

**DIVISION OF COMPUTER SCIENCE**

**Positive Fixed Points of Lattices under Semigroups of Positive  
Linear Operators**

**Bruce Christianson**

**Technical Report No.177**

**November 1993**

**POSITIVE FIXED POINTS OF LATTICES  
UNDER SEMIGROUPS OF POSITIVE LINEAR OPERATORS**

BRUCE CHRISTIANSON

November 1993

ABSTRACT. Let  $Z$  be a Banach lattice endowed with positive cone  $C$  and an order-continuous norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $G$  be a semigroup of positive linear endomorphisms of  $Z$ . We seek conditions on  $G$  sufficient to ensure that the positive fixed points  $C_0$  of  $Z$  under  $G$  form a lattice cone, and that their linear span  $Z_0$  is a Banach lattice under an order-continuous norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$  which agrees with  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $C_0$ , although we do not require that  $Z_0$  contain all the fixed points of  $Z$  under  $G$ , nor that  $Z_0$  be a sublattice of  $(Z, C)$ . We give a simple embedding construction which allows such results to be read off directly from appropriate fixed point theorems. In particular, we show that left-reversibility of  $G$  (a weaker condition than left-amenability) suffices. Results of this kind find application in statistical physics and elsewhere.

**Definition 1.** A semigroup  $G$  is called *left-reversible* iff for all  $T_1, T_2 \in G$  there exist  $T_3, T_4 \in G$  such that  $T_1 T_2 T_3 = T_2 T_1 T_4$ .

A right ideal of a semigroup  $G$  is a set of the form  $TG$  where  $T \in G$ . Left-reversibility of  $G$  is equivalent to demanding that every pair of right ideals of  $G$  intersect non-trivially. Left-reversibility is a weaker condition than left-amenability for discrete semigroups since the support of any left-invariant mean must be contained in every right ideal. It is strictly weaker since (for example) the free group on two generators is left-reversible (because it is a group) but is not left-amenable (because it is not solvable.) For a survey of the relationships between left-reversibility and other properties of semigroups, see [6, §8].

**Proposition 2.** Let  $Z$  be an order-complete vector lattice with positive cone  $C$ , and let  $G$  be a semigroup of positive order-continuous linear operators from  $Z$  into  $Z$ . Let  $C_0 = \{x \in C : Tx = x \text{ for all } T \in G\}$ ,  $Z_0 = C_0 - C_0$ .

If  $G$  is left reversible then  $(Z_0, C_0)$  is a vector lattice.

*Proof.* Choose  $x, y \in C_0$ . Let  $A = \{T(x \vee y) : T \in G\}$ . Clearly

$$x + y = T(x + y) \geq T(x \vee y) \geq Tx \vee Ty = x \vee y$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B30, 52A43..

so  $A$  is order-bounded above by  $x + y$ , and hence has a least upper bound  $z$ . For  $T_1, T_2 \in G$  we have by left-reversibility of  $G$  that

$$T_1(x \vee y) \leq T_1T_2T_3(x \vee y) = T_2T_1T_4(x \vee y) \geq T_2(x \vee y)$$

which shows that  $A$  is directed as a subset of  $C$ , and hence  $A$  (considered as a net) is order-convergent to  $z$ . The same argument shows that for each  $T \in G$ ,  $TA$  is a subnet of  $A$ , whence  $Tz = z$  and so  $z \in C_0$ . Clearly  $z$  is the least upper bound in  $C_0$  of  $x$  and  $y$ . It follows that  $C_0$  is a lattice cone and hence that  $Z_0$  is a lattice.  $\square$

Under the conditions of Proposition 2,  $Z_0$  need not contain all the fixed points of  $Z$  under  $G$ , and need not be a sublattice of  $(Z, C)$  [1, Examples 2,3].

**Proposition 3.** Let  $(Z_0, C_0)$  be a vector lattice. Let  $Z$  be a Banach lattice endowed with positive cone  $C$  and order-continuous norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and suppose that  $Z_0$  can be embedded in  $Z$  in such a way that  $C_0$  is a norm closed subset of  $C$ .

Then  $Z_0$  is a Banach lattice with positive cone  $C_0$  and order-continuous norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$  defined on  $Z_0$  by  $\|x\|_0 = \| |x|_0 \|$  where  $|\cdot|_0$  is the lattice modulus on  $(Z_0, C_0)$ .

*Proof.* Straightforward, for details see the last part of the proof in [1, p 257].  $\square$

Again,  $Z_0$  may be a lattice in the order inherited from  $C$  but fail to be a sublattice of  $Z$ , in which case  $\|\cdot\|$  will generally differ from  $\|\cdot\|_0$  on non-positive elements of  $Z_0$ . Indeed,  $Z_0$  need not even be closed in  $Z$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  [1, Example 4]. Conditions under which  $Z_0$  is a sublattice of  $(Z, C)$  in Proposition 3 are discussed in [2].

Propositions 2 and 3 combine to give us

**Proposition 4.** Let  $Z$  be a Banach lattice endowed with positive cone  $C$  and an order-continuous norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $G$  be a semigroup of positive linear endomorphisms of  $Z$ .

If  $G$  is left-reversible then the positive fixed points  $C_0$  of  $Z$  under  $G$  form a lattice cone, and their linear span  $Z_0$  is a Banach lattice under an order-continuous norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$  which agrees with  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $C_0$ .

Proposition 4 may fail when  $G$  is a projection semigroup [1, Example 1] so some condition is required on  $G$ . But frequently we can use a standard fixed point theorem to recover the conclusion of Proposition 4 for semigroups which are not left-reversible. As an illustration of this, we prove the following:

**Definition 5.** In the set up of Proposition 4 call  $G$  *norm-distal* iff  $Gu$  is norm bounded away from zero for all  $u \in Z - \{0\}$ .

**Proposition 6.** Proposition 4 remains true if  $G$  is assumed norm-distal in place of left-reversible.

*Proof.* Adopting the notation of Proposition 3, pick  $x, y$  in  $C_0$  and let  $A$  be the smallest subset of  $C$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  and closed under join and orbit, so that for  $u, v \in A$  and  $T \in G$  we have  $u \vee v, Tu \in A$ . Now  $A$  is directed as a subset of  $C$ , and hence convergent to  $z = \sup A \leq x + y$ . Setting  $K$  to be the order interval  $[x \vee y, z]$ , we have (using order continuity of the norm on  $Z$ ) that the elements of  $G$  act as continuous affine maps from the weakly compact set  $K$  into itself [8, §2.4]. Since  $G$  is distal,  $K$  must have a fixed point under  $G$  by the Ryall-Nardzewski fixed

point theorem [10][9]. This fixed point must be  $z$ , which is therefore the least upper bound of  $x$  and  $y$  in  $C_0$ . This is true for each choice of  $x$  and  $y$  in  $C_0$ , so  $C_0$  is a lattice cone and the conclusion of Proposition 4 is recovered.  $\square$

Different variations of Proposition 4 can be obtained by applying other fixed point theorems to the compact convex set  $K$  defined in the proof of Proposition 6. See [5] for a selection of suitable fixed point properties. As well as yielding the new results presented here, this approach also allows us to give simple transparent proofs for a wide range of known results. Properties of this kind find application in statistical mechanics [11], quantum physics [3], statistical decision theory [7, Chapter 1] and elsewhere. See [1] for further discussion of the significance of these and related propositions, and [4] for a range of recent related work.

## REFERENCES

1. B. Christianson, 1989, The Positive Fixed Points of Banach Lattices, *Proc Amer Math Soc* **107**(1) 255-260
2. B. Christianson, 1991, Relative Width of Sublattices, *Math Chronicle* **20** 75-78
3. E.B. Davies and J.T. Lewis, 1970, An Operational Approach to Quantum Probability, *Comm Math Phys* **17** 239-260
4. C.B. Huijsmans and W.A.J. Luxemburg eds, 1992, Positive Operators and Semigroups on Banach Lattices, *Acta Appl Math* **27**(1&2).
5. A.T. Lau, 1976, Some Fixed Point Theorems and their Applications to  $W^*$ -algebras, pp 121-129 in *Fixed Point Theory and its Applications*, ed S. Swaminathan, Academic Press, New York
6. A.T. Lau, 1990, Amenability of Semigroups, pp 314-334 in *The Analytical and Topological Theory of Semigroups*, ed K.H. Hofmann *et al*, Walter de Gruyter & Co, Berlin
7. L.M. LeCam, 1986, Asymptotic Methods in Statistical Decision Theory, *Springer Series in Statistics*, Springer-Verlag
8. P. Meyer-Nieberg, 1991, *Banach Lattices*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin
9. I. Namioka and E. Asplund, 1967, A Geometric Proof of Ryll-Nardzewski's Fixed Point Theorem, *Bull Amer Math Soc* **73** 443-445
10. C. Ryll-Nardzewski, 1967, On Fixed Points of Endomorphisms of Linear Spaces, *Proc Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematics, Statistics and Probability* **2**(1) 55-61, University of California Press, Berkeley
11. G. Winkler, 1985, Choquet Order and Simplices with Applications in Probabilistic Models, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1145**, Springer Verlag, Berlin

SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCES, HATFIELD CAMPUS, UNIVERSITY OF HERTFORDSHIRE, ENGLAND, EUROPE

*E-mail address:* B.Christianson@herts.ac.uk