen, I., & Iliffe, S. First Diagnosis and Management of Incontinence in Older People with and without Dementia in Primary Care: A Cohort Study Using The Health Improvement Network Primary Care Database. PLoS Medicine 2013; 10: 8: e1001505.
14. Goodman, C., Norton, C., Buswell, M., Russell, B., Harari, D., Harwoord, R., Roe, B., Rycroft-Malone, J., Drennan, V.M., Fader, M., Maden, M., Cummings, K., &

Bunn, F. Managing Faecal Incontinence in people with advanced dementia resident in Care Homes: A realist synthesis of the evidence (FINCH study). 2017 in press. <https://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta/137501/#/>

15. Buswell M et al **TO BE ADDED**. What works in reducing and managing fecal incontinence in people living with dementia who are residents in care homes? A realist synthesis of evidence. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association. **XXXX**
16. Norton, C., Whitehead, W., W. E., Bliss, D. Z., Harari, D., & Lang, J. Conservative and pharmacological management of faecal incontinence in adults. In P. Abrams, L . Cardozo, S. Khoury, & A. Wein (Eds), Incontinence: 4th International Consultation on Incontinence. Plymouth, Health Publications Ltd. 2009; 1323-1386.
17. Stokes G. Toileting difficulties or incontinence? Changing the landscape of dementia care. British Geriatrics Society Bladder and Bowel Conference. London, British Geriatrics Society. 2013.
18. Schnelle 2010 Schnelle, J.F., Leung, F.W., Rao SS, Beuscher, L., Keeler, E., Clift, J.W., & Simmons, S.A Controlled Trial of an Intervention to Improve Urinary and Fecal Incontinence and Constipation. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2010; 58: 1504-15011.
19. Ostaszkiewicz, J., O'Connell, B. & Dunning, T. Ethical challenges associated with providing continence care in residential aged care facilities: Findings from a Grounded theory study. Australian and New Zealand Continence Journal. 2014; 20:179-186.
20. Saga, S., Seim, A., Morkved, S., Norton, C., & Vinsnes, A. Bowel problem management among nursing home residents: a mixed methods study. BMC Nursing 2014, 13:35.
21. Hu, T.W., Igou, J.F., Kaltreider, D.L., Yu, L.C., Rohner, T.J., Dennis, P.J., Craighead, W.E., Hadley, E.C., & Ory, M.G. A clinical trial of a behavioral therapy to reduce urinary incontinence in nursing homes. Outcome and implications. Journal of the American Medical Association. 1989; 261:2656-62.
22. NICE National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Faecal incontinence in adults. Quality Standard 54. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/QS54/chapter/Quality-statement-2-Baseline-assessment> (Accessed 23/12/2016)
23. Roe. B., Flanagan, L., & Maden, M. Systematic review of systematic reviews for the management of urinary incontinence and promotion of continence using conservative behavioural approaches in older people in care homes. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 2015; 71: 1464-1483.

Acknowledgement and Disclaimer

The FINCH project was supported by the National Institute Health Research (NIHR) HTA project 13/75/01 Managing Faecal Incontinence in people with advanced dementia resident in Care Homes, a realist synthesis of the evidence (FINCH study). It will be published in full in Health Technology Assessment (www.hpa.ac.uk) July 2017. The views and opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the HTA programme, NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health.