ABSTRACT

Aims: Atherothrombotic events are influenced by systemic hypercoagulability and fibrinolytic activity. <u>The present study evaluated thrombogenicity indices and their prognostic implications</u> according to disease acuity.

Methods and Results: From the consecutive patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), those with thrombogenicity indices (n=2,705) were grouped according to disease acuity (acute myocardial infarction [AMI] vs. non-AMI). Thrombogenicity indices were measured by thromboelastography (TEG). Blood samples for TEG were obtained immediately after insertion of the PCI sheath, and TEG tracing was performed within 4 hours post-sampling. Major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE, a composite of cardiovascular death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, and non-fatal stroke) were evaluated for up to 4 years. Compared to non-AMI patients, AMI patients had higher platelet-fibrin clot strength (maximal amplitude [MA]: 66.5 ± 7.8 vs. 65.3 ± 7.2 mm, P<0.001) and lower fibrinolytic activity (clot lysis at 30 minutes $[LY_{30}]$: 0.9±1.8% vs. 1.1±1.9%, P<0.001). Index AMI presentation was associated with MA (per 1-mm increase: odds ratio [OR] 1.024; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.013-1.036; P<0.001) and LY₃₀ (per 1% increase: OR 0.934; 95% CI 0.893-0.978; P=0.004). The presence of high platelet-fibrin clot strength (MA \geq 68 mm) and low fibrinolytic activity (LY₃₀<0.2%) was synergistically associated with MACE occurrence. In the multivariable analysis, the combined phenotype of 'MA \geq 68 mm' and 'LY₃₀ <0.2%' was a major predictor of post-PCI MACE in the AMI group (adjusted hazard ratio [HR] 1.744; 95% CI 1.135-2.679; P=0.011), but not in the non-AMI group (adjusted HR 1.031; 95% CI 0.499-2.129; P=0.935). Conclusions: AMI occurrence is significantly associated with hypercoagulability and impaired fibrinolysis. Their combined phenotype increases the risk of post-PCI atherothrombotic event only in AMI patients. These observations may support individualized therapy that targets thrombogenicity for better outcomes in patients with AMI.

Structured Graphical Abstract final

Key Question

Click here to access/download;Structured Graphical Abstract;SGA FLA 22-02400 Young-Hoon Jeong

What is the association between thrombogenicity indexes and acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and their impact on long-term outcomes?

Key Finding

Elevated platelet-fibrin clot strength and impaired fibrinolysis were both independently associated with AMI occurrence. Among AMI patients the elevation of both biomarkers was associated with the highest risk of atherothrombotic events during follow up.

Take Home Message

Following percutaneous coronary intervention in AMI patients, individualized application of antithrombotic therapy according to thrombogenicity indexes may reduce the risk of atherothrombotic events.



 Prognostic Impact of Hypercoagulability and Impaired Fibrinolysis in Acute Myocardial Infarction Brief title: Association between thrombogenicity and AMI Brief title: Association between thrombogenicity and AMI Seang Hun Lee, MD, PhD¹, Hyun Kuk Kim, MD, PhD¹, Jong-Hwa Ahn, MD, PhD¹, Min Gyu Kang, MD, PhD¹, Kye-Hwan Kim, MD, PhD¹ fas Seok Bae, MD, PhD¹, Jong-Hwa Ahn, MD, PhD¹, Jin-Sin Koh, MD, PhD¹, Yongwhi Park, MD, PhD¹ Seok Jae Hwang, MD, PhD¹, Diana A Gorog, MD, PhD¹, Young-Hoon Jeong, MD, PhD² PhD¹, Kevin P. Biden, MBA⁴, Paul A Garbel, MD⁹, Jin-Yong Hwang, MD, PhD¹, Young-Hoon Jeong, MD, PhD² ¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Heart Center, Chonnam National University Hospital, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangiu, Republic of Korea: ¹Department of Internal Medicine, Greengagua Rational University School of Medicine and Gardiovascular Center, Chonny University School of Medicine and Gardiovascular Center, Chonyon Hospital, Changwon, Republic of Korea: ¹Department of Internal Medicine, Greengagua Rational University School of Medicine and Gardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ¹Povisian of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal ¹Nivision of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ¹Povision of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong	7	
9 9 111 Prognostic Impact of Hypercoagulability and Impaired Fibrinolysis 112 in Acute Myocardial Infarction 113 Brief title: Association between thrombogenicity and AMI 119 5 111 Brief title: Association between thrombogenicity and AMI 111 Brief title: Association between thrombogenicity and AMI 111 Brief title: Association between thrombogenicity and AMI 111 PhD', Kye-Hwan Kim, MD, PhD', Jac Seok Bae, MD, PhD', Sang Young Cho, MD, Jin-Sin Koh, MD, 111 PhD', Kye-Hwan Kim, MD, PhD', Sook Jae Hwang, MD, PhD', Diana A Gorog, MD, PhD', Udaya S Tantry, 111 PhD', Kye-Hwan Kim, MD, PhD', Sook Jae Hwang, MD, PhD', Jin-Young-Hoon Jeong, 111 MD, PhD' 111 'Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Heart Center, Choonnam National University 112 'Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Guoangiu, Republic of Korea; 112 'Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Gwangiu, Rayawa University School of Medicine and Guoangiu, Republic of Korea; 112 'Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang 113 Yoosgraduate Medical School, Gwanginyeong Hospital, Changwon, Republic of Korea; 114 <th>8</th> <th></th>	8	
10 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 19 16 17 18 18 19 10 11 11 11 12 15 15 16 17 18 19 11 11 12 15 16 17 18 19 11 11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 16 17	9	
Prognostic Impact of Hypercoagulability and Impaired Fibrinolysis in Acute Myocardial Infarction in PhD ¹ , Yogwhi Park, MD, PhD ¹ , Hyun Kuk Kim, MD, PhD ² , Jong-Hwa Ahn, MD, PhD ¹ , Ming Kang, MD, PhD ¹ , Waya S Tantry, PhD ² , Kevin P. Biden, MBA ⁶ , Paul A Curbe, MD ⁶ , Jin-Yong Hwang, MD, PhD ¹ , Youg-Hoon Jeong, MD, PhD ² in Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Change Cheera; </td <td>10</td> <td></td>	10	
Prognostic Impact of Hypercoagulability and Impaired Fibrinolysis in Acute Myocardial Infarction in Cardiovasular Center, MD, PhD ¹ , Jae Seok Bae, MD, PhD ¹ , Jin-Yong Hwang, MD, PhD ¹ , Youn	11	
 in Acute Myocardial Infarction in Acute Myocardial Information inversity Medical School Information inversity Hospital, Infarction in Acute A	12 1	Prognostic Impact of Hypercoagulability and Impaired Fibrinolysis
In Acture Mytocal titler fuller cliffing In Acture Mytocal titler fuller In Acture Mytocal titler In Acture Mytocal titler <td>14</td> <td>in Aguta Myagardial Information</td>	14	in Aguta Myagardial Information
Brief title: Association between thrombogenicity and AMI Brief title: Association between thrombosen thrombosen thrombosen thromation and three thromation and three thromation and three thromation anational University Ashool of Medicine and Green three	14 Z	III Acute Myocarular IIIarction
17 4 Brief title: Association between thrombogenicity and AMI 18 5 19 5 19 5 10 Seung Hun Lee, MD, PhD ¹ , Hyun Kuk Kim, MD, PhD ² , Jong-Hwa Ahn, MD, PhD ³ , Min Gyu Kang, MD, 11 8 11 9 11 9 11 9 11 9 11 9 11 9 11 9 11 10 11 10 11 10 12 10 13 10 14 10 15 10 16 10 17 11 18 10 19 10 111 10 112 10 113 10 114 10 115 10 116 10 117 10 118 10 119 10 111 10	16 3	
 a Bite fulle. Association between informologenetity and AMI b Seung Hun Lee, MD, PhD¹, Hyun Kuk Kim, MD, PhD², Jong-Hwa Ahn, MD, PhD³, Min Gyu Kang, MD, PhD⁴, Kye-Hwan Kim, MD, PhD¹, Jae Seok Bae, MD, PhD³, Sang Young Cho, MD.³ Jin-Sin Koh, MD, PhD⁴, Yongwhi Park, MD, PhD³, Seok Jae Hwang, MD, PhD⁴, Diana A Gorog, MD, PhD⁵, Udaya S Tantry, PhD⁶, Kevin P. Bliden, MBA⁶, Paul A Gurbel, MD⁶, Jin-Yong Hwang, MD, PhD⁴, Young-Hoon Jeong, MD, PhD⁷ ¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Heart Center, Chonnam National University Hospital, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangju, Republic of Korea; ¹Department of Internal Medicine, Gwangju Republic of Korea; ²Department of Internal Medicine, Gwangju Republic of Korea; ³Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ³Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ³Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ³Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ⁴Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, MJ, USA; ⁴Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ⁴Contributed equally to this work. 	17 1	Brief title: Association between thrombogenicity and AMI
 Seung Hun Lee, MD, PhD¹⁺, Hyun Kuk Kim, MD, PhD³⁺, Jong-Hwa Ahn, MD, PhD³, Min Gyu Kang, MD, PhD⁴, Kye-Hwan Kim, MD, PhD⁴, Jae Seok Bae, MD, PhD³, Sang Young Cho, MD,³ Jin-Sin Koh, MD, PhD⁴, Yongwhi Park, MD, PhD³, Seok Jae Hwang, MD, PhD⁴, Diana A Gorog, MD, PhD⁵, Udaya S Tantry, PhD⁶, Kevin P. Bliden, MBA⁶, Paul A Gurbel, MD⁶, Jin-Yong Hwang, MD, PhD⁴, Young-Hoon Jeong, MD, PhD⁷ ¹¹ ¹² ¹¹ ¹² ¹³ ¹¹ ¹⁴ ¹⁴ ¹⁵ ¹⁴ ¹⁵ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁵ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁷ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶	18 4	bier the. Association between unonhoogementy and Alvin
 Seung Hun Lee, MD, PhD¹⁺, Hyun Kuk Kim, MD, PhD³⁺, Jong-Hwa Ahn, MD, PhD³, Min Gyu Kang, MD, PhD⁴, Kye-Hwan Kim, MD, PhD⁴, Jae Seok Bae, MD, PhD³, Sang Young Cho, MD,³ Jin-Sin Koh, MD, PhD⁴, Yongwhi Park, MD, PhD³, Seok Jae Hwang, MD, PhD¹, Diana A Gorog, MD, PhD⁴, Udaya S Tantry, PhD⁴, Kevin P. Bliden, MBA⁴, Paul A Gurbel, MD⁶, Jin-Yong Hwang, MD, PhD⁴, Young-Hoon Jeon, MD, PhD⁷ ¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Heart Center, Chonnam National University Hospital, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangju, Republic of Korea; ¹Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Chosun University Hospital, University of Chosun College of Medicine, Gwangju, Republic of Korea; ³Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ⁴Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ⁴Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, USA; ⁴Pothytical Gradiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ⁴Contributed equally to this work. 	19 5	
21 6 Seung Hun Lee, MD, PhD ¹ , Hyun Kuk Kim, MD, PhD ³ , Jong-Hwa Ahn, MD, PhD, Mo Yu Kang, MD, 27 7 PhD ⁴ , Kye-Hwan Kim, MD, PhD, ⁴ Jac Seok Bae, MD, PhD, ³ Sang Young Cho, MD, ³ Jin-Sin Koh, MD, 28 PhD ⁴ , Kye-Hwan Kim, MD, PhD, ⁴ Jac Seok Jae Hwang, MD, PhD ⁴ , Diana A Gorog, MD, PhD ⁵ , Udaya S Tantry, 29 PhD ⁶ , Kevin P. Bliden, MBA ⁶ , Paul A Gurbel, MD ⁶ , Jin-Yong Hwang, MD, PhD ⁴ , Young-Hoon Jeong, 2010 MD, PhD ⁷ 27 11 28 PhD ⁵ , Kevin P. Bliden, MBA ⁶ , Paul A Gurbel, MD ⁶ , Jin-Yong Hwang, MD, PhD ⁴ , Young-Hoon Jeong, 2011 PiDvision of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Heart Center, Chonnam National University 2012 ¹ Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Gwongsang National University Hospital, University of 2113 ² Department of Internal Medicine, Gwongsang National University School of Medicine and 215 Chosun College of Medicine, Gwongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang 216 ³ Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang 217 ⁴ Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang 218 ⁴ Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang 210 Nationa	20	
 PhD⁴, Kye-Hwan Kim, MD, PhD⁴ Jae Seok Bae, MD, PhD³ Sang Young Cho, MD³ Jin-Sin Koh, MD, PhD⁴, Yongwhi Park, MD, PhD⁵, Seok Jae Hwang, MD, PhD⁵, Diana A Gorog, MD, PhD⁵, Udaya S Tantry, PhD⁶, Kevin P. Bliden, MBA⁶, Paul A Gurbel, MD⁶, Jin-Yong Hwang, MD, PhD⁵, Young-Hoon Jeong, MD, PhD⁷ ¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Heart Center, Chonnam National University Hospital, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangju, Republic of Korea; ¹Department of Internal Medicine, Gwangju Republic of Korea; ¹Department of Internal Medicine, Gwangju Republic of Korea; ¹Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ¹Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ¹Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, USA; ¹Contributed equally to this work. ¹Sinai Center for Hormbosis Research and Drug Development, Republic of Korea ¹Sinai Center for Hormbosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital and Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ¹Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, USA; ¹Contributed equally to this work. 	21 6	Seung Hun Lee, MD, PhD ¹⁷ , Hyun Kuk Kim, MD, PhD ²⁷ , Jong-Hwa Ahn, MD, PhD ³ , Min Gyu Kang, MD,
 PhD⁴, Yongwhi Park, MD, PhD³, Seok Jae Hwang, MD, PhD⁴, Diana A Gorog, MD, PhD⁵, Udaya S Tantry, PhD⁶, Kevin P. Bliden, MBA⁶, Paul A Gurbel, MD⁶, Jin-Yong Hwang, MD, PhD⁴, Young-Hoon Jeong, MD, PhD⁷ ⁷¹11 	22 7	PhD ⁴ , Kye-Hwan Kim, MD, PhD, ⁴ Jae Seok Bae, MD, PhD, ³ Sang Young Cho, MD, ³ Jin-Sin Koh, MD,
 PhD*, Kevin P. Bliden, MBA*, Paul A Gurbel, MD*, Jin-Yong Hwang, MD, PhD⁴, Young-Hoon Jeong, MD, PhD⁷ MD, PhD⁷ ¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Heart Center, Chonnam National University Hospital, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangju, Republic of Korea; ¹Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gardiovascular Center, Chosun University School of Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹Popartment of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹Popartment of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹Popartment of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹Popartment of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹Popartment of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ²Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, USA; ⁴Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ⁴Contributed equally to this work. ⁵Contributed equally to this work. 	23 8	PhD ⁴ , Yongwhi Park, MD, PhD ³ , Seok Jae Hwang, MD, PhD ⁴ , Diana A Gorog, MD, PhD ⁵ , Udaya S Tantry,
 MD, PhD⁷ ¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Heart Center, Chonnam National University Hospital, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangju, Republic of Korea; ¹Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Chosun University Hospital, University of Chosun College of Medicine, Gwangju Republic of Korea; ¹Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ¹⁰Pepartment of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹¹National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ¹²Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ¹³Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ¹⁴Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, ¹⁴MD, USA; ¹⁴Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal ¹⁵Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ¹⁶Contributed equally to this work. ¹⁷Contributed equally to this work. ¹⁶Contributed equally to this work. 	24 25 9	PhD ⁶ , Kevin P. Bliden, MBA ⁶ , Paul A Gurbel, MD ⁶ , Jin-Yong Hwang, MD, PhD ⁴ , Young-Hoon Jeong,
 ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹¹ ¹² ¹¹ ¹² ¹² ¹² ¹² ¹² ¹² ¹²	25	MD PhD ⁷
 ¹¹ ¹² ¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Heart Center, Chonnam National University ¹³ Hospital, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangju, Republic of Korea; ¹⁴ ¹²Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Chosun University Hospital, University of ¹⁵ Chosun College of Medicine, Gwangju, Republic of Korea; ¹⁶ ¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and ¹⁷ Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, Changwon, Republic of ¹⁸ Korea; ¹⁹ ¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹⁰ National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ¹⁰ ¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ¹⁰ National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ¹⁰ ¹⁰Department of Internal Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ¹² ¹⁵Postgraduate Medical School, University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal ¹⁴ ¹⁰Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ¹⁷ ¹⁰Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ¹⁶ ¹² ¹⁷ ¹⁰Contributed equally to this work. ¹⁸ ¹⁰ ¹⁹ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹¹ ¹⁰ ¹	27 11	,
 ¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Heart Center, Chonnam National University ¹Hospital, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangju, Republic of Korea; ¹Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Chosun University Hospital, University of ²Chosun College of Medicine, Gwangju, Republic of Korea; ³Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and ³Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, Changwon, Republic of ⁴Korea; ³Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ⁴Notical University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ⁴⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ⁴⁰National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ⁴⁰Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ⁴²Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, ⁴¹MB ⁴¹Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal ⁴²Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ⁴⁷Contributed equally to this work. ⁴⁸Sinai ⁴⁹Contributed equally to this work. 	28	
 Hospital, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangju, Republic of Korea; Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Chosun University Hospital, University of Chosun College of Medicine, Gwangju, Republic of Korea; Department of Internal Medicine Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gradiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gradiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gradiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, USA; Tbivision of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea *Contributed equally to this work. 	29 12	¹ Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Heart Center, Chonnam National University
 ³¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ² ¹ ¹ ¹ ² ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	³⁰ 13	Hospital, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangiu, Republic of Korea:
 Department of internal Nedicine and Cardiolascuar Center, Circuit Onrecisity in Optical, Chinesity of Chosun College of Medicine, Gwangju Republic of Korea; Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, Changwon, Republic of Korea; Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, USA; Tbivision of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea *Contributed equally to this work. 	31	² Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Chosun University Hospital, University of
 Chosun College of Medicine, Gwangja, Republic of Korea; ³Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, Changwon, Republic of Korea; ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ⁴Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ⁴Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, USA; ⁴Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ⁴Contributed equally to this work. ⁵2 ²3 ⁵2 ⁶4 ⁶5 ⁶6 ⁶7 ⁶7 ⁶8 ⁶8 ⁶9 ⁶1 ⁶7 ⁶7 ⁶8 ⁶8 ⁶9 ⁶1 ⁶1	32 17	Department of meeting includence and cardiovascular center, chosen of inversity frospital, oniversity of
 ³⁴16 ³Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, Changwon, Republic of Korea; ³⁹19 ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ⁴⁰21 ⁵Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ⁴²22 ⁶Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, USA; ⁴⁴24 ⁷Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ⁴⁷26 ⁴⁸ ⁴⁹27 [†]Contributed equally to this work. ⁵⁰5 1 	3315	Chosun College of Medicine, Gwangju, Republic of Korea;
33 17 Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, Changwon, Republic of 36 18 Korea; 38 19 ¹ Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang 39 20 National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; 40 1 ³ Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. 41 22 ⁶ Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, USA; 42 ⁴ Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea 47 ⁶ Contributed equally to this work. 50 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1 55 1 56 1 57 1 58 1 59 1 50 1 51 1 55 1 56 1	3416 25	³ Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and
 Korea; ³⁷ 18 Korea; ³⁸ ¹⁹ ¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ³⁹ 20 National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ⁴⁰ ²¹ ¹⁹Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ⁴² ⁴² ⁴³ ⁴³ ⁴⁴ ⁴² ⁴⁴ ⁴² ⁴⁴ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰	³⁵ 17	Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, Changwon, Republic of
 ^{38 19} ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang ^{39 20} National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ^{40 21} ⁵Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ^{42 22} ⁶Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, ^{41 32 3} MD, USA; ^{42 4} ⁷Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal ^{45 46 47 46 47 48 49 49 49 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40}	37 ¹⁸	Korea;
 National University Hospital, Jinju, Republic of Korea; ⁵Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ⁶Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, USA; ⁷Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ⁷Contributed equally to this work. ⁶Sinai Center for this work. ¹Contributed equally to this work. 	38 19	⁴ Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Gyeongsang
 ⁴⁰ ²¹ ⁵Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ⁴¹ ²¹ ⁵Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom. ⁴² ²² ⁶Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, USA; ⁴⁴ ²⁴ ⁷Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ⁴⁷ ²⁶ ⁴⁸ ⁴⁹ ²⁷ [†]Contributed equally to this work. ⁵⁰ ⁵¹ ²⁸ ⁵² ²⁹ ⁵⁴ ⁵⁵ ¹ 	39 ₂₀	National University Hospital, Jiniu, Republic of Korea:
 ⁴¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹	40 21	⁵ Postgraduate Medical School University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom
 MD, USA; ⁴Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ⁴Contributed equally to this work. ⁵⁰ ⁵² ⁵³ ⁵⁴ ⁵⁵ ¹ 	41 22	6 Ginei Cantar for Theorem and Decomplete Development. Sinci Hearital of Dakimore Dakimore
 MD, USA; ⁷Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ⁷Contributed equally to this work. ⁶Contributed equally to this work. ¹ ⁶ ⁵ ⁶ <	42 -22	"Sinai Center for Thrombosis Research and Drug Development, Sinai Hospitai of Balumore, Balumore,
 ⁴⁴ ²⁴ ⁷Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea ⁴⁷ ²⁶ ⁴⁸ ⁴⁹ ²⁷ [†]Contributed equally to this work. ⁵⁰ ²⁸ ⁵² ²⁹ ⁵⁴ ⁵⁵ 1 ⁶⁶ ⁵⁷ ⁵⁸ ⁵⁹ ⁶⁰ ⁶¹ ⁶¹ 	4323	MD, USA;
 Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea Provide the equal of the equal of	44 45	⁷ Division of Cardiology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital and Department of Internal
47 26 48 49 27 [†] Contributed equally to this work. 50 51 28 52 29 54 55 1 56 57 58 59 60 61	46 ²⁵	Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Gwangmyeong, Republic of Korea
⁴⁸ 49 27 [†] Contributed equally to this work. ⁵⁰ 51 28 ⁵² 52 53 ⁵³ ⁵⁴ ⁵⁵ ¹ ⁵⁶ ⁵⁷ ⁵⁸ ⁵⁹ ⁶⁰ ⁶¹	47 26	
49 27 'Contributed equally to this work. 50 28 51 28 52 53 29 53 54 1 55 1 56 57 57 58 59 60 61 1	48	
50 51 28 52 29 54 55 55 57 56 57 58 59 60 61	49 ²⁷	[†] Contributed equally to this work.
51 ²³ 52 ₂₉ 54 55 1 56 57 58 59 60 61	50 28	
52 29 53 54 55 1 56 57 58 59 60 61	51 20	
53 54 55 1 56 57 58 59 60 61	52 52 29	
54 55 1 56 57 58 59 60 61	53	
56 57 58 59 60 61	55	1
57 58 59 60 61	56	-
58 59 60 61	57	
59 60 61	58	
60 61	59	
61	60	
	61	
٥ <u>८</u>	62 €2	
03 64	03 64	
	65	
62 63	61 62 63	
	65	

1		
2		
3		
5		
6		
7		
8		
10		
11		
12 1	Word count:	
13 14 2	Manuscript – 3,798 (excluding references, tables, and figure legends)	
15_{16} 3	Number of Tables and Figures – 4/5	
17 .		
18 ⁴		
¹⁹ 5		
∠∪ 21 ∠	Correspondence to:	
22 _	Correspondence to:	
23 /	I oung-moon Jeong, MD, PhD, PAHA	
24 8 25 -	CAU Informbosis and Biomarker Center, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital, 110,	
25 9	Deokan-ro, Gwangmyeong 14353, Republic of Korea	
27 ¹⁰	Email: goodoctor@naver.com; younggoodoctor@gmail.com	
28 11		
²⁹ 12	Jin-Yong Hwang, MD, PhD	
3113	Division of Cardiology, Gyeongsang National University Hospital 79 Gangnam-ro, Jinju,	
32 ₁₄	Gyeongsangnam-do 52727, Republic of Korea	
$33 \\ 34 15$	E-mail: angiojoy@gmail.com	
35 16	B RR BB	
36	- IGIVII	
37	DIP	
39		
40		
4⊥ 42		
43		
44		
45 46		
47		
48		
49 50		
51		
52		
53 54		
54 55	2	
56	_	
57		
58 59		
60		
61		
62 63		
0 S		

ABSTRACT

2 Aims: Atherothrombotic events are influenced by systemic hypercoagulability and fibrinolytic 3 activity. The present study evaluated thrombogenicity indices and their prognostic implications according to disease acuity.

Methods and Results: From the consecutive patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), those with thrombogenicity indices (n=2,705) were grouped according to 21 6 23 7 disease acuity (acute myocardial infarction [AMI] vs. non-AMI). Thrombogenicity indices were 8 measured by thromboelastography (TEG). Blood samples for TEG were obtained immediately 9 after insertion of the PCI sheath, and TEG tracing was performed within 4 hours post-sampling. 28 ₁₀ 29 Major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE, a composite of cardiovascular death, non-fatal 30 11 myocardial infarction, and non-fatal stroke) were evaluated for up to 4 years. Compared to non-3212 AMI patients, AMI patients had higher platelet-fibrin clot strength (maximal amplitude [MA]: 66.5±7.8 vs. 65.3±7.2 mm, P<0.001) and lower fibrinolytic activity (clot lysis at 30 minutes 3413 36 ¹⁴ [LY₃₀]: 0.9±1.8% vs. 1.1±1.9%, P<0.001). Index AMI presentation was associated with MA (per 38^{'15} 1-mm increase: odds ratio [OR] 1.024; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.013-1.036; P<0.001) and 39 40 LY₃₀ (per 1% increase: OR 0.934; 95% CI 0.893-0.978; P=0.004). The presence of high platelet-41 17 fibrin clot strength (MA \geq 68 mm) and low fibrinolytic activity (LY₃₀<0.2%) was synergistically 43 18 associated with MACE occurrence. In the multivariable analysis, the combined phenotype of 'MA 45 19 \geq 68 mm' and 'LY₃₀ <0.2%' was a major predictor of post-PCI MACE in the AMI group (adjusted 47 20 hazard ratio [HR] 1.744; 95% CI 1.135-2.679; P=0.011), but not in the non-AMI group (adjusted 49 21 HR 1.031; 95% CI 0.499-2.129; P=0.935).

Conclusions: AMI occurrence is significantly associated with hypercoagulability and impaired fibrinolysis. Their combined phenotype increases the risk of post-PCI atherothrombotic event only

63 64 65

2 3 4 5		
6 7 8		
9 10 11		
12 13	1	in AMI patients. These observations may support individualized therapy that targets
14 15	2	thrombogenicity for better outcomes in patients with AMI.
16	3	
18	4	Clinical Trial Registration: Gyeongsang National University Hospital (GNUH) Registry,
20	5	NCT04650529.
21	6	
23	/	Key words: hypercoagulability; fibrinolysis; acute myocardial infarction; atherothrombosis.
25 26	8	
27	9	
29 30	10	951
31 32		NO VERE
33 34		DOBUTIL
35 36		RETRUE
37 38		DIS
39 40		
41 42		
43 44		
45 46		
47 48		
49 50		
51 52		
53 54		
55 56		4
57 58		
59 60		
61 62		
63 61		
65		

INTRODUCTION

Although contemporary cardiovascular (CV) treatments including revascularization and guidelinedirected medical therapy have improved clinical outcomes in patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), its associated mortality rate remains unchanged and a considerable number of patients still suffer from recurrent CV events.¹ Abundant clinical evidence has supported the aggressive reduction of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) in order to reduce CV events. Since achieving guideline-based recommended LDL-C levels fails to guarantee a significant reduction in ASCVD in many patients, further strategies may be required to adequately reduce the remaining CV risk.

10 Treatment guidelines recommend intensified anti-thrombotic treatment in patients with 11 high-risk ischemic features, such as acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and poly-vascular 12 disease,^{2,3} based on the results of randomized controlled trials including the Dual Antiplatelet 13 Therapy (DAPT), Prevention of Cardiovascular Events in Patients with Prior Heart Attack Using 14 Ticagrelor Compared to Placebo on a Background of Aspirin–Thrombolysis in Myocardial 15 Infarction 54 (PEGASUS-TIMI 54), and Cardiovascular Outcomes for People Using 16 Anticoagulation Strategies (COMPASS) trials.⁴⁻⁶ This intensified regimen, i.e., the longer-term 17 DAPT administration and the addition of vascular-dose rivaroxaban to aspirin, has significantly 18 reduced the risk of atherothrombotic events compared with aspirin monotherapy, however, the risk 19 of bleeding events has increased.

Following percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), the activation of platelet and coagulation pathways followed by atherosclerotic vascular injury is fundamental to the development of acute and chronic CV events.⁷ However, most post-PCI risk stratifications addressing anti-thrombotic agents have focused on antiplatelet strategy in addition to clinical and

5

1

62 63 64

procedural factors.^{8,9} The lack of reliable laboratory tests for measuring the clot formation-lysis process leads to an underestimate of its effects on clinical prognosis. Therefore, we have an unmet need for reliable biomarkers or surrogates for this biological issue. For this purpose, there are several available candidates such as the global haemostasis assays which use native whole blood (e.g., thromboelastography [TEG], global thrombosis test [GTT]) or plasma (e.g., plasma turbidimetric assay).¹⁰ The TEG assay uses citrated whole blood to measure clot formation under a low shear rate, whereas GTT uses a non-anticoagulated blood sample under a high shear rate. There have been conflicting results regarding the association between clinical outcomes and parameters from these haemostasis assays.¹⁰⁻¹³After PCI, the maximum amplitude (MA, plateletfibrin clot formation) in the TEG assay has been mainly correlated with worse outcomes,¹² whereas lysis time (LT, fibrinolysis activity) in the GTT assay can predict clinical prognosis.¹¹ To date, clinical evidence for the TEG assay has been modest in size and included selected

patients with relatively short-term follow-ups. We sought to evaluate its clinical usefulness in a large-scale high-risk population that included ASCVD patients undergoing PCI by assessing: 1) thrombogenicity indices in patients who presented with and without AMI; and 2) the prognostic implications of thrombogenicity indices on long-term major adverse CV events (MACE) after PCI.

9 METHODS

0 Study Population

The study population was derived from the multicenter, prospective, observational Gyeongsang National University Hospital (G-NUH) registry (clinicaltrials.gov identifier, NCT04650529).¹⁴ The G-NUH registry enrolled consecutive patients with significant coronary artery disease (CAD)

who underwent PCI in two distinct tertiary referral hospitals between January 2010 and November 2018, and included systematically evaluated multiple haemostatic, vascular, and physiologic parameters (**Figure 1**). From the 5,080 total patients, we included those who had undergone pre-PCI TEG measurement. A total of 2,375 patients were excluded due to duplicate patient data (readmission or staged procedure, n = 622), due to follow-up loss (n = 112), and a lack of TEG data, which included not available blood sampling (e.g., cardiogenic shock, n = 191), oral anticoagulation before sampling (n = 330), and off-hour visit (weekdays from 6 PM to 9 AM, weekends, and holidays) of technicians hired for haemostatic measurement (n = 1,120). There were no significant differences in the baseline characteristics between included and excluded patients (**Table S1**).

The Institutional Review Board of the respective hospitals approved the study protocol and waived the requirement for written informed consent for the access to an institutional registry. The study protocol was in accordance with the Good Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki.

5 Patient Management and Procedures

Patients were treated according to standard practice at both hospitals, based on the current guidelines.^{2,15-17} The choice of treatment strategy (stent implantation and medication choice) was left to the operator's discretion. All patients were recommended indefinite aspirin and clopidogrel or other P2Y₁₂ inhibitor treatment, such as prasugrel or ticagrelor. Treatment duration and choice of P2Y₁₂ inhibitor was left to the operator's discretion in accordance with the guidelines and the patients' individual bleeding risks as perceived by the treating physician.

Demographic features and CV risk factors were collected through patient interviews or by reviewing of medical records. During hospitalization, findings of coronary angiography and detailed procedural characteristics of PCI as well as information on discharge medications were collected.

Thromboelastography (TEG) Measurement

According to the prespecified protocol,¹⁴ blood samples for TEG were drawn into Vacutainer tubes containing 3.2% trisodium citrate (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) from the arterial sheath immediately after sheath insertion for coronary angiography, and TEG tracing was performed within 4 hours of sampling by a dedicated technician. Periprocedural heparin for the prevention of thrombosis was administered after blood sampling for TEG measurement. For haemostatic assessment, the TEG® 5000 Hemostasis Analyzer System (Haemonetics Corp, Braintree, MA, USA) with automated analytical software was used.¹⁸ Briefly, 500 µL of citrated blood was mixed with kaolin by inversion, and 340 µL of the activated blood was then transferred to the reaction cup, to which 20 µL of 200 mmol/L calcium chloride was added. In heparinpretreated cases, the classic TEG kit and the TEG kit with added heparinase (hTEG) were simultaneously used to evaluate the neutralizing effect of heparinase. A stationary pin was suspended into an oscillating cup that contained the whole blood sample. As the blood clots, it links the pin to the cup. Pin movement is converted into an electrical signal by a transducer and is interpreted by the computer which creates a tracing.

Reaction time (R, in minutes), a representative value of enzymatic clotting, is the time from the start of the sample run to the point of the initial clot formation corresponding to an amplitude of 2 mm of the TEG tracing. K (in minutes) is a measure of the time required to reach a 20 mm

clot strength from time point R. Angle (in degrees) is reflective of the fibrinogen activity and is the angle degree formed by the tangent line to the TEG tracing measure at the R time point. Kaolininduced maximum amplitude (MA, in millimeters) represents the maximum platelet-fibrin clot strength (PFCS). LY₃₀ is the percentage of the clot that has lysed 30 minutes after the MA time point and indicates the level of fibrinolytic activity.

7 Study Endpoint, Definitions, and Follow-up

The primary endpoint was the MACE, which was defined as a composite occurrence of CV death, spontaneous MI, and non-fatal stroke for up to 4 years after PCI. All endpoints were defined according to the Academic Research Consortium definitions.¹⁹ All deaths were considered CV unless a definitive non-CV cause was identified. Spontaneous MI (or Type 1 MI) was defined as the recurrence of symptoms with the presence of electrocardiographic changes, or imaging evidence of new loss of viable myocardium or new regional wall motion abnormalities in association with a rise in cardiac biomarker levels above the upper limit of normal. Peri-procedural MI was not included as a clinical outcome. Stroke was defined as evidence of a neurological deficit requiring hospitalization, with clinically documented brain lesions on computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging confirmed by a neurologist. All clinical events were evaluated by an independent event adjudicating committee. Patients were routinely followed up by outpatient visits or by telephone at 1, 6, and 12 months after the index procedure, and annually thereafter.

21 Statistical Analysis

All categorical variables are presented as numbers and relative frequencies (percentage). Continuous variables are presented as means and standard deviations, or as medians with first and third quartiles, according to their distribution, which has been checked by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and a visual inspection of the Q-Q plots. Differences between groups were assessed using the chi-square test for categorical variables and the Student's *t*-test or the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables. For the multiple-group comparisons according to the MA and LY₃₀, continuous variables were tested using the analysis of variance to test for differences.

The optimal cut-off values of MA and LY₃₀ associated with index MI presentation were calculated using receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves to maximize the sensitivity and specificity. To evaluate the clinical impact of MA and LY₃₀ on the presence of index AMI, univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed. The multivariable model was constructed using all variables with a significance of P < 0.1 in the univariable analyses. The final multivariable model was constructed using backward elimination to identify the best Akaike's information criterion, and odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were identified.

The associations between MA or LY₃₀ as continuous variables and the risk of 4-year MACE were graphically presented with a restricted cubic spline with three degrees of freedom.²⁰ Cumulative event rates were estimated with the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. A Cox proportional hazard regression model was used to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs. The assumption of proportionality was assessed graphically by the log-minuslog plot and was also tested by Schoenfeld residuals. Multivariable Cox proportional hazard models were constructed using variables with P < 0.1 in univariable analyses with backward elimination based on an information criterion. The final model included thrombogenicity, diagnosis of AMI, age, sex, current smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidaemia, chronic kidney disease, previous PCI, previous stroke, high sensitivity C-reactive protein (CRP)

level, potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitor, beta blocker, angiotensin blocker, and statin. The incremental prognostic value of TEG values was evaluated by comparing Harrell's c-index, category-free net reclassification index (NRI), and integrated discrimination index (IDI).

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 25 for Windows (SPSS-PC, Chicago, IL, USA), and R version 3.6.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). All tests were two-tailed, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

9 **RESULTS**

10 Baseline Characteristics

A total of 2,705 patients were identified for the current analysis, and grouped into two cohorts: AMI (n = 1,294, 47.8%) and non-AMI (n = 1,411, 52.2%) (**Figure 1**). **Table 1** shows the baseline characteristics of the study population according to the index diagnosis of AMI. Patients who presented with AMI were older and had a higher incidence of current smoking, dyslipidaemia, and peripheral arterial disease than those without AMI. Conversely, the non-AMI group had a higher incidence of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and previous PCI. Patients in the AMI group had higher levels of white blood cell count, haemoglobin and LDL-C, and a lower left ventricular ejection fraction.

Although there were no significant differences in procedural methods between the groups, AMI patients were treated with a fewer number of stents compared with non-AMI patients. The AMI group was more frequently treated with potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitors. Beta blockers, angiotensin receptor blockers, and statins were also more frequently prescribed in the AMI than in the non-AMI group.

2 Association Between Thrombogenicity Indices and Index Presentation of Disease

Table 2 shows TEG measurements according to the index presentation of disease acuity. PFCS was significantly higher in patients presenting with AMI (MA: 66.5 ± 7.8 vs. 65.3 ± 7.2 mm; *P* < 0.001). In addition, LY₃₀ was significantly lower in the AMI group compared with the non-AMI group (0.9 ± 1.8 vs. 1.1 ± 1.9 mm; *P* < 0.001). When we stratified the AMI phenotype into STsegment elevation vs. non-ST-segment elevation, patients with ST-segment elevation showed an enhanced thrombogenic property including a higher level of PFCS (MA: 67.1 ± 7.4 vs. 65.8 ± 8.3 mm; *P* < 0.001) than those with non-ST-segment elevation AMI (**Table S2**).

By multivariable analysis (**Table 3**), both MA (every 1 mm increase: OR, 1.024; 95% CI, 1.013-1.036; P < 0.001) and LY₃₀ (every 1% increase: OR, 0.934; 95% CI, 0.893-0.978; P = 0.004) were independently associated with index AMI presentation, with a modest to good association (cstatistics = 0.69). **Figures S1** and **S2** show the optimal cut-offs of MA and LY₃₀ for the index presentation of AMI, respectively. In the present analysis, 'MA \geq 68 mm' indicated hypercoagulability phenotype and 'LY₃₀ < 0.2%' indicated an impaired fibrinolysis phenotype. The AMI patients had a higher prevalence of hypercoagulability (44.9% vs. 35.4%; P < 0.001) and impaired fibrinolytic activity (53.7% vs. 42.9%; P < 0.001) compared with the non-AMI patients.

19 Prognostic Implications of Thrombogenicity Indices for Long-term MACE

As a continuous variable, MA was significantly associated with the MACE rate at 4 years (HR, 1.029; 95% CI, 1.008-1.051; P = 0.007) (Figure 2A). LY₃₀ showed a numerical trend of a protective effect against 4-year MACE (HR, 0.914; 95% CI, 0.831-1.006; P = 0.067) (Figure 2B). When we compared clinical outcomes according to binary classification of MA (≥ 68 vs. < 68 mm) and LY₃₀ (< 0.2% vs. \geq 0.2%), both hypercoagulability ('MA \geq 68 mm': HR; 1.707; 95% CI, 1.265-2.305; *P* < 0.001) and impaired fibrinolytic activity ('LY₃₀ < 0.2%': HR, 1.512; 95% CI, 1.118-2.045; *P* = 0.007) were associated with an increased risk of 4-year MACE (**Figure 3**). When considering the presence of hypercoagulability and impaired fibrinolytic activity simultaneously (**Table S3**), patients with 'MA \geq 68 mm' and 'LY₃₀ < 0.2%' (hypercoagulability with impaired fibrinolytic activity) had an increased risk of 4-year MACE (31.2% vs. 10.7%: adjusted HR, 1.781; 95% CI, 1.130-2.808; *P* = 0.012) compared with those with 'MA < 68 mm' and 'LY₃₀ \geq 0.2%' (normal coagulability and normal fibrinolytic activity) (**Figure 4** and **Table S4**).

The incremental prognostic value of thrombogenicity (hypercoagulability with impaired fibrinolytic activity) was compared with the clinical variable model. The final model, which included thrombogenicity, showed an increased discrimination and reclassification ability (c-index 0.756, P < 0.001; NRI 0.701, P < 0.001; IDI 0.059, P < 0.001) (Figure S3).

4 Differential Impact of Thrombogenicity Indices According to Index Disease Acuity

There were several differences in the risk of 4-year MACE among the groups when classified by thrombogenicity indices and index disease acuity (overall log-rank P < 0.001) (**Figure 5**). Index AMI phenotype with heightened thrombogenicity ('MA \geq 68 mm'+'LY₃₀<0.2%') had the greatest risk of 4-year MACE. Heightened thrombogenicity did not increase the risk of MACE in non-AMI patients (HR, 1.031; 95% CI, 0.499-2.129; P = 0.935), whereas it did have a significant prognostic implication in AMI patients (HR, 1.744; 95% CI, 1.135-2.679; P = 0.011) (**Table 4**).

DISCUSSION

13

The current study is the largest analysis evaluating the relationship of hypercoagulability and impaired fibrinolysis by TEG assessment according to disease acuity, and its influence on longterm outcomes after PCI. The present analysis demonstrated that: 1) elevated levels of plateletfibrin clot strength and low fibrinolysis activity measured by TEG were both independently associated with the index AMI presentation; and 2) each marker was significantly associated with worse clinical prognoses and their combined occurrence was associated with the highest risk of MACE in AMI patients undergoing PCI (Structured Graphical Abstract).

The balance between prothrombotic and fibrinolytic factors is a key determinant in the development of ASCVD events.²¹ In the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) cohort, thrombotic biomarkers, such as fibringen and factor VIII, were associated with a higher risk of ASCVD events, while fibrinolytic factors, such as oxidized phospholipid bound to plasminogen, were associated with a lower risk of ASCVD events, even after a multivariate analysis of traditional CV risk factors.²² These assays, however, may not be appropriate for diagnosis and risk stratification in individual patients due to their variability and complexity.²³ Therefore, there have been efforts to use global haemostasis tests for measuring thrombosis and fibrinolysis in patients with CAD. Previous studies demonstrated that MA measured by TEG was correlated with the adenosine diphosphate (ADP)-induced platelet aggregation, coagulation factors (e.g., von Willebrand factor and fibrinogen), and inflammation markers (e.g., CRP and interleukin-8), which have been considered meaningful predictors of ASCVD development.^{12,24-26} The results of the Thrombotic RIsk Progression (TRIP) study demonstrated that a distinct pathophysiological state of heightened platelet function, hypercoagulability and inflammation marks the presence of unstable ASCVD requiring intervention. In this study, a significant relationship was found between two important biologic markers, PFCS and CRP, as well as between them and other

Commented [PL1]: AUTHOR: Mentioning of Graphical abstract was missing, please check if added citation is fine here

biomarkers such as fibrinogen, von Willebrand factor, and plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI)-1.²⁷ Patients with poly-vascular disease who have synchronous CAD and peripheral arterial disease had significantly higher MA and CRP levels compared with CAD patients with normal anklebrachial indexes.18

Endogenous fibrinolysis may have a protective role in attenuating the occurrence of coronary events.²⁸⁻³⁰ Saraf et al. showed that LT measured by GTT, a marker of endogenous thrombolysis, was prolonged in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) compared to healthy patients.³¹ In addition, AMI patients who presented with spontaneous ST-segment resolution before PCI had more rapid fibrinolytic activity than those who did not.¹¹ Sumaya et al. studied the clinical impact of plasma clot LT and maximum turbidity among ACS patients, and found that the resistance of fibrin clots to lysis was independently associated with adverse clinical events.¹³

Furthermore, both hypercoagulability and endogenous fibrinolysis were significant predictors of clinical outcomes in previous studies. Farag et al. showed that LT measured by GTT could identify the high ischemic phenotype in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation MI.¹¹ In their study, prolonged LT was highly predictive of recurrent MACE during a 1-year follow-up. Similarly, Jeong et al. evaluated the relationship between TEG MA and high platelet reactivity (HPR) in PCI-treated patients. A high MA was associated with a higher rate of HPR phenotype, and both parameters were associated with an increased risk of 2-year MACE.³² Gurbel et al. investigated the prognostic implication of MA in CAD patients undergoing PCI.³³ 'MA > 69 mm' was a significant independent predictor of first ischemic events during their 3-year follow-up. Kang et al. evaluated the association between thrombogenicity and coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD, defined as an index of microcirculatory resistance > 40 U) in AMI patients.¹⁴ $^{\circ}MA \ge 68$ mm' was significantly associated with post-procedural CMD in the culprit lesion, and

as well as with a higher rate of MACE. In the present study, we enrolled 2,705 patients who underwent pre-PCI global haemostasis profiling by TEG, and evaluated the clinical outcomes during a 4-year clinical follow-up. From our comprehensive analysis, we were able to confirm the close link of platelet-fibrin clot strength and endogenous fibrinolysis with the progression of ASCVD, and the differential impact of these markers on long-term atherothrombotic events according to the index disease acuity following PCI. In the current analysis, hypercoagulability and impaired fibrinolysis were associated with MACE in the AMI group, but not in the non-AMI group. AMI patients have a high-risk profile, which is associated with combined CV risks and comorbidities, as well as vulnerable blood property. The association between thrombogenicity and clinical events is likely closer in the AMI phenotype. Therefore, potent control of coagulationfibrinolysis activity would be required in these patients to prevent the recurrence of atherothrombotic events.

However, interpreting the results from global haemostasis tests might require caution. Spinthakis et al. showed the difference in GTT and TEG measurements in evaluating fibrinolysis after anticoagulation treatment.³⁴ They found a discrepancy between the GTT and TEG parameters, namely, that the effect of apixaban on endogenous fibrinolysis was only observed with the GTT assay. In general, the GTT technique simulates high-shear circumstances, whereas the TEG technique assesses the global viscoelastic properties under low-shear circumstances.^{30,34} Therefore, TEG measurement may have some limitations in the evaluation of the function of fibrinolysis among CAD patients.

The present study has demonstrated again that high-risk patients with diabetes, chronic kidney disease, and enhanced inflammation have high level of thrombogenicity, especially those presenting with both hypercoagulability and impaired endogenous fibrinolysis.³⁵⁻³⁸ Interestingly,

thrombogenicity was found to be associated with long-term clinical outcomes after index PCI among AMI patients, even after guideline-directed medical therapy. These findings suggest that platelet-fibrin-plasmin interaction can be a future target for individualized therapy for improving the clinical outcomes in AMI patients. AMI patients with thrombogenicity might be the best anticoagulant therapy candidates when looking to maximize net benefit. Although other haemostasis assays have several limitations such as limited availability and the need for well-trained personnel,¹³ TEG is a reliable test that has been in clinical practice for a long time. The updated TEG 6S system is fully automated with small variability,³⁹ and is relatively unbound by the requirement for ample personnel resources. Therefore, TEG may be a relevant modality for assessing the residual ischemic risk and stratifying the high-risk AMI patients after PCI in order to determine their long-term clinical prognoses.

Limitations

This study had several limitations. First, this was a prospective observational study. Although about 3,000 patients were consecutively enrolled in the current analysis, we could not exclude the possibility of selection bias or other systematic confounders. Concomitant medical therapies might have affected the clinical outcomes; however, this could not be fully evaluated in the current analysis since there were no significant differences in prescribed medications according to the thrombogenicity. Second, although we have reported relatively long-term clinical outcomes, the mean duration of follow-up was roughly 2 years. Third, our study is hypothesis-generating rather than confirmative. However, we believe that our findings regarding the cut-offs of this laboratory assay may present an important background for personalized antithrombotic therapy in future studies. Further research may be needed to establish the clinical usefulness of TEG measurement

in real-world practice. Fourth, it is known that external factors including lipid modification can affect endogenous clot characteristics.⁴⁰ To minimize these effects, blood sampling was timely performed on the same day of the PCI. However, we could not fully exclude the effects of these external factors on the TEG measurements. Fifth, the decision of performing PCI in stable CAD patients by the attending physician on the basis of imaging or invasive coronary physiologic tests for intermediate stenosis, which might not fully reflect the current practice. Sixth, there is a concern regarding whether the MA value obtained by the TEG is an actionable indicator. Several studies have already shown the relationship between the use of oral anticoagulants and the reduction of MA values,^{41,42} which requires further laboratory evidence. Finally, we only collected clinically available laboratory data. Therefore, there is a possibility of missing crucial biomarkers affecting the TEG value and its prognostic implications.¹³

14 Conclusions

Heightened thrombogenicity evaluated by TEG-defined hypercoagulability and impaired fibrinolytic activity was associated with the occurrence of index AMI at the time of PCI. Despite guideline-recommended intensive medical therapy, heightened thrombogenicity was found to be an important predictor of long-term adverse clinical outcomes.

1 2	
3 4	
5 6	
7 8	
9 10	
11 12 1	Funding
13 14 ²	2 None
15 16 ³	3
17 18	Conflict of interest
19 20	Dr Jeong has received honoraria for lectures from AstraZeneca, Daiichi Sankyo, Sanofi-Aventis,
21 e	and Han-mi Pharmaceuticals; and research grants or support from Yuhan Pharmaceuticals, Han-
23 7	mi Pharmaceuticals, Sam-jin Pharmaceuticals, Biotronik, and U&I Corporation. The other authors
25 8	3 report no conflicts of interest.
26 27 ⁹)
28 29 ¹⁰) Data availability
³⁰ 11 31	Data will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author, if required.
³² 12 33	DO NO ITÉ
34 35	DERIBO
36 37	MSTU
38 39	Dr.
40 41	
42 43	
44 45	
46 47	
48 49	
50 51	
52 52	
53 54	
55 56	19
57 58	
59 60	
61 62	
63 64	
65	

REFERENCES

13 2

14 3

15 4

16 5

17 6

20 9

21 10

- 1. Bhatt DL, Eagle KA, Ohman EM, Hirsch AT, Goto S, Mahoney EM, et al. Comparative determinants of 4-year cardiovascular event rates in stable outpatients at risk of or with atherothrombosis. JAMA 2010;304:1350-1357.
- 2. Neumann FJ, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, Alfonso F, Banning AP, Benedetto U, et al. 2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. Eur Heart J 2019;40:87-165.
- 18 7 3. Lawton JS, Tamis-Holland JE, Bangalore S, Bates ER, Beckie TM, Bischoff JM, et al. 2021 19 8 ACC/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Coronary Artery Revascularization: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation 2022;145:e18-e114.
- 2211 4. Mauri L, Kereiakes DJ, Yeh RW, Driscoll-Shempp P, Cutlip DE, Steg PG, et al. Twelve or 30 months of dual antiplatelet therapy after drug-eluting stents. N Engl J Med 2312 2014;**371**:2155-2166. 24 13
- 5. Bonaca MP, Bhatt DL, Cohen M, Steg PG, Storey RF, Jensen EC, et al. Long-term use of 25 14 ticagrelor in patients with prior myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2015;372:1791-1800.
- $26 ext{ } 15 ext{ } 26 ext{ } 16 ext{ } 27 ext{ } 17 ext{$ Eikelboom JW, Connolly SJ, Bosch J, Dagenais GR, Hart RG, Shestakovska O, et al. 6. Rivaroxaban with or without Aspirin in Stable Cardiovascular Disease. N Engl J Med 17 28 18 2017;377:1319-1330. 29¹⁰₁₉
- 7. Reininger AJ, Bernlochner I, Penz SM, Ravanat C, Smethurst P, Farndale RW, et al. A 2-30 20 step mechanism of arterial thrombus formation induced by human atherosclerotic plaques. 31 21 J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:1147-1158.
- 32 22 Sibbing D, Aradi D, Alexopoulos D, Ten Berg J, Bhatt DL, Bonello L, et al. Updated Expert 8. 3323 Consensus Statement on Platelet Function and Genetic Testing for Guiding P2Y12 3424 Receptor Inhibitor Treatment in Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. JACC Cardiovasc 35 25 Interv 2019;12:1521-1537.
- 9. Lee SH, Kim HK, Jeong MH, Yasuda S, Honda S, Jeong YH, et al. Practical guidance for 36 26 37 27 P2Y12 inhibitors in acute myocardial infarction undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother 2021;7:112-124.
- 37 27 38 28 39 29 39 30 40 31 10. Gorog DA, Lip GYH. Impaired Spontaneous/Endogenous Fibrinolytic Status as New Cardiovascular Risk Factor?: JACC Review Topic of the Week. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;74:1366-1375.
- 41_{32}^{31} 42_{33}^{31} 11. Farag M, Spinthakis N, Gue YX, Srinivasan M, Sullivan K, Wellsted D, et al. Impaired endogenous fibrinolysis in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients 43 34 undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention is a predictor of recurrent 44 35 cardiovascular events: the RISK PPCI study. Eur Heart J 2019;40:295-305.
- 45 36 12. Gurbel PA, Bliden KP, Guyer K, Cho PW, Zaman KA, Kreutz RP, et al. Platelet reactivity 4637 in patients and recurrent events post-stenting: results of the PREPARE POST-STENTING 4738 Study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;46:1820-1826.
- Sumaya W, Wallentin L, James SK, Siegbahn A, Gabrysch K, Bertilsson M, et al. Fibrin 48 39 13. 49 ⁴⁰ clot properties independently predict adverse clinical outcome following acute coronary 50⁴¹ syndrome: a PLATO substudy. Eur Heart J 2018;39:1078-1085.
- 14. Kang MG, Koo BK, Tantry US, Kim K, Ahn JH, Park HW, et al. Association Between 52⁴³ 44 Thrombogenicity Indices and Coronary Microvascular Dysfunction in Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction. JACC Basic Transl Sci 2021;6:749-761.
- 53₄₅ Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, Bailey SR, Bittl JA, Cercek B, et al. 2011 15. 54

59 60 61

55

56 57 58

62

63 64

- ACCF/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58:e44-122.
- 5 16. Steg PG, James SK, Atar D, Badano LP, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, Borger MA, et al. ESC 6 Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with 7 ST-segment elevation. Eur Heart J 2012;33:2569-2619.
- 18 8 Windecker S, Kolh P, Alfonso F, Collet JP, Cremer J, Falk V, et al. 2014 ESC/EACTS 17. $19\tilde{9}$ Guidelines on myocardial revascularization: The Task Force on Myocardial 20 10 Revascularization of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European 21 11 Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS)Developed with the special contribution 22 12 of the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI). Eur 23 13 Heart J 2014;35:2541-2619.
- 24 14 18. Bae JS, Ahn JH, Jang JY, Cho SY, Kang MG, Kim KH, et al. The Impact of platelet-fibrin 25 15 clot strength on occurrence and clinical outcomes of peripheral artery disease in patients with significant coronary artery disease. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2020;50:969-981.
 - 19. Garcia-Garcia HM, McFadden EP, Farb A, Mehran R, Stone GW, Spertus J, et al. Standardized End Point Definitions for Coronary Intervention Trials: The Academic Research Consortium-2 Consensus Document. Circulation 2018;137:2635-2650.
 - 20. Durrleman S, Simon R. Flexible regression models with cubic splines. Stat Med 1989;8:551-561.
- $\begin{array}{c} 26 & 16 \\ 27 & 17 \\ 28 & 18 \\ 29 & 19 \\ 30 & 21 \\ 31 & 22 \\ 32 & 23 \\ 33 & 24 \end{array}$ Kim HK, Tantry US, Park HW, Shin ES, Geisler T, Gorog DA, et al. Ethnic Difference of 21. Thrombogenicity in Patients with Cardiovascular Disease: a Pandora Box to Explain Prognostic Differences. Korean Circ J 2021;51:202-221.
- 34 25 DeFilippis AP, Trainor PJ, Thanassoulis G, Brumback LC, Post WS, Tsai MY, et al. 22. 35 26 Atherothrombotic factors and atherosclerotic cardiovascular events: the multi-ethnic study 3627 of atherosclerosis. Eur Heart J 2022;43:971-981.
- 3728 23. Gorog DA. Prognostic value of plasma fibrinolysis activation markers in cardiovascular 38 29 disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:2701-2709.
- 39 ³⁰ 24. Gurbel PA, Bliden KP, Kreutz RP, Dichiara J, Antonino MJ, Tantry US. The link between heightened thrombogenicity and inflammation: pre-procedure characterization of the patient at high risk for recurrent events after stenting. Platelets 2009;20:97-104.
- 39 30 40 31 41 32 41 33 42 34 43 35 44 36 Gragnano F, Golia E, Natale F, Bianchi R, Pariggiano I, Crisci M, et al. Von Willebrand 25. Factor and Cardiovascular Disease: From a Biochemical Marker to an Attractive Therapeutic Target. Curr Vasc Pharmacol 2017;15:404-415.
- 26. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Rose L, Buring JE, Cook NR. Comparison of C-reactive protein and 45 37 low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in the prediction of first cardiovascular events. 46 38 N Engl J Med 2002;347:1557-1565.
- 47 39 27. Tantry US, Bliden KP, Suarez TA, Kreutz RP, Dichiara J, Gurbel PA. Hypercoagulability, 4840 platelet function, inflammation and coronary artery disease acuity: results of the 4941 Thrombotic RIsk Progression (TRIP) study. Platelets 2010;21:360-367.
- 5042 28. Fefer P, Hod H, Hammerman H, Boyko V, Behar S, Matetzky S, et al. Relation of clinically 51 43 defined spontaneous reperfusion to outcome in ST-elevation myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 2009;103:149-153.
- 51 44 52 44 53 45 54 46 29. Rittersma SZ, van der Wal AC, Koch KT, Piek JJ, Henriques JP, Mulder KJ, et al. Plaque instability frequently occurs days or weeks before occlusive coronary thrombosis: a

55

13 2

14 3

16

17

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12 1		pathological thrombectomy study in primary percutaneous coronary intervention.
13 2		<i>Circulation</i> 2005; 111 :1160-1165.
14 3	30.	Okafor ON, Gorog DA. Endogenous Fibrinolysis: An Important Mediator of Thrombus
15^{-4}		Formation and Cardiovascular Risk. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;65:1683-1699.
$15 \\ 16 5$	31.	Saraf S, Christopoulos C, Salha IB, Stott DJ, Gorog DA. Impaired endogenous
10^{10} 6		thrombolysis in acute coronary syndrome patients predicts cardiovascular death and
$\frac{1}{10}7$		nonfatal myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:2107-2115.
18 8	32.	Jeong YH, Bliden KP, Shuldiner AR, Tantry US, Gurbel PA. Thrombin-induced platelet-
19 ₉		fibrin clot strength: relation to high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity, genotype, and post-
20 ₁₀		percutaneous coronary intervention outcomes. Thromb Haemost 2014;111:713-724.
21 11	33.	Gurbel PA, Bliden KP, Navickas IA, Mahla E, Dichiara J, Suarez TA, et al. Adenosine
2212		diphosphate-induced platelet-fibrin clot strength a new thrombelastographic indicator of
2313		long-term poststenting ischemic events Am Heart J 2010: 160: 346-354
24 14	34	Sninthakis N Gue Y Farag M Sriniyasan M Wellsted D Arachchillage DRI et al
2515	51.	Anixaban enhances endogenous fibrinolysis in natients with atrial fibrillation <i>Europace</i>
2515		2019:21-1297-1306
26^{10}	35	Bryk-Wiazania AH Undas A Hypofibrinolysis in type 2 diabetes and its clinical
27^{17}_{18}	55.	implications: from mechanisms to pharmacological modulation <i>Cardiovasc Diabetol</i>
28^{10}_{10}		$2021 \cdot 20 \cdot 101$
$29\frac{19}{20}$	36	2021,20,191. Kaarnay K. Tomlinson D. Smith K. Ajian P. Hynofibrinolysis in diabates: a theranautic
$30\frac{20}{21}$	50.	target for the reduction of cardiovaccular rick <i>Cardiovaca</i> Diabatel 2017:16:24
31^{21}_{22}	27	Uses K. The undersphere blood. Coordination and alot structure in dislates mallitus.
32^{22}	57.	Hess K. The vulnerable blood. Coaguration and clot structure in diabetes mennus.
33.24	20	Hamostaseologie 2015, 35 .23-35.
34.25	38.	Undas A, Ariens KA. Florin clou structure and function: a fole in the pathophysiology of arterial and area are threshold and the structure and function. Thread Kara Dis 12011-21, 89
25 26		alternal and venous unionidoembolic diseases. Arterioscier Thromo Vasc Biol 2011, 51.688-
3520	20	99. Dis ID Data in The state I D Data in D Data C Asharah HE Commission of
3627	39.	Dias JD, Pottglesser I, Hartmann J, Duerschmied D, Bode C, Achneck HE. Comparison of
37/28		infee common whole blood platelet function tests for in vitro P2Y12 induced platelet in this is $LTL = 1/TL = 1.2020.50,125,142$
38 29	10	innibition. J Inromb Inrombolysis 2020;50:135-143.
39 30	40.	Sludut J, Zabczyk M, Wolkow P, Polak M, Undas A, Jawien J. Intensive low-density
40^{31}		lipoprotein cholesterol lowering improves fibrin clot properties. Association with
$41\frac{32}{22}$	4.1	lipoproteins and C-reactive protein. Vascul Pharmacol 2022;144:106977.
42^{33}	41.	Lau YC, Xiong Q, Shantsila E, Lip GY, Blann AD. Effects of non-vitamin K antagonist
43 25		oral anticoagulants on fibrin clot and whole blood clot formation, integrity and
44 26	40	thrombolysis in patients with atrial fibrillation. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2016;42:535-544.
45.25	42.	Dinkelaar J, Patiwael S, Harenberg J, Leyte A, Brinkman HJ. Global coagulation tests:
4537		their applicability for measuring direct factor Xa- and thrombin inhibition and reversal of
46 38		anticoagulation by prothrombin complex concentrate. <i>Clin Chem Lab Med</i> 2014; 52 :1615-
4739		1623.
48 40		
49 41		
50 41		
51		
52		
53		
55 E /		
54		
55		22
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		
61		
62		
63		
00		

1 Figure Legends

1 2

2 Figure 1. Study Flow

3 Abbreviations: AMI = acute myocardial infarction; G-NUH = Gyeongsang National University $4 Hospital; <math>LY_{30} =$ percentage of the clot that has lysed 30 minutes after the time of maximum 5 amplitude; MA = maximum amplitude; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; TEG = 6 thromboelastography

9 Figure 2. Association Between MACE at 4 Years and TEG Parameters

10 Spline curves showed association between (A) MA or (B) LY₃₀ and MACE at 4 years.

11 Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio; MACE = major adverse 12 cardiovascular events; other abbreviations as in Figure 1.

15 Figure 3. Comparison of 4-Year MACE According to TEG parameters

6 Comparison of cumulative incidence and Kaplan-Meier curves of MACE at 4 years according to
7 (A) hypercoagulability and (B) impaired fibrinolytic activity.

Abbreviations as in Figures 1 and 2.

21 Figure 4. Comparison of 4-Year MACE According to Hypercoagulability and Impaired

22 Fibrinolytic Activity

Comparison of cumulative incidence and Kaplan-Meier curves of MACE at 4 years according to the 3 groups classified by MA and LY₃₀; 1) MA <68 mm and LY₃₀ \ge 0.2%, 2) MA \ge 68 mm or LY₃₀ <0.2%; and 3) MA \ge 68 mm and LY₃₀ <0.2%.

Abbreviations as in Figures 1 and 2.

43 28

29 Figure 5. Comparison of 4-Year MACE According to Thrombogenicity and AMI Acuity

Comparison of cumulative incidence and Kaplan-Meier curves of MACE at 4 years according to
 the 4 groups by thrombogenicity and index AMI presentation.

Abbreviations as in Figures 1 and 2.

35 Structured Graphical Abstract

$1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 14 \\ 3 \\ 15 \\ 4 \\ 16 \\ 5 \\ 17 \\ 6 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 30 \\ 31 \\ 32 \\ 33 \\ 33 \\ 33 \\ 33 \\ 33 \\ 33$	The association between thrombogenicity and AMI occurrence, and their prognostic implications for long-term cardiovascular outcomes were investigated. Abbreviations: AMI = acute myocardial infarction; HR = hazard ratio; LY ₃₀ = percentage of the clot that has lysed 30 minutes after the time of maximum amplitude; MA = maximum amplitude; MACE = major adverse cardiovascular events; OR = odds ratio; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; TEG = thromboelastography.
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	DISTRIBUTE

54 55

57

0 1 2 3	Overall Population (N=2,705)	Non-AMI (N=1,411)	AMI (N=1,294)	P value
4 Age, years	65.1 ± 11.9	64.6 ± 11.0	65.6 ± 12.8	0.032
⁵ Men, n (%)	1,938 (71.6)	1,005 (71.2)	933 (72.1)	0.644
⁷ ₈ Body mass index, kg/m ²	24.3 ± 3.4	24.5 ± 3.3	24.0 ± 3.5	< 0.001
⁹ Risk factors, n (%)				
¹ Current smoking	813 (30.1)	308 (21.8)	505 (39.0)	< 0.001
3 Diabetes mellitus	863 (31.9)	494 (35.0)	369 (28.5)	< 0.001
⁴ ₅ Hypertension	1,429 (52.8)	794 (56.3)	635 (49.1)	< 0.001
⁶ ₇ Dyslipidemia	1,459 (53.9)	665 (47.1)	794 (61.4)	< 0.001
⁸ Chronic kidney disease	465 (17.2)	254 (18.0)	211 (16.3)	0.264
0 Peripheral arterial disease*	284 (12.3)	117 (9.9)	167 (14.9)	< 0.001
¹ 2 Previous PCI	397 (14.7)	265 (18.8)	132 (10.2)	< 0.001
³ / ₄ Previous stroke	173 (6.4)	87 (6.2)	86 (6.6)	0.666
⁵ Laboratory findings				
7 LV ejection fraction, %	55.9 ± 9.5	58.3 ± 9.3	53.5 ± 9.1	< 0.001
WBC, x 10^{3} /mm ³	9.0 ± 3.8	7.8 ± 3.1	10.3 ± 4.0	< 0.001
⁰ Hemoglobin, g/dL	13.4 ± 2.0	13.1 ± 2.0	13.6 ± 2.0	< 0.001
² ₃ Platelet, x 10^3 /mm ³	239.5 ± 69.7	233.4 ± 65.1	246.2 ± 74.0	< 0.001
$\frac{1}{4}$ eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²	81.6 ± 29.6	81.5 ± 31.4	81.8 ± 27.5	0.833
6 Total cholesterol, mg/dL	177.9 ± 47.9	167.4 ± 46.0	189.2 ± 47.3	< 0.001
⁷ ₈ LDL cholesterol, mg/dL	116.1 ± 41.5	106.8 ± 39.2	125.3 ± 41.7	< 0.001
⁹ HDL cholesterol, mg/dL	45.5 ± 14.0	45.7 ± 13.1	45.3 ± 14.7	0.501
$\frac{1}{2}$ Triglyceride, mg/dL	161.0 ± 135.1	154.4 ± 97.3	167.5 ± 163.4	0.015
4 HbA1c, %	6.5 ± 1.4	6.5 ± 1.4	6.4 ± 1.3	0.557

15 16					
17 18 19	hs-CRP. mg/dL	8.5 ± 29.0	7.6 ± 27.2	9.4 ± 30.5	0.123
20 21	Procedural characteristics				
22	AHA/ACC lesion: type B2/C	2,412 (89.1)	1,261 (89.4)	1,151 (88.9)	0.772
23 24	Multivessel disease, n (%)	1,332 (49.2)	691 (49.0)	641 (49.5)	0.799
25 26	Target lesion, n (%)				
27 28	- Left main coronary artery	69 (2.6)	42 (3.0)	27 (2.1)	0.179
29	- Left anterior descending artery	1510 (55.8)	841 (59.6)	669 (51.7)	< 0.001
31	- Left circumflex artery	693 (25.6)	364 (25.8)	329 (25.4)	0.859
32 33	- Right coronary artery	953 (35.2)	462 (32.7)	491 (37.9)	0.005
34 35	Intracoronary imaging, n (%)	2,311 (85.4)	1,240 (87.9)	1,071 (82.8)	< 0.001
36 37	- Intravascular ultrasound	2,259 (83.5)	1,217 (86.3)	1,042 (80.5)	
38	- Optical coherence tomography	52 (1.9)	23 (1.6)	29 (2.2)	
39 40	Treatment method, n (%)				0.059
41 42	- Drug-eluting stent	2,424 (89.6)	1,264 (89.6)	1,160 (89.6)	
43 44	- Bioresorbable scaffold	28 (1.0)	13 (0.9)	15 (1.2)	
45 46	- Bare metal stent	18 (0.7)	7 (0.5)	11 (0.9)	
47	- Drug-coated balloon	107 (4.0)	68 (4.8)	39 (3.0)	
48 49	- POBA	128 (4.7)	59 (4.2)	69 (5.3)	
50 51	Number of stent, n	1.5 ± 0.8	1.5 ± 0.8	1.4 ± 0.7	0.027
52 53	Total stent length, mm	36.8 ± 22.2	37.6 ± 23.4	36.0 ± 20.7	0.068
55 54	Stent diameter, mm	3.1 ± 0.5	3.1 ± 0.5	3.1 ± 0.5	0.436
55 56]	Discharge medications, n (%)				
57 58	Aspirin	2,668 (98.6)	1,381 (97.9)	1,287 (99.5)	0.001
59 60	Type of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor				< 0.001
61 62	- Clopidogrel	2,043 (75.5)	1,113 (78.9)	930 (71.9)	
63 64	- Prasugrel	169 (6.2)	85 (6.0)	84 (6.5)	

16					
17					
18 19	- Ticagrelor	465 (17.2)	192 (13.6)	273 (21.1)	
20 21	Beta blocker	1,549 (57.3)	596 (42.2)	953 (73.6)	< 0.001
22 23	Angiotensin blocker	1,831 (67.7)	822 (58.3)	1,009 (78.0)	< 0.001
24 25	Calcium channel blocker	223 (8.2)	161 (11.4)	62 (4.8)	< 0.001
26	Statin	2,542 (94.0)	1,302 (92.3)	1,240 (95.8)	< 0.001
27	Values are expressed as mean ± standard dev	viation or number (%).			
28	1				
29	* Overall, 2,200 patients had information abo	out ankle-brachial index, and peripheral ar	terial disease was defined as ankle-brand	chial index ≤ 0.9 or > 1.4	

Abbreviations: ACC = American college of cardiology; AHA = American heart association; AMI = acute myocardial infarction; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; hs-CRP = high sensitivity C-reactive protein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; LV = left ventricular; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; POBA = plain old balloon angioplasty; WBC = white blood count.

- ь4

) 	Overall Population (N=2,705)	Non-AMI (N=1,411)	AMI (N=1,294)	<i>P</i> value
R, min	6.7 ± 3.8	6.6 ± 3.2	6.8 ± 4.4	0.134
K, min	1.8 ± 1.6	1.7 ± 1.5	1.8 ± 1.8	0.160
Angle, degree	64.6 ± 12.2	65.0 ± 11.2	64.1 ± 13.3	0.077
MA, mm	65.9 ± 7.5	65.3 ± 7.2	66.5 ± 7.8	< 0.001
MA ≥68 mm	1,081 (40.0)	500 (35.4)	581 (44.9)	< 0.001
LY ₃₀ , %	1.0 ± 1.8	1.1 ± 1.9	0.9 ± 1.8	< 0.001
$LY_{30} < 0.2\%$	1,301 (48.1)	606 (42.9)	695 (53.7)	< 0.001

¹⁸₁₉ Table 2. Thromboelastographic Measurements According to Index Presentation of Disease

36 Values are expressed as mean \pm SD or number (%).

Table 2

³⁸ Abbreviations: AMI = acute myocardial infarction; K = coagulation time; LY_{30} = percentage of the clot that has lysed 30 minutes after the time of maximum amplitude; MA = maximum amplitude; ³⁹ R = reaction time.

	Univariable an	alysis	${f Multivariable\ analysis}^*$		
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value	
MA (every 1 mm increase)	1.022 (1.011-1.032)	<0.001	1.024 (1.013-1.036)	<0.001	
LY ₃₀ (every 1% increase)	0.922 (0.883-0.962)	<0.001	0.934 (0.893-0.978)	0.004	
Age (every 1 year increase)	1.007 (1.001-1.013)	0.031	1.023 (1.015-1.031)	< 0.001	
Body mass index (every 1 kg/m ² increase)	0.951 (0.930-0.973)	< 0.001	0.938 (0.914-0.962)	< 0.001	
Current smoking	2.292 (1.936-2.713)	< 0.001	2.234 (1.853-2.693)	< 0.001	
Diabetes mellitus	0.741 (0.629-0.871)	< 0.001	-	-	
Hypertension	0.749 (0.644-0.871)	< 0.001	-	-	
Dyslipidemia	1.781 (1.528-2.076)	< 0.001	1.703 (1.440-2.014)	< 0.001	
Previous PCI	0.491 (0.393-0.615)	< 0.001	0.602 (0.475-0.764)	< 0.001	
Hemoglobin (every 1 g/dL increase)	1.129 (1.087-1.173)	< 0.001	1.161 (1.107-1.217)	< 0.001	

Table 3

^{*} Multivariable logistic regression model was constructed using variables with P <0.1 in univariable analyses.

Abbreviations: AMI = acute myocardial infarction; CI = confidence interval; LY₃₀ = percentage of the clot that has lysed 30 minutes after the time of maximum amplitude; MA = maximum 50 amplitude; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention.

¹⁸₁₉ Table 4. Prognostic Implication of Thrombogenicity Indices According to Index Disease Acuity

	Cumulative incidence	Adjusted HR* (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted HR [*] (95% CI)	P value
MACE (Cardiovascular death, MI, Stoke)					
1. Non-AMI & No Thrombogenicity†	11.7% (54)	Reference			
2. Non-AMI & Thrombogenicity†	15.2% (13)	1.031 (0.499-2.129)	0.935		
3. AMI & No Thrombogenicity†	18.0% (66)	1.769 (1.173-2.669)	0.007	Reference	
4. AMI & Thrombogenicity†	45.7% (39)	2.451 (1.541-3.899)	< 0.001	1.744 (1.135-2.679)	0.011
Cardiovascular death					
1. Non-AMI & No Thrombogenicity†	3.2% (22)	Reference			
2. Non-AMI & Thrombogenicity†	6.5% (7)	1.228 (0.446-3.378)	0.691		
3. AMI & No Thrombogenicity†	7.6% (24)	2.054 (1.053-4.007)	0.035	Reference	
4. AMI & Thrombogenicity†	7.6% (17)	4.032 (1.899-8.561)	0.001	2.062 (1.026-4.143)	0.042
MI					
1. Non-AMI & No Thrombogenicity†	3.9% (20)	Reference			
2. Non-AMI & Thrombogenicity†	2.1% (4)	0.360 (0.047-2.756)	0.325		
3. AMI & No Thrombogenicity†	11.6% (42)	3.302 (1.737-6.275)	< 0.001	Reference	
4. AMI & Thrombogenicity†	31.7% (20)	4.430 (2.096-9.365)	< 0.001	1.473 (0.828-2.623)	0.188
Stroke					
1. Non-AMI & No Thrombogenicity†	5.1% (14)	Reference			
2. Non-AMI & Thrombogenicity†	5.0% (3)	1.339 (0.372-4.823)	0.655		
3. AMI & No Thrombogenicity†	7.8% (14)	1.130 (0.486-2.626)	0.777	Reference	
4. AMI & Thrombogenicity†	13.8% (9)	1.837 (0.702-4.806)	0.215	2.281 (0.887-5.870)	0.087
BARC type 3 or 5 bleeding					
1. Non-AMI & No Thrombogenicity†	4.2% (26)	Reference			
2. Non-AMI & Thrombogenicity†	2.5% (4)	0.883 (0.297-2.626)	0.823		
3. AMI & No Thrombogenicity†	7.9% (28)	1.985 (1.044-3.776)	0.037	Reference	

64

Table 4

15 16						
17						
18 19	4. AMI & Thrombogenicity†	5.3% (8)	1.261 (0.509-3.121)	0.616	0.622 (0.252-1.534)	0.302
20	The cumulative incidence of clinical outcomes	is presented as Kaplan-Mei	er estimates. The number of pati	ents with specific e	events is also presented in parent	heses.
21 22 23 24 25	* Multivariable analysis after adjusting for age, reactive protein level, potent $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor, be † Defined as MA \geq 68 mm and LY ₃₀ <0.2%	, sex, current smoking, hyp eta blocker, angiotensin bloc	ertension, diabetes mellitus, dys cker, and statin.	lipidemia, chronic	kidney disease, previous PCI, p	revious stroke, high sensitivity C
26 27	Abbreviations: BARC = Bleeding Academic Re	esearch Consortium; CI = co	onfidence interval; HR = hazard	ratio; MACE = ma	ajor adverse cardiac event; MI =	myocardial infarction.
28						
29						
30 31						
32						
33						
34 35						
36						
37						
38 39						
40						
41						
42 42						
43 44						
45						
46						
47 48						
49						
50						
5⊥ 52						
53						
54						
55 56						
57						
58						
59 60						
60 61						
62						
63						
64 65						
00						

Figure 1. Study Flow



Primary Endpoint: A composite of cardiovascular death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, and non-fatal stroke



Figure 2. Association Between MACE at 4 Years and TEG Parameters

Figure 3. Comparison of 4-Year MACE According to TEG parameters

A. Hypercoagulability (MA ≥68 mm vs. MA <68 mm)

B. Impaired Fibrinolytic Activity (LY₃₀ <0.2% vs. LY₃₀ ≥ 0.2%)



Figure 4. Comparison of 4-Year MACE According to Hypercoagulability and Impaired Fibrinolytic Activity



Figure 5. Comparison of 4-Year MACE According to Thrombogenicity and AMI Acuity



Supple	ementary files
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
24	SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL
25	
26 27	
28	
29	This appendix has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.
30	
32	
33	
34 35	
36	Supplemental Tables
37	
38 39	Table S1. Comparison of Baseline Characteristics Between Included and Excluded Patients
40	
41 42	Table S2. Thromboelastographic Measurements According to Index Presentation of Disease (STEMI vs. NSTEMI)
43	Table S3. Baseline Characteristics of Study Population According to Hypercoagulability and Impaired Fibrinolysis
44 45	
46	Table S4. Comparison of Clinical Outcomes at 4 years According to Hypercoagulability and Impaired Fibrinolysis
47	
48 49	
50	Supplemental Figures
51	
52 53	Figure S1. Determination of Cut-off Value of MA for Predicting Index AMI Presentation
54	Figure S2 Determination of Cut off Value of LV20 for Predicting Index AMI Presentation
55	Figure S2. Determination of Cut-off value of L150 for Fredicting fildex Alvir Fresentation
50	Figure S3. Incremental Prognostic Value of Thrombogenicity for MACE Risk at 4 Years
58	
59 60	
61	
62	
63 64	
65	

Table S1. Comparison of Baseline Characteristics Between Included and Excluded Patients

	Included (N=2,705)	Excluded (N=2,375)	P value
Age, years	65.1 ± 11.9	65.0 ± 11.8	0.708
Men, n (%)	1,938 (71.6)	1,686 (71.0)	0.628
Body mass index, kg/m ²	24.3 ± 3.4	24.3 ± 3.4	0.651
Acute myocardial infarction	1,294 (47.8)	1,128 (47.5)	0.829
Risk factors, n (%)			
Current smoking	813 (30.1)	710 (29.9)	0.925
Diabetes mellitus	863 (31.9)	774 (32.6)	0.623
Hypertension	1,429 (52.8)	1,265 (53.3)	0.778
Dyslipidemia	1,459 (53.9)	1,296 (54.6)	0.673
Chronic kidney disease	465 (17.2)	416 (17.5)	0.788
Peripheral arterial disease*	284 (12.3)	224 (11.8)	0.609
Previous PCI	397 (14.7)	345 (14.5)	0.911
Previous stroke	173 (6.4)	154 (6.5)	0.943
Laboratory findings			
LV ejection fraction, %	55.9 ± 9.5	56.0 ± 9.5	0.646
WBC, x 10 ³ /mm ³	9.0 ± 3.8	9.0 ± 3.7	0.913
Hemoglobin, g/dL	13.4 ± 2.0	13.4 ± 2.0	0.839
Platelet, x 10 ³ /mm ³	239.5 ± 69.7	239.0 ± 69.1	0.796
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²	81.6 ± 29.6	80.9 ± 29.2	0.359
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	177.9 ± 47.9	177.7 ± 48.3	0.906
LDL cholesterol, mg/dL	116.1 ± 41.5	116.2 ± 41.9	0.885
HDL cholesterol, mg/dL	45.5 ± 14.0	45.5 ± 14.0	0.919
Triglyceride, mg/dL	161.0 ± 135.1	163.4 ± 124.9	0.532

15 16				
17 18				
19	HbA1c, %	6.5 ± 1.4	6.5 ± 1.4	0.688
20 21	hs-CRP, mg/dL	8.5 ± 29.0	8.7 ± 30.1	0.877
²²] 23	Procedural characteristics			
24	AHA/ACC lesion: type B2/C	2,412 (89.1)	2,113 (89.0)	0.855
25 26	Multivessel disease, n (%)	1,332 (49.2)	1,223 (51.5)	0.110
27 28	Target lesion, n (%)			
29 30	- Left main coronary artery	69 (2.6)	54 (2.3)	0.583
31	- Left anterior descending artery	1510 (55.8)	1,330 (56.0)	0.921
33	- Left circumflex artery	693 (25.6)	616 (25.9)	0.821
34 35	- Right coronary artery	953 (35.2)	842 (35.5)	0.892
36 37	Intracoronary imaging, n (%)	2,311 (85.4)	2,019 (85.0)	0.879
38 39	- Intravascular ultrasound	2,259 (83.5)	1,971 (83.0)	
40	- Optical coherence tomography	52 (1.9)	48 (2.0)	
4⊥ 42	Treatment method, n (%)			0.744
43 44	- Drug-eluting stent	2,424 (89.6)	2,148 (90.4)	
45 46	- Bioresorbable scaffold	28 (1.0)	17 (0.7)	
47	- Bare metal stent	18 (0.7)	14 (0.6)	
48 49	- Drug-coated balloon	107 (4.0)	90 (3.8)	
50 51	- POBA	128 (4.7)	106 (4.5)	
52 53	Number of stent, n	1.5 ± 0.8	1.5 ± 0.8	0.592
54 55	Total stent length, mm	36.8 ± 22.2	37.1 ± 22.6	0.706
56	Stent diameter, mm	3.1 ± 0.5	3.1 ± 0.5	0.704
57 58]	Discharge medications, n (%)			
59 60	Aspirin	2,668 (98.6)	2340 (98.5)	0.842
61 62	Type of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor			0.288
63 64	- Clopidogrel	2,043 (75.5)	1,751 (73.7)	

28 Statin		2,542 (94.0)	2,223 (93.6)	0.622
26 Calcium cha	nnel blocker	223 (8.2)	170 (7.2)	0.164
Angiotensin	blocker	1,831 (67.7)	1,604 (67.5)	0.932
²² Beta blocker	ſ	1,549 (57.3)	1,370 (57.7)	0.784
20 21 - Ticagrelo	r	465 (17.2)	457 (19.2)	
18 19 - Prasugrel		169 (6.2)	145 (6.1)	
16 17				

29 Values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation or number (%). 30

*Overall, 4,201 patients had information about ankle-brachial index, and peripheral arterial disease was defined as ankle-branchial index ≤ 0.9 or >1.4.

Abbreviations: ACC = American college of cardiology; AHA = American heart association; AMI = acute myocardial infarction; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL = high-density

³⁴ lipoprotein; hs-CRP = high sensitivity C-reactive protein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; LV = left ventricular; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; POBA = plain old balloon angioplasty; ³⁵ WBC = white blood count.

	Overall Population (N=1,294)	STEMI (712/1,294, 55.0%)	NSTEMI (582/1,294, 45.0%)	P value
Baseline (at presentation)				
R, min	6.8 ± 4.4	6.3 ± 4.0	7.4 ± 4.9	< 0.001
K, min	1.8 ± 1.8	1.6 ± 1.5	2.0 ± 2.0	< 0.001
Angle, degree	64.1 ± 13.3	65.8 ± 12.1	62.1 ± 14.3	< 0.001
MA, mm	66.5 ± 7.8	67.1 ± 7.4	65.8 ± 8.3	< 0.001
MA $\geq 68 \text{ mm}$	581 (44.9)	336 (47.2)	245 (42.1)	0.076
LY ₃₀ , %	0.9 ± 1.8	0.8 ± 1.7	0.9 ± 1.9	0.499
LY ₃₀ <0.2%	695 (53.7)	378 (53.1)	317 (54.5)	0.661

¹⁸₁₉ Table S2. Thromboelastographic Measurements According to Index Presentation of Disease (STEMI vs. NSTEMI)

38 Values are expressed as mean \pm SD or number (%).

40 Abbreviations: K = coagulation time; $LY_{30} = percentage of the clot that has lysed 30 minutes after the time of maximum amplitude; MA = maximum amplitude; NSTEMI = non-ST-segment 41 elevation acute myocardial infarction; R = reaction time; STEMI = ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction.$

	MA <68 mm and LY ₃₀ ≥0.2% (Group 1)	MA ≥68 mm or LY ₃₀ <0.2% (Group 2)	MA ≥68 mm and LY ₃₀ <0.2% (Group 3)	P value
	(882/2,705, 32.6%)	(1,264/2,705, 46.7%)	(559/2,705, 20.7%)	
Age, years	63.3 ± 11.9	65.1 ± 11.8	68.1 ± 11.6	< 0.001
Men, n (%)	686 (77.8)	890 (70.4)	362 (64.8)	< 0.001
Body mass index, kg/m ²	24.4 ± 3.3	24.3 ± 3.4	24.0 ± 3.6	0.082
Index AMI presentation, n (%)	341 (38.7)	630 (49.8)	323 (57.8)	< 0.001
Risk factors, n (%)				
Current smoking	277 (31.4)	378 (29.9)	158 (28.3)	0.442
Diabetes mellitus	242 (27.4)	418 (33.1)	203 (36.3)	0.001
Hypertension	431 (48.9)	675 (53.4)	323 (57.8)	0.004
Dyslipidemia	480 (54.4)	681 (53.9)	298 (53.3)	0.917
Chronic kidney disease	97 (11.0)	220 (17.4)	148 (26.5)	< 0.001
Peripheral arterial disease*	71 (9.4)	125 (11.4)	88 (19.4)	< 0.00
Previous PCI	139 (15.8)	192 (15.2)	66 (11.8)	0.092
Previous stroke	58 (6.6)	83 (6.6)	32 (5.7)	0.767
Laboratory findings				
LV ejection fraction, %	57.3 ± 8.9	55.9 ± 9.4	53.6 ± 10.1	< 0.001
WBC, x 10 ³ /mm ³	8.2 ± 3.4	9.2 ± 3.8	9.7 ± 4.1	< 0.001
Hemoglobin, g/dL	13.7 ± 1.9	13.4 ± 2.0	12.7 ± 2.2	< 0.001
Platelet, x 10 ³ /mm ³	229.9 ± 61.3	241.0 ± 73.7	251.3 ± 71.1	< 0.001
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²	85.0 ± 27.3	82.7 ± 29.1	74.0 ± 32.7	< 0.001
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	179.2 ± 48.7	177.1 ± 47.5	177.6 ± 47.6	0.593
		116 4 41 0		0.661

16				
17 18				
19 HDL cholesterol, mg/dL	46.8 ± 13.9	44.8 ± 14.0	45.1 ± 13.7	0.005
Triglyceride, mg/dL	161.7 ± 131.1	163.5 ± 140.2	154.2 ± 129.2	0.411
²² HbA1c, %	6.4 ± 1.4	6.5 ± 1.4	6.6 ± 1.4	< 0.001
hs-CRP, mg/dL	3.8 ± 13.1	8.4 ± 31.1	16.1 ± 38.3	< 0.001
26 Procedural characteristics				
AHA/ACC lesion: type B2/C	772 (7.5)	1,139 (90.1)	501 (89.6)	0.154
 ²⁹ 30 Multivessel disease, n (%) 	395 (44.8)	617 (48.8)	319 (57.1)	< 0.001
Target lesion, n (%)				
- Left main coronary artery	23 (2.6)	34 (2.7)	12 (2.1)	0.788
 ³⁴ ³⁵ - Left anterior descending artery 	503 (57.0)	691 (54.7)	316 (56.5)	0.517
³⁶³⁷ - Left circumflex artery	214 (24.3)	345 (27.3)	134 (24.0)	0.173
³⁸ - Right coronary artery	298 (33.8)	438 (34.7)	217 (38.8)	0.126
40 Intracoronary imaging, n (%)	776 (88.0)	1,065 (84.3)	470 (84.1)	0.033
41 42 - Intravascular ultrasound	753 (85.4)	1,041 (82.4)	465 (83.2)	
⁴³ ₄₄ - Optical coherence tomography	23 (2.6)	24 (1.9)	5 (0.9)	
⁴⁵ Treatment method, n (%)				0.439
47 - Drug-eluting stent	797 (90.4)	1136 (89.9)	491 (87.8)	
49 - Bioresorbable scaffold	10 (1.1)	13 (1.0)	5 (0.9)	
$_{51}^{50}$ - Bare metal stent	7 (0.8)	6 (0.5)	5 (0.9)	
⁵² - Drug-coated balloon	26 (2.9)	56 (4.4)	25 (4.5)	
54 - POBA	42 (4.8)	53 (4.2)	33 (5.9)	
56 Number of stent, n	1.4 ± 0.8	1.5 ± 0.7	1.5 ± 0.7	0.217
$_{58}^{57}$ Total stent length, mm	36.1 ± 22.7	36.8 ± 21.7	38.2 ± 22.4	0.247
59 60 Stent diameter, mm	3.2 ± 0.5	3.1 ± 0.5	3.1 ± 0.5	0.015
⁶¹ Discharge medications, n (%)				
63 Aspirin 64	871 (98.8)	1247 (98.7)	550 (98.4)	0.842

15					
16					
17					
18					0.500
19	Type of $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor				0.538
20 21	- Clopidogrel	662 (75.1)	969 (76.7)	412 (73.7)	
22 23	- Prasugrel	59 (6.7)	68 (5.4)	42 (7.5)	
24	- Ticagrelor	153 (17.3)	211 (16.7)	101 (18.1)	
26	Beta blocker	469 (53.2)	759 (60.0)	321 (57.4)	0.007
27 28	Angiotensin blocker	585 (66.3)	858 (67.9)	388 (69.4)	0.466
29 30	Calcium channel blocker	88 (10.0)	96 (7.6)	39 (7.0)	0.067
31 32	Statin	822 (93.2)	1,193 (94.4)	527 (94.3)	0.496
33	Values are expressed as mean \pm standard dev	iation or number (%).			

*Overall, 2200 patients had information about ankle-brachial index, and peripheral arterial disease was defined as ankle-branchial index ≤ 0.9 or >1.4

Abbreviations: ACC = American college of cardiology; AHA = American heart association; AMI = acute myocardial infarction; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; hs-CRP = high sensitivity C-reactive protein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; LV = left ventricular; LY_{30} = percentage of the clot that has lysed 30 minutes after the time of maximum amplitude; MA = maximum amplitude; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; POBA = plain old balloon angioplasty; WBC = white blood count.

18					
19	Table S4. Comparison	of Clinical Outcomes at 4	years According to	Hypercoagulability and	d Impaired Fibrinolysis

	Cumulative Incidence	Crude HR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted HR* (95% CI)	P value
MACE (Cardiovascular death, MI, Stoke))				
1. MA <68 mm and $LY_{30} \ge 0.2\%$	10.7% (39)	Reference		Reference	
2. MA \geq 68 mm or LY ₃₀ <0.2%	18.7% (81)	1.592 (1.085-2.334)	0.017	1.252 (0.833-1.883)	0.279
3. MA \geq 68 mm and LY ₃₀ <0.2%	31.2% (52)	2.447 (1.612-3.713)	< 0.001	1.781 (1.130-2.808)	0.012
Cardiovascular death					
1. MA <68 mm and $LY_{30} \ge 0.2\%$	5.1% (15)	Reference		Reference	
2. MA \geq 68 mm or LY ₃₀ <0.2%	5.9% (31)	1.515 (0.818-2.808)	0.187	1.308 (0.655-2.613)	0.447
3. MA \geq 68 mm and LY ₃₀ <0.2%	7.1% (24)	2.756 (1.443-5.264)	0.002	2.158 (1.028-4.531)	0.042
MI					
1. MA <68 mm and $LY_{30} \ge 0.2\%$	4.5% (19)	Reference		Reference	
2. MA \geq 68 mm or LY ₃₀ <0.2%	11.0% (43)	1.744 (1.015-2.997)	0.044	1.317 (0.742-2.337)	0.347
3. MA \geq 68 mm and LY ₃₀ <0.2%	16.8% (24)	2.325 (1.270-4.256)	0.006	1.562 (0.801-3.044)	0.190
Stroke					
1. MA <68 mm and $LY_{30} \ge 0.2\%$	7.3% (11)	Reference		Reference	
2. MA \geq 68 mm or LY ₃₀ <0.2%	5.8% (17)	1.255 (0.587-2.685)	0.558	0.856 (0.387-1.896)	0.701
3. MA \geq 68 mm and LY ₃₀ <0.2%	10.2% (12)	2.278 (0.999-5.196)	0.050	1.411 (0.589-3.381)	0.440
BARC type 3 or 5 bleeding					
1. MA <68 mm and LY ₃₀ \geq 0.2%	4.8% (17)	Reference		Reference	
2. MA \geq 68 mm or LY ₃₀ <0.2%	7.2% (37)	1.660 (0.934-2.950)	0.084	1.341 (0.702-2.562)	0.375
3. MA \geq 68 mm and LY ₃₀ <0.2%	4.3% (12)	1.250 (0.595-2.610)	0.559	0.958 (0.422-2.174)	0.918

The cumulative incidence of clinical outcomes is presented as Kaplan-Meier estimates. The number of patients with specific events is also presented in parentheses.

⁶² * Multivariable analysis after adjusting for age, sex, diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction, current smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, previous
 ⁶³ PCI, previous stroke, high sensitivity C-reactive protein level, potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitor, beta blocker, angiotensin blocker, and statin.

15	
16	
17	
18	Abbreviations: BARC = Bleeding Academic Research Consortium: $CI = confidence interval: HR = hazard ratio: LY_{30} = percentage of the clot that has lysed 30 minutes after the time of maximum$
19	ΔM amplitude: $MA = maximum amplitude: MACE = major advarsa cardiac avant: MI = myccardial inferation$
20	ampitude, MA – maximum ampitude, MACE – major adverse cardiac event, MI – myocardiai infarction.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29 20	
3U 21	
2⊥ 20	
22	
33	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	

- $\begin{array}{c} 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ 63\\ 64\\ 65\\ \end{array}$



Figure S1. Determination of Cut-off Value of MA for Predicting Index AMI Presentation



Abbreviations: AMI = acute myocardial infarction; MA = maximum amplitude; NPV = negative predictive value; PPV = positive predictive value.



¹⁸₁₉ Figure S2. Determination of Cut-off Value of LY₃₀ for Predicting Index AMI Presentation

⁵³₅₄ The optimal cut-off value of LY_{30} for the occurrence of AMI was 0.2. Blue line shows specificity and red line shows sensitivity.

55 Abbreviations: AMI = acute myocardial infarction; LY_{30} = percentage of the clot that has lysed 30 minutes after the time of maximum amplitude; NPV = negative predictive value; PPV = 57 positive predictive value.



15	
16	
17	
18	Prognostic values of models predicting 4-year MACE were compared using Harrell's c-index, NRI, and IDI. Model 1 included the clinical variables of age and sex. There was significant
19	increase in discrimination and reclassification ability with addition of other clinical variables of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, current smoker, chronic kidney disease, previous
20	$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}} = \{\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3}, \mathbf{r}_{4}, \mathbf{r}_{3}, \mathbf{r}_{4}, \mathbf{r}_{4},$
21	PCI, previous stroke, nigh sensitivity C-reactive protein level, potent P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor, beta blocker, anglotensin blocker, and statin (model 2). Model 3 with thrombogenicity (MA 268 mm and
22	LY30 <0.2%) showed further increase in discrimination and reclassification ability for 4-year MACE. The incremental prognostic value of model 3 was consistent when compared with model
23 24	2.
25	Abbreviations: $CI = confidence$ interval; $LY_{30} = percentage$ of the clot that has lysed 30 minutes after the time of maximum amplitude; MA = maximum amplitude; MACE = major adverse
26	cardiac event: NRI = net reclassification index: IDI = integrated discrimination index: PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention
27	eardine event, filte net reclassification index, ibr integrated diserninimation index, i er peretataleous eoronary intervention.
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
50 57	
57	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	

Permissions information

All illustrations and figures in the manuscript are entirely original and do not require reprint permission.

DO NOT DISTRIBUTE