

Citation for the published version:

Sinclair, J., & Bottoms, L. (2019). Gender specific ACL loading patterns during the fencing lunge: Implications for ACL injury risk. *Science and Sports*, 34(1), e31-e35.
DOI: 10.1016/j.scispo.2018.05.005

Document Version: Accepted Version

This manuscript is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND license
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Link to the final published version available at the publisher:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scispo.2018.05.005>

General rights

Copyright© and Moral Rights for the publications made accessible on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners.

Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<http://uhra.herts.ac.uk/>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, any such items will be temporarily removed from the repository pending investigation.

Enquiries

Please contact University of Hertfordshire Research & Scholarly Communications for any enquiries at rsc@herts.ac.uk

1 **Gender specific ACL loading patterns during the fencing lunge: Implications for ACL**
2 **injury risk**

3 ***Sinclair J¹ & Bottoms L²***

4 1. *Centre for Applied Sport and Exercise Sciences, School of Sport and Wellbeing,*
5 *Faculty of Health & Wellbeing, University of Central Lancashire, Lancashire, UK.*

6 2. *Department of Psychology and Sports Sciences, Centre for Research in Psychology*
7 *and Sport Sciences, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, UK.*

8 **Correspondence Address:**

9 Dr. Jonathan Sinclair

10 Darwin Building

11 Centre for Applied Sport Exercise and Nutritional Sciences

12 School of Sport and Wellbeing

13 Faculty of Health & Wellbeing

14 University of Central Lancashire

15 Preston

16 Lancashire

17 PR1 2HE.

18 **Abstract**

19 **Introduction**

20 Fencing is an Olympic sport which requires the fencer to strike the opponent with their sword
21 to score a hit (Turner et al., 2013). Fencing represents a high intensity and intermittent
22 discipline that necessitates short bouts of high intensity exercise and periods of relatively low
23 intensity activity. Bounces, steps and lunges occur frequently during the competition for the
24 purposes of defence and attack, which place high demands the musculoskeletal system
25 (Bottoms et al., 2011).

26

27 Epidemiological analyses have documented that injuries and pain associated with fencing
28 training/ competition were apparent in 92.8% of fencers, with the majority of these injuries
29 being experienced in the lower extremities (Harmer, 2008). Harmer (2008) showed that the
30 knee was the most commonly injured musculoskeletal site in fencers, accounting for 19.6% of
31 all pathologies with particular concern relating to the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL). The
32 data of Mountcastle et al., (2007) support this notion indicating that the ACL was a common
33 injury location in military recruits involved in fencing training/ competition.

34

35 The ACL one of the 4 predominant ligaments that are effective in providing stability to the
36 knee joint. The primary function of the ACL is to resist anterior tibial translation (ATT),
37 providing 87% of the total restraining force at 30° of knee flexion (Butler et al., 1980). The
38 ACL also prevents excessive knee extension, knee adduction and abduction movements, and
39 resists internal rotation of the tibia (Liu-Ambrose, 2003). Injuries to the ACL are debilitating,
40 cause long term cessations from training/ competition and may ultimately be career threatening
41 as current treatment modalities do always successfully return athletes to their previous levels
42 of functionality (Ardern et al., 2011). ACL injuries are also associated with long term health
43 implications, with athletes being up to 10 times more likely to develop early-onset degenerative

44 knee osteoarthritis in relation to non-injured controls (Øiestad et al., 2009), leading not only to
45 a reduction in sports activity but also chronic incapacity in later life (Ajuied et al., 2014). ACL
46 injuries traditionally necessitate surgical intervention in order to restore function, followed by
47 a significant and aggressive period of rehabilitation. Gottlob et al., (1999) determined that over
48 175,000 ACL surgeries are performed each year in the US with directly associated costs of
49 over \$2 billion.

50

51 The majority of ACL injuries (72%) are non-contact in nature, in that injury occurs without
52 physical contact between athletes (Boden et al., 2009). Mechanically, ACL injuries manifest
53 when an excessive loading is experienced by the ACL (Smith et al., 2012). Non-contact ACL
54 injuries habitually occur at the point of foot strike with the knee close to full extension in
55 athletic disciplines where sudden decelerations, landing and pivoting manoeuvres are
56 repeatedly performed (Olsen et al., 2004). It has been demonstrated that most non-contact ACL
57 injuries occur in activities that involve single-limb decelerations (Boden et al., 2009). The
58 lunge is the most frequently used attack in fencing (Sinclair & Bottoms, 2013). However, the
59 front leg must produce a rapid deceleration action on landing to stabilize the fencer (Sinclair et
60 al., 2010), thus it appears that the lunge movement may be the movement that imposes that
61 placers fencers at greatest risk from ACL pathologies.

62

63 Whilst male and female fencers often train concurrently fencing competitions are gender
64 specific. Importantly, Harmer, (2008) showed that female fencers had a 35% greater risk for
65 time-loss injuries in relation to males. Furthermore, ACL injuries are renowned for being
66 prevalent in female athletes, with an incidence rate in the region of 4-10 times that noted in
67 males (Arendt et al., 1999). The enhanced risk for ACL injury in female athletes has led to a

68 significant amount of research attention focussed on the mechanical factors responsible for the
69 gender disparity in the rate of ACL injuries. Gender differences in lower body mechanics in
70 fencing have received only limited attention in biomechanical literature. Sinclair & Bottoms,
71 (2013) examined gender differences in lower extremity kinematics during the fencing lunge.
72 Their findings showed that females produced significantly greater knee abduction and hip
73 adduction of the lead limb during the lunge. Furthermore, Sinclair et al., (2014) investigated
74 gender specific loading of the Achilles tendon during the lunge movement. They demonstrated
75 that males exhibited significantly greater Achilles tendon loading in comparison to females.
76 However, gender differences in ACL loading during the fencing lunge have yet to be explored,
77 thus gender specific risk for ACL injury in fencers is currently unknown.

78

79 Therefore, the aim of the current investigation was to determine whether gender differences in
80 ACL loading linked to the aetiology of injuries are evident during the fencing lunge. Research
81 of this nature may provide important clinical information regarding potential ACL injury risk
82 in fencers.

83

84 **Methods**

85 *Participants*

86 Ten male participants and ten female participants volunteered to take part in this investigation
87 (all were right hand dominant). All were injury free at the time of data collection and provided
88 written informed consent in accordance to guidelines outlined in the declaration of Helsinki.
89 Participants were active competitive fencers who engaged in training a minimum of 3 training
90 sessions per week. The mean characteristics of the participants were males; age 29.18 ± 4.30

91 years, height 1.79 ± 0.05 m and mass 75.33 ± 6.28 kg and females; age 23.04 ± 5.57 years,
92 height 1.67 ± 0.06 m and mass 63.57 ± 3.66 kg. The procedure was approved by the University
93 of Central Lancashire ethics committee.

94

95 *Procedure*

96 Participants were required to complete 5 lunges hitting a dummy with their weapon whilst
97 returning to a starting point (pre-determined by each participant prior to the commencement of
98 data capture) following each trial to control lunge distance. In addition to striking the dummy
99 with their weapon participants also made contact with a force platform (Kistler, Kistler
100 Instruments Ltd., Alton, Hampshire) embedded in the floor (Altrosports 6mm, Altro Ltd,) of a
101 biomechanics laboratory with their right (lead) foot. The starting point for the movement was
102 adjusted and maintained for each participant. Kinematics and ground reaction force data were
103 synchronized using an analogue to digital interface board. The lunge movement was delineated
104 as the period from foot contact (defined as > 20 N of vertical force applied to the force platform)
105 to the instance of maximum knee flexion.

106

107 An eight camera motion analysis system (QualisysTM Medical AB, Gothenburg, Sweden)
108 captured kinematic data. Calibration of the motion analysis system was performed before each
109 data collection session. Only calibrations which produced average residuals of less than 0.85
110 mm for each camera for a 750.5 mm wand length and points above 4000 were accepted prior
111 to data collection.

112

113 To define the segment co-ordinate axes of the right foot, shank and thigh, retroreflective
114 markers were placed unilaterally onto the 1st metatarsal, 5th metatarsal, calcaneus, medial and
115 lateral malleoli, medial and lateral epicondyles of the femur. To define the pelvis segment
116 further markers were positioned onto the anterior (ASIS) and posterior (PSIS) superior iliac
117 spines. Carbon fiber tracking clusters were positioned onto the shank and thigh segments. The
118 foot was tracked using the 1st metatarsal, 5th metatarsal and calcaneus markers and the pelvis
119 using the ASIS and PSIS markers. The centers of the ankle and knee joints were delineated as
120 the mid-point between the malleoli and femoral epicondyle markers (Graydon et al., 2015;
121 Sinclair et al., 2015), whereas the hip joint centre was obtained using the positions of the ASIS
122 markers (Sinclair et al., 2014). Static calibration trials (not normalized to static trial posture)
123 were obtained for the anatomical markers to be referenced in relation to the tracking markers/
124 clusters. The Z (transverse) axis was oriented vertically from the distal segment end to the
125 proximal segment end. The Y (coronal) axis was oriented in the segment from posterior to
126 anterior. Finally, the X (sagittal) axis orientation was determined using the right hand rule and
127 was oriented from medial to lateral.

128

129 *Processing*

130 Dynamic trials were processed using Qualisys Track Manager and then exported as C3D files.
131 GRF and marker data were filtered at 50 Hz and 15 Hz respectively using a low-pass
132 Butterworth 4th order filter and processed using Visual 3-D (C-Motion, Germantown, MD,
133 USA). Joint moments were computed using Newton-Euler inverse-dynamics, allowing net
134 knee joint moments to be calculated. Angular kinematics were calculated using an XYZ
135 (sagittal, coronal and transverse) sequence of rotations (Sinclair et al., 2014). To quantify knee

136 joint moments segment mass, segment length, ground reaction force and angular kinematics
137 were utilized.

138

139 A musculoskeletal modelling approach was utilized to quantify ACL loading during the lunge
140 movement. To accomplish this we firstly had to quantify the tibia-anterior shear force (TASF),
141 which was undertaken using a modified version of the model described in detail by Devita &
142 Hortobagyi, (2001). Our model differed only in that gender specific estimates of posterior tibial
143 plateau slope (Hohmann et al., 2011), hamstring-tibia shaft angle (Lin et al., 2009) and patellar
144 tendon-tibia shaft angle (Nunley et al., 2003) were utilized.

145

146 ACL loading was determined as the sum of ACL forces caused by the TASF, transverse plane
147 knee moment, and transverse plane knee moment in accordance with EQ[1].

148

149 EQ[1] - **ACL load** = $(F100 / 100 * \text{TASF}) + (F10TV / 10 * \text{transverse plane knee moment}) +$
150 $(F10CR / 10 * \text{transverse plane knee moment})$

151

152 The components of EQ[1] were obtained using the data described by Markolf et al., (1995),
153 who examined ACL forces in vitro when a 100 N TASF (*F100*) was applied to cadaver knees
154 from 0-90° of knee flexion. ACL forces were also measured when additional torques of 10 Nm
155 in the coronal (*F10CR*) and transverse (*F10TV*) planes were combined with the 100 N TASF
156 from 0-90° of knee flexion.

157

158 All force parameters were normalized by dividing the net values by body mass (N/kg). From
159 the musculoskeletal models indices of peak ACL and TASF forces were extracted. In addition
160 ACL and TASF instantaneous load rates (N/kg/s) were quantified as the peak increase in force
161 between adjacent data points. In addition we also calculated the ACL impulse (N/kg·s) during
162 the lunge movement by multiplying the ACL load by the duration over which the movement
163 occurred.

164

165 *Analyses*

166 Descriptive statistics of means, standard deviations (SD) and 95% confidence intervals (95%
167 CI) were calculated. Gender differences in ACL loading parameters were examined using
168 independent samples t-tests with significance accepted at the $P \leq 0.05$ level (Sinclair et al.,
169 2013). Effect sizes were quantified using partial eta squared (η^2). Shapiro-Wilk tests
170 confirmed that the data were normally distributed in all cases. All statistical procedures were
171 conducted using SPSS v23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

172

173 **Results**

174 Table 1 and figure 1 present the gender differences in ACL loading during the fencing lunge
175 movement. The results indicate that ACL loading parameters were significantly influenced by
176 gender.

177

178 **@@@ FIGURE 1 NEAR HERE @@@**

179 **@@@ TABLE 1 NEAR HERE @@@**

180

181 Peak TASF was found to be significantly ($t_{(9)} = 2.65, P < 0.05, p\eta^2 = 0.29$) larger in female
182 fencers in relation to males (Table 1; Figure 1a). In addition peak ACL was found to be
183 significantly ($t_{(9)} = 2.65, P < 0.05, p\eta^2 = 0.35$) larger in females in comparison to males (Table
184 1; Figure 1b).

185

186 TASF instantaneous load rate was also found to be significantly ($t_{(9)} = 2.65, P < 0.05, p\eta^2 =$
187 0.24) higher in female fencers in compared to males (Table 1). ACL instantaneous load rate
188 was similarly shown to be significantly ($t_{(9)} = 2.65, P < 0.05, p\eta^2 = 0.26$) larger in females in
189 comparison to males (Table 1). Finally, it was demonstrated that ACL impulse was
190 significantly ($t_{(9)} = 2.65, P < 0.05, p\eta^2 = 0.38$) greater in females in relation to male fencers
191 (Table 1).

192

193 **Discussion**

194 The aim of this investigation was to investigate gender differences in ACL loading during the
195 fencing lunge. To the authors knowledge this study represents the first quantitative examination
196 of the ACL loading during fencing specific manoeuvres. Research of this nature may provide
197 important clinical information regarding potential ACL injury risk in fencers.

198

199 The primary observation from the current study is that ACL loading parameters were found to
200 be significantly larger in female fencers. Females exhibit distinct knee mechanics during
201 deceleration/ landing tasks, involving reduced knee flexion, increased hip rotation/ adduction

202 and knee valgus (Shimokochi & Shultz, 2008). Female athletes are regarded as being over
203 reliant on the anterior kinetic chain due to diminished neuromuscular control in the posterior
204 chain (Hewett et al., 2010). The knee posterior kinetic chain musculature, in particular the
205 hamstring group are considered a synergist with the ACL and serve to mediate ATSF by pulling
206 the tibia posteriorly (Hewett et al., 2010). This may help clarify the mechanism by which
207 increases in ACL loading were observed in female fencers as knee ligament forces are strongly
208 influenced by the ATSF (Shelburne et al., 2004). The lunge is renowned as one of the primary
209 attacking mechanisms in fencing (Sinclair & Bottoms, 2013), thus the observations from the
210 current investigation may have potential clinical relevance regarding the aetiology of injury in
211 female fencers. Mechanically, ACL during dynamic tasks occur when excessive loading is
212 experienced by the ACL itself (Smith et al., 2012). This study therefore provides insight into
213 the increased incidence of ACL injuries in female athletes and also shows that female fencers
214 may be at increased risk from ACL pathologies when performing the lunge movement.

215

216 The current study represents the first to quantitatively evidence that female fencers exhibit
217 great ACL loading in relation to males. ACL injuries are one of the most common pathologies
218 in athletic populations (Kiapour & Murray, 2014) and female athletes are considered to be at
219 much greater risk from this injury in relation to males (Arendt et al., 1999). Thus it is important
220 that training/ conditioning adaptations be incorporated by fencing coaches which are designed
221 to decrease the risk from ACL injuries in females. Neuromuscular deficiencies are regarded as
222 a key modifiable risk factor for ACL injuries, and controlling the magnitude of ACL loading
223 through preventive neuromuscular training has been demonstrated as an effective intervention
224 for the modification of ACL injury risk (Mandelbaum et al., 2005). Therefore it is strongly
225 recommended that specific neuromuscular training protocols focussed on the muscles of

226 posterior kinetic chain be implemented for female fencers in order to attenuate their risk from
227 ACL injury.

228

229 In conclusion, whilst gender differences in lower extremity biomechanics have received limited
230 information within clinical literature, the effects of gender on ACL loading parameters linked
231 to the aetiology of ACL injuries has not been explored. As such the current study adds to the
232 current literature base in the field of clinical biomechanics by providing a comprehensive
233 analysis of gender specific loading patterns experienced during the fencing lunge. The findings
234 from this investigation showed that female fencers experienced significantly larger ACL
235 loading parameters than males during the lunge movement. Given the association between
236 ACL loading and ACL injury risk, this investigation firstly provides insight into the high
237 incidence of ACL injuries in female athletes and secondly indicates that female fencers may
238 be at increased risk from ACL pathologies. Future analyses should seek to investigate and
239 implement strategies aimed at reducing ACL loading in female fencers.

240

241 **References**

- 242 1. Turner, A., Miller, S., Stewart, P., Cree, J., Ingram, R., Dimitriou, L., & Kilduff, L.
243 (2013). Strength and conditioning for fencing. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*, 35, 1-
244 9.
- 245 2. Bottoms, L., Sinclair, J., Gabrysz, U., & Price, MJ. (2011). Physiological
246 responses and energy expenditure to simulated epee fencing in elite female fencers.
247 *Serbian journal of sports sciences*, 5, 17-20.

- 248 3. Harmer, P.A. (2008). Getting to the point: injury patterns and medical care in
249 competitive fencing. *Current Sports Medicine Reports*, 7, 303-307.
- 250 4. Mountcastle, S.B., Posner, M., Kragh, J.F., & Taylor, D.C. (2007). Gender differences
251 in anterior cruciate ligament injury vary with activity epidemiology of anterior cruciate
252 ligament injuries in a young, athletic population. *The American Journal of Sports
253 Medicine*, 35, 1635-1642.
- 254 5. Butler, D. L., Noyes, F. R., & Grood, E. S. (1980). Ligamentous restraints to anterior-
255 posterior drawer in the human knee. *Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery*, 62, 259-270.
- 256 6. Liu-Ambrose, T. (2003). The anterior cruciate ligament and functional stability of the
257 knee joint. *BC Med J*, 45, 495-499.
- 258 7. Ardern, C.L., Webster, K.E., Taylor, N.F., & Feller, J.A. (2011). Return to sport
259 following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery: a systematic review and
260 meta-analysis of the state of play. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 45, 596-606.
- 261 8. Øiestad, B.E., Engebretsen, L., Storheim, K., & Risberg, M.A. (2009). Knee
262 osteoarthritis after anterior cruciate ligament injury a systematic review. *The American
263 Journal of Sports Medicine*, 37, 1434-1443.
- 264 9. Ajuied, A., Wong, F., Smith, C., Norris, M., Earnshaw, P., Back, D., & Davies, A.
265 (2014). Anterior cruciate ligament injury and radiologic progression of knee
266 osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The American Journal of Sports
267 Medicine*, 42, 2242-2252.
- 268 10. Gottlob, C.A., Baker Jr, C.L., Pellissier, J.M., & Colvin, L. (1999). Cost effectiveness
269 of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in young adults. *Clinical Orthopaedics and
270 Related Research*, 367, 272-282.

- 271 11. Boden, B. P., Torg, J. S., Knowles, S. B., & Hewett, T. E. (2009). Video analysis of
272 anterior cruciate ligament injury abnormalities in hip and ankle kinematics. *The*
273 *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 37, 252-259.
- 274 12. Smith, H. C., Vacek, P., Johnson, R. J., Slauterbeck, J. R., Hashemi, J., Shultz, S., &
275 Beynon, B. D. (2012). Risk factors for anterior cruciate ligament injury: a review of
276 the literature—part 1: neuromuscular and anatomic risk. *Sports Health*, 4, 69-78.
- 277 13. Olsen, O. E., Myklebust, G., Engebretsen, L., & Bahr, R. (2004). Injury mechanisms
278 for anterior cruciate ligament injuries in team handball a systematic video analysis. *The*
279 *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 32, 1002-1012.
- 280 14. Sinclair, J., & Bottoms, L. (2013). Gender differences in the kinetics and lower
281 extremity kinematics of the fencing lunge. *International Journal of Performance*
282 *Analysis in Sport*, 13, 440-451.
- 283 15. Sinclair, J., Bottoms, L., Taylor, K., & Greenhalgh, A. (2010). Tibial shock measured
284 during the fencing lunge: the influence of footwear. *Sports Biomechanics*, 9, 65-71.
- 285 16. Sinclair, J., & Bottoms, L. (2014). Gender differences in the Achilles tendon load
286 during the fencing lunge. *Baltic Journal of Health and Physical Activity*, 6, 199-204.
- 287 17. Arendt, E. A., Agel, J., & Dick, R. (1999). Anterior cruciate ligament injury patterns
288 among collegiate men and women. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 34, 86.
- 289 18. Graydon, R. W., Fewtrell, D. J., Atkins, S., & Sinclair, J. K. (2015). The test-retest
290 reliability of different ankle joint center location techniques. *Foot and Ankle Online*
291 *Journal*, 5, 1-9.
- 292 19. Sinclair, J., Hebron, J., & Taylor, P. J. (2015). The test-retest reliability of knee joint
293 center location techniques. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 31, 117-121.

- 294 20. Sinclair, J., Taylor, P. J., Currigan, G., & Hobbs, S. J. (2014). The test-retest reliability
295 of three different hip joint centre location techniques. *Movement & Sport Sciences*, 7,
296 31-39.
- 297 21. Sinclair, J., Taylor, P. J., & Bottoms, L. (2013). The appropriateness of the helical axis
298 technique and six available cardan sequences for the representation of 3-D lead leg
299 kinematics during the fencing lunge. *Journal of Human Kinetics*, 37, 7-15.
- 300 22. DeVita, P., & Hortobagyi, T. (2001). Functional knee brace alters predicted knee
301 muscle and joint forces in people with ACL reconstruction during walking. *Journal of*
302 *Applied Biomechanics*, 17, 297-311.
- 303 23. Hohmann, E., Bryant, A., Reaburn, P., & Tetsworth, K. (2011). Is there a correlation
304 between posterior tibial slope and non-contact anterior cruciate ligament injuries?.
305 *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, 19 109-114.
- 306 24. Lim, B. O., Lee, Y. S., Kim, J. G., An, K. O., Yoo, J., & Kwon, Y. H. (2009). Effects
307 of sports injury prevention training on the biomechanical risk factors of anterior
308 cruciate ligament injury in high school female basketball players. *The American journal*
309 *of sports medicine*, 37, 1728-1734.
- 310 25. Nunley, R. M., Wright, D., Renner, J. B., Yu, B., & Garrett Jr, W. E. (2003). Gender
311 comparison of patellar tendon tibial shaft angle with weight bearing. *Research in Sports*
312 *Medicine*, 11, 173-185.
- 313 26. Markolf, K. L., Burchfield, D. M., Shapiro, M. M., Shepard, M. F., Finerman, G. A., &
314 Slauterbeck, J. L. (1995). Combined knee loading states that generate high anterior
315 cruciate ligament forces. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, 13, 930-935.
- 316 27. Sinclair, J., Taylor, P. J., & Hobbs, S. J. (2013). Alpha level adjustments for multiple
317 dependent variable analyses and their applicability—a review. *International Journal of*
318 *Sports Science & Engineering*, 7, 17-20.

319 28. Hewett, T. E., Ford, K.R.H., & Myer, G.D. (2010). Understanding and preventing ACL
320 injuries: current biomechanical and epidemiologic considerations-update 2010. North
321 American Journal of Sports Physical Therapy, 5, 234-251.

322 29. Kiapour, A.M., & Murray, M.M. (2014). Basic science of anterior cruciate ligament
323 injury and repair. Bone and Joint Research, 3, 20-31.

324 30. Shelburne, K. B., Pandy, M. G., & Torry, M. R. (2004). Comparison of shear forces
325 and ligament loading in the healthy and ACL-deficient knee during gait. Journal of
326 Biomechanics, 37, 313-319.

327 31. Mandelbaum, B. R., Silvers, H. J., Watanabe, D. S., Knarr, J. F., Thomas, S. D., Griffin,
328 L. Y., & Garrett, W. (2005). Effectiveness of a neuromuscular and proprioceptive
329 training program in preventing anterior cruciate ligament injuries in female athletes 2-
330 year follow-up. The American Journal of Sports Medicine, 33, 1003-1010.

331

332 Table 1: ACL loading parameters as a function of gender.

333

	Male			Female		
	Mean	SD	95% CI	Mean	SD	95% CI
Peak ACL load (N/kg)	4.04	0.78	3.27-5.47	6.21	1.26	4.83-7.88
ACL Instantaneous rate of loading (N/kg/s)	378.77	45.12	330.04-427.50	511.18	145.91	376.04-646.31
ACL Impulse (N/kg·s)	1.46	0.21	1.15-2.01	2.22	0.35	1.55-3.08
Peak TASF (N/kg)	3.75	0.46	3.74-4.59	4.61	0.55	4.07-5.32
TASF Instantaneous rate of loading (N/kg/s)	175.61	35.24	122.86-219.37	220.66	51.13	159.48-276.22