

Abstract

With the introduction of the UK Government's inclusive ideology in the late 20th century, increased pressure was put on schools serving adolescent psychiatric units to support their pupils to return to mainstream education. However, there is a perception that a factor that makes the transition process difficult is the attitude of mainstream pupils towards their peers with mental health problems. The purpose of this research was to explore mainstream pupils' perceptions of mental health problems and the extent to which their understandings might lead to stigmatising attitudes.

A theoretical perspective encompassing the ideas of social constructionism, interpretivism and symbolic interactionism, combined with a linguistic based approach, underpinned the development of an empathetic methodological approach to researching sensitive topics with adolescents. The research involved collecting data using a sequence of questionnaires, individual interviews and group interviews with pupils in three secondary schools within socially diverse communities. The questionnaire was presented in comic booklet form and included such techniques as cartoons, vignettes, and adapted familiarity and social distance scales.

This dissertation reveals ways in which young people create their personal constructs around mental health and the complexities of the nature of stigma. It also highlights the implications that these findings have for staff and pupils involved in the transition process and for the development of practice in this field.

Contents

Abstract	i
Contents Page	ii
List of Figures and Tables	vii
Acknowledgements	ix
Introduction to the unfolding of my thesis	x
Chapter One	
Setting the scene: Personal and practice-based experiences	1
1.1 An overview	2
1.2 My own practice within the Unit School	3
1.3 Teacher-researcher in the small Unit School	5
1.4 Being an outsider within education	6
1.4.1 My educational autobiography	6
1.5 Being an insider-outsider within practice-based research	7
1.6 ‘Knowing’ within practice	9
1.6.1 Experiences shared with young people	9
1.6.2 Experiences shared with a multidisciplinary team	10
1.6.3 Working with young people	11
1.7 Ideologies	13
1.7.1 Government’s perspective on inclusion	14
1.7.2 A personal perspective on inclusion	14
1.8 The ‘best’ questions to ask	15
1.9 Summary	17
Chapter Two	
Placing my research in context: the key issues	18
2.1 Adolescence and mental health issues	19
2.1.1 Adolescence	19
2.1.2 Mental health	20
2.1.3 Adolescent mental health	21
2.2 Stigma, prejudice and discrimination	23
2.2.1 Signals of experiencing mental health problems	24
2.2.2 Public-stigma	25
2.2.3 Self-stigma	25
2.2.4 Perceived stigma	26
2.2.5 Prejudice and discrimination	26
2.3 A linguistic perspective	27
2.3.1 Language embedded in the culture of mental health and stigma	27
2.3.2 An intergroup context	28
2.3.3 Labelling	29
2.3.4 A historical perspective	31
2.3.5 Language to describe those experiencing mental health problems	32
2.4 Effects of stigma on those with mental health problems	33

2.5	Changing stereotypes; combating stigma and discrimination	34
2.5.1	Public-stigma	35
2.5.2	Self-stigma	36
2.6	'Coming out' or 'passing'	36
2.6.1	A time for transition	37
2.7	Summary	38
Chapter Three		
Small-scale project: Language used in mainstream schools		39
3.1	Aims of small-scale project	40
3.2	Research approach	40
3.2.1	Young people communicate the Linguistic Intergroup Bias	40
3.2.2	Data collection	41
3.2.3	Ethics	42
3.2.4	Data analysis	42
3.3	Results of data analysis	44
3.4	Reflection on the enquiry	48
3.4.1	Findings and emerging issues	48
3.4.2	Evaluation of data-gathering techniques	49
3.4.3	Evaluation of analysis of data	50
3.4.4	Validity and reliability	50
3.4.5	Research positions	51
3.4.6	Learning within the early stages of the research process	52
3.5	Where to next?	52
3.6	Summary	53
Chapter Four		
My research process: a social constructionist approach		54
4.1	Research framework	55
4.2	Approach	56
4.2.1	Constructivism	56
4.2.2	Radical constructivism	57
4.2.3	Social constructionism	57
4.2.4	Social constructionism and mental health	58
4.2.5	Social constructionism: The Linguistic Intergroup Bias and labelling	59
4.3	Methodology	59
4.3.1	Pragmatism and a mixed method approach	60
4.3.2	Integration or complementary?	62
4.3.3	Crystallisation	62
4.3.4	Reliability or validity	62
4.4	Methods	63
4.4.1	Questionnaire	63
4.4.2	Interviews	64
4.5	Ethical issues	65
4.5.1	'Ethics in practice'	65
4.5.2	Perpetuation of the stigmatised stereotype	66
4.5.3	Analysis of data	67
4.6	Summary	68

Chapter Five	
Developing the questionnaire	69
5.1 The questionnaire: ‘Kids in your class’	70
5.1.1 An overview	70
5.1.2 Vignettes	71
5.1.3 Cartoons	73
5.1.4 Social distance and familiarity scales	76
5.2 Development of techniques	77
5.2.1 The Pilot	77
5.2.2 Changes to the questionnaire	79
5.2.3 Talking about soap operas and mental health issues	82
5.3 Summary	84
Chapter Six	
Results of the questionnaire	85
6.1 Gate-keeping	86
6.2 The ‘doing’	87
6.2.1 Data-gathering experiences	87
6.3 Results of the quantitative element	88
6.3.1 Responses to cartoons	90
6.3.2 Responses to social distance items	94
6.3.3 Responses to familiarity items	97
6.3.4 Language used	97
6.4 Reflecting on questionnaire results	99
6.5 Looking forward	99
6.6 Summary	100
Chapter Seven	
Re-thinking my research approach	101
7.1 Development of research approach	102
7.1.1 Anomalies within the data sets	102
7.1.2 Developing the research questions	103
7.1.3 A false dichotomy	104
7.2 Symbolic interactionism	106
7.2.1 Interpreting television soap opera storylines and narratives	108
7.3 To ‘talk’	108
7.3.1 Nuances of talk	109
7.4 Interviews	110
7.4.1 Talking with young people	110
7.4.2 Turning young people’s talk into text	111
7.5 A re-think of my research process	112
7.5.1 Epistemological and ontological stances	112
7.5.2 Theoretical perspective	113
7.5.3 Methodology	113
7.6 Summary	113
Chapter Eight	
Individual interviews: Pupils talk about differences	115
8.1 Individual interviews	116
8.2 Making sense of the interview data	116

8.2.1	Cartoon captions	118
8.2.2	'How would you feel?'	120
8.2.3	Familiarity scale and language used	121
8.3	Differences: Individual narrative accounts:	122
8.4	Summary	136
Chapter Nine Young people talk together		138
9.1	Group Interviews	138
9.1.1	Advantages and disadvantages of group interviews	138
9.1.2	Ethics of the group interview	138
9.1.3	My part in the group interview	139
9.1.4	The Questions	139
9.1.5	Analysis with reference to the questions	140
9.2	What the young people said	140
9.2.1	Completing the questionnaire	141
9.2.2	Talking about mental health issues	142
9.2.3	Language used	147
9.3	Comparing data sets	149
9.3.1	Temporality of talk	150
9.3.2	Lost for words	151
9.3.3	They 'talk to themselves'	151
9.4	Summary	152
Chapter Ten		
Methodological conclusions		153
10.1	Research process: The tools for the job	154
10.1.1	Appropriateness of measuring stigma	154
10.1.2	Inferring attitudes	156
10.1.3	Engaging participants in research	157
10.1.4	Understanding group attitudes and actions through listening to the individual	158
10.1.5	A reasoned and considered approach	159
10.2	Making meaning of the data	159
10.2.1	The individual within the group	160
10.2.2	Culture-bound language	161
10.3	Summary	162
Chapter Eleven		
What young people think about mental health issues		163
11.1	Young people's perceptions	164
11.1.1	Language of mainstream pupils	164
11.1.2	A new language?	166
11.1.3	Misunderstandings	166
11.1.4	We don't but others do	168
11.1.5	Understanding differences	169
11.1.6	Who does have the power?	173
11.2	My contribution to the knowledge of practice	173
11.2.1	'Imagine. A theory that explains it all.' (Faulks 2005: 140)	173
11.2.2	Context-based perceptions	174
11.2.3	Developing a resistance	176

11.2.4 Making the tacit visible	177
11.3 Voice of the developing researcher	177
11.3.1 Differences within practice	177
11.3.2 Personal development	178
11.3.3 Contribution to my professional practice	179
References	183
Appendix	

List of figures and tables

Figure 1.1	How relying on my own experience may limit reflexivity	11
Figure 1.2	Recognising influences that enhance my reflexivity	12
Figure 2.1	A reading from the School assembly book	19
Figure 2.2	Classification of mental disorders based on the ideas of Campbell and Heginbotham (1991: 30-37)	21
Figure 2.3	Relationship of signals to stigma based on the ideas of Corrigan and Kleinlein (2005)	24
Figure 2.4	Distinction between public stigma, self-stigma and perceived stigma, based on the ideas of Corrigan and Kleinlein (2005)	26
Figure 2.5	The boundaries of 'mental illness' based on the ideas of Campbell and Heginbotham (1991: 33-37)	33
Table 3.1	Three-level classification model with reference to Rasinski et al (2005), Semin & Fiedler (1988) and Pugliese (1988)	43
Table 3.2	Four-level classification model with reference to Pilgrim (2005)	43
Table 3.3	Examples of words/phrases in each category of the three-level classification model	44
Table 3.4	Distribution of responses in each category of three-level classification model	45
Table 3.5	Examples of words/phrases in each category of the four-level classification model	46
Table 3.6	Distribution of responses in each category of four-level classification model	47
Graph 3.1	Distribution of responses in each category of three-level classification model	45
Graph 3.2	Distribution of responses in each category of four-level classification model	47
Figure 4.1	The three elements making up my proposed research process	55
Figure 4.2	Opposing poles as points on a research approach continuum	60
Figure 5.1	Examples of the characters representing the three participating schools	74
Figure 5.2	Cartoons of non-objectionable to severely objectionable behaviours used in the pilot	75
Figure 5.3	The social distance items	77
Figure 5.4	Familiarity scale items	77
Figure 5.5	Cartoon captions	80
Figure 5.6	Changes to cartoons	81
Figure 5.7	Revised social distance scale	82
Table 6.1	Distribution of respondents	88
Table 6.2	Number of pupils who were prepared to be interviewed	89
Table 6.3	Number of responses given for each cartoon	90
Table 6.4a	Changes in response that indicate potential stigma towards mental health problems	92
Table 6.4b	Changes in response that indicate potential stigma towards a physical illness	93
Table 6.5	Social distance scale responses	95
Table 6.6	Comparing responses given for Aay with those for Bee	96
Table 6.7	Responses to familiarity scale	97
Table 6.8	Examples of the vocabulary used to describe Bee	98
Figure 7.1	Cyclic process of symbolic interactionism	106
Figure 7.2	Research framework based on the work of Crotty (1998)	114

Table 8.1 Distribution of interview sub-sample	116
Figure 8.1 The six questionnaire cartoons	118
Figure 9.1 The six questionnaire cartoons	141
Figure 10.1. The main features of a system	160
Figure 11.1 What people are called may have major consequences for social interaction	168
Figure 11.2 The stigmatising cycle that I may give young people the opportunity to break	181

Acknowledgements

First, a very special thank you to all the young people and mainstream staff that took part in this study. I acknowledge with gratitude all colleagues at the Unit School for all their support, particularly the insightful conversations held in the staff room. I would like to thank my supervisors, Joy Jarvis, Helen Burchell and Stuart Powell. Thank you Joy for your guidance, support and kindness, Helen for your words of wisdom and Stuart for your invaluable contributions. Finally I acknowledge all my family and friends for their love, understanding and long-suffering patience.

Introduction to the unfolding of my thesis



‘The Liberation’ by M. C. Escher

‘On the uniformly grey surface of the strip of paper that is being unrolled, a simultaneous development in form and contrast is taking place. Triangles, at first scarcely visible, change into more complicated figures, whilst the colour contrast between them increases. In the middle they are transformed into white and black birds, and from there fly off into the world as independent creatures. And so the strip of paper on which they were drawn disappears.’

(Escher 2001: 9)

‘The Liberation’ (1)

Using the visual image of ‘The Liberation’, I represent three main threads that unfold simultaneously through the course of this dissertation. Through these threads I reveal my thesis and my multifaceted contribution to the field of mental health issues within the mainstream educational system. However, at the beginning of my research the intricacies of difference and the complexities of each thread are hidden. They, like the triangles, are represented as simplistic ideas endorsing assumptions of straightforwardness.

As I recount my research, differences come into view and I reveal levels of complexity, which open up a deeper understanding of young people’s individual perceptions of mental health problems experienced by their peers and a research process that allows for a different way of knowing. The flying birds represent this acknowledgement of individuality.

The three threads of which I speak are:

The research process:

Initially I unfold the young people’s perceptions of mental health problems driven by a research process, which at first appears relatively straightforward. But my findings at this initial stage mean that I need to develop another stage of the research process in order to carry on unfolding the understanding. This cycle of the research process, in which the complexity of these findings drives the research process forward, is repeated because at each stage of enquiry my understanding becomes clearer yet more complex than before. I demonstrate how I gradually develop a methodology, based on a linguistic approach, which begins with the tightness of a quantitative questionnaire and opens up into qualitative interviews and narrative accounts.

Young people's perspectives:

Initially I represent homogeneity within groups of young people. I consider that each young person may be simplistically characterised as a 'normal' mainstream pupil or a 'stigmatised' mental health patient, according to his or her mental health status and behaviours displayed. However, I show how I move from a place of considering a state of homogeneity to disclose one of individuality. I demonstrate how being an individual is bound by experiences and linguistic competency understood within the complex contexts of political, historical and social conditions. Illustrating my dissertation with narrative accounts of young people's individual experiences and personal constructs I reveal diverse beliefs and values surrounding mental health problems, which open up my own ideas around stigmatising attitudes.

**Voice of the developing researcher:**

Within my dissertation I also unfold my own story of educational experiences and of finding my individual place as a teacher in a school for adolescents experiencing mental health problems. I demonstrate how, as a consequence of carrying out my research enquiry, I develop my own voice as a researcher and practitioner, and as such exemplify a confidence in the voice of education within a multidisciplinary team, where education is often marginalized by the medical world. I also acknowledge how I examine my own constructs and am prepared to change and develop them as I gain more experience and understanding of others' perceptions.



At the beginning of each chapter I signal to the reader where and how I unfold and develop each thread, with the order in which I introduce them indicating their importance within that chapter.

