BEAGLE-AGN I: simultaneous constraints on the properties of gas in star-forming and AGN narrow-line regions in galaxies

A. Vidal-García^(b),^{1,2*} A. Plat,^{3*} E. Curtis-Lake^(b),^{4*} A. Feltre^(b),⁵ M. Hirschmann^(b),^{6,7} J. Chevallard^(b) and S. Charlot^(b)

¹Observatorio Astronómico Nacional, C/ Alfonso XII 3, E-28014 Madrid, Spain

²École Normale Supérieure, CNRS, UMR 8023, Université PSL, Sorbonne Université, Université de Paris, F-75005 Paris, France

³Steward Observatory, 933 N. Cherry Avenue, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

⁴Centre for Astrophysics Research, Department of Physics, Astronomy and Mathematics, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield AL10 9AB, UK

⁵INAF – Osservatorio di Astrofisica e Scienza dello Spazio di Bologna, Via P. Gobetti 93/3, I-40129 Bologna, Italy

⁶Institute of Physics, GalSpec Laboratory, Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne, Observatoire de Sauverny, Chemin Pegasi 51, CH-1290 Versoix, Switzerland

⁷INAF, Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste, Via G. B. Tiepolo 11, I-34131 Trieste, Italy

⁸Sub-department of Astrophysics, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK

⁹Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR7095, Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, F-75014 Paris, France

Accepted 2023 October 5. Received 2023 October 5; in original form 2022 November 22

ABSTRACT

We present the addition of nebular emission from the narrow-line regions (NLR) surrounding active galactic nuclei (AGNs) to BEAGLE (BayEsian Analysis of GaLaxy sEds). Using a set of idealized spectra, we fit to a set of observables (emission-line ratios and fluxes) and test the retrieval of different physical parameters. We find that fitting to standard diagnostic-line ratios plus [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729/[O III] λ 5007, H β /H α , [O I] λ 6300/[O II] λ 3726, λ 3729, and H α flux, degeneracies remain between dust-to-metal mass ratio (ξ_d^{NLR}) and ionization parameter (U_s^{NLR}) in the NLR gas, and between slope of the ionizing radiation (α_{PL} , characterizing the emission from the accretion disc around the central black hole) and total accretion-disc luminosity (L_{acc}). Since these degeneracies bias the retrieval of other parameters even at maximal signal-to-noise ratio (S/N), without additional observables, we suggest fixing α_{PL} and dust-to-metal mass ratios in both NLR and H II regions. We explore the S/N in H β required for un-biased estimates of physical parameters, finding that S/N(H β) ~ 10 is sufficient to identify an NLR contribution, but that higher S/N is required for un-biased parameter retrieval (~20 for NLR-dominated systems, ~30 for objects with approximately equal H β contributions from NLR and H II regions). We also compare the predictions of our models for different line ratios to previously published models and data. By adding [He II] λ 4686-line measurements to a set of published line fluxes for a sample of 463 AGN NLR, we show that our models with $-4 < \log U_s^{\text{NLR}} < -1.5$ can account for the full range of observed AGN properties in the local Universe.

Key words: galaxies: active - galaxies: ISM - H II regions.

1 INTRODUCTION

In searching for obscured (termed type-2) Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs), astronomers often exploit 'BPT' diagrams, which are named after the founding paper by Baldwin, Phillips & Terlevich (1981). The scheme proposed in Baldwin, Phillips & Terlevich (1981), which employs ratios between strong emission line fluxes, was later updated by Veilleux & Osterbrock (1987), who settled on three diagnostic diagrams: [O III] λ 5007/H β versus [S II] λ 6717, λ 6731/H α , [O III] λ 5007/H β versus [N II] λ 6584/H α and [O III] λ 5007/H β versus [O I] λ 6300/H α . These diagrams provide clear separation of the different ionizing sources powering the line emission. However,

* E-mail: a.vidal@oan.es (AVG); adele.plat@iap.fr (AP); e.curtislake@herts.ac.uk (ECL) at low metallicities, the regions within the diagnostic diagrams occupied by star-formation and AGN-driven line emission increasingly overlap, muddying the classification (Groves, Heckman & Kauffmann 2006; Feltre, Charlot & Gutkin 2016; Hirschmann et al. 2019). Therefore, at low masses, or higher redshifts, the standard BPT diagrams will be less helpful to distinguish AGN from starforming activity. It is therefore important to develop new tools to probe the impact of AGNs on galaxy evolution with the new era of large spectroscopic surveys at high redshifts (e.g. with the *JWST*).

Beyond simple classification of galaxy-wide star-formation and AGN-driven line emission, there has been significant progress in deriving physical properties of the star-forming regions themselves (e.g. Kewley, Nicholls & Sutherland 2019; Maiolino & Mannucci 2019, and references therein). However, progress on the side of AGN gas properties is more limited. The first emission-line calibrations to derive oxygen abundances of type-2 AGNs were supplied by Storchi-

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

[©] The Author(s) 2023.

Bergmann et al. (1998). The subsequent efforts have proceeded along three avenues: further research of observables to derive physical properties (e.g. from rest-frame UV lines, Dors et al. 2014; or the restframe optical, Castro et al. 2017); comparison of emission-line ratios with photoionization models, often searching for a minimum χ^2 solution (e.g. for rest-frame UV lines; Nagao, Maiolino & Marconi 2006b; Matsuoka et al. 2009, 2018); and full Bayesian parameter estimation (Thomas et al. 2018b; Mignoli et al. 2019; Pérez-Montero et al. 2019).

Dors et al. (2020a) used a large sample of type-2 AGNs selected from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000) to provide the first comparison of methods for deriving oxygen abundances of type-2 AGNs. These methods include the calibrations of Storchi-Bergmann et al. (1998), Castro et al. (2017), and the Bayesian-style method employed by the HII-CHI-MISTRY code (Pérez-Montero et al. 2019). They find fairly poor agreement between the different methods as well as no significant trend between a galaxy's stellar mass and the metallicity of the narrow-line region (NLR) surrounding the AGN.

Dors et al. (2020a) also test a 'direct' method, that estimates the electron temperature (T_e) within the high-ionization zone using the $R_{03} = [OIII]\lambda 4959$ Å, $\lambda 5007$ Å/ $\lambda 4363$ Åratio. They find that their implemented 'direct' method significantly underestimates the derived metallicities compared to the other methods. In Dors et al. (2015), they inferred that the 'direct' metallicities were significantly lower than extrapolated radial metallicity gradients derived from gas-phase abundances. Dors et al. (2020b) subsequently identify the cause of the discrepancy between various calibrations and the 'direct' method. They update the 'direct' method and demonstrate that the previous discrepancies with other calibrations are much reduced. Further to this, Dors (2021) provide the first strong-line calibration against 'direct' metallicity estimates for AGNs.

Despite large discrepancies in different oxygen abundance estimates, there have been efforts to characterize the type-2 AGN population by studying line emission. The first studies investigating the statistics of gas metallicity in the NLR of AGNs mostly indicated a lack of evolution with redshift (Matsuoka et al. 2009; Dors et al. 2014), although they did reveal a luminosity-metallicity relation, where less luminous AGNs (characterized by the [He II] λ 1640 luminosity in both these studies) display lower metallicities than their bright counterparts. In a later study, Mignoli et al. (2019) investigated the properties of the NLR gas of a sample of Type-2 AGNs selected in a homogeneous way and found significant evolution with redshift. This study employed a wider selection of lines, as well as updated photoionization models, which better reproduce the [N v] λ 1240 line without resorting to the very high metallicities evoked in previous studies of quasars (e.g. Hamann & Ferland 1993; Dietrich et al. 2003; Nagao, Marconi & Maiolino 2006a) and narrow-line Seyfert-I galaxies (Shemmer & Netzer 2002). Recently, do Nascimento et al. (2022) used strong-line calibrations to study the NLR in type-2 AGNs within the MaNGA survey (Mapping Nearby Galaxies at Apache Point Observatory; Bundy et al. 2015), finding that the central NLR region typically has lower metallicity than the surrounding H II regions. They posit that this may be due to accretion of metal-poor gas at the centre of these galaxies, which is feeding the central black hole.

One limitation of all the methods mentioned thus far is the lack of any accounting for H II region contribution to the line emission. Thomas et al. (2018b) explicitly investigate the degree of H II and NLR mixing in the line emission in a sample of SDSS galaxies using their Bayesian code, NEBULARBAYES. They demonstrate that even in regions of the BPT diagram where AGNs are determined to be cleanly selected, the Balmer lines can have a significant contribution from H II regions ionized by young stars (see also Agostino et al. 2021). Further to this, Thomas et al. (2019) measure the massmetallicity relation of type-2 AGNs finding a moderate increase in oxygen abundance with increasing stellar mass.

With this paper, we present the incorporation of the Feltre, Charlot & Gutkin (2016) NLR models into BEAGLE (BayEsian Analysis of GaLaxy sEds), a tool to model and interpret galaxy spectral energy distributions (Chevallard & Charlot 2016) (Section 2). This addition allows the mixing of line emission from young stellar birth clouds (Section 2.1) with that from the NLR of type-2 AGNs (Section 2.2). BEAGLE also self-consistently includes stellar emission and attenuation by interstellar dust (Section 2.3), which are not explicitly modelled in NEBULARBAYES. In Section 3, we take a pedagogical approach to defining what parameters of our model can be constrained by fitting a given set of observables (Section 3.2) in idealized spectra (Section 3.1). Using such spectra, we quantify the S/N required to constrain HII-region and NLR-gas parameters for different NLR contributions to the total H β flux of a galaxy (Sections 3.3 and 3.4). With this work, we focus on rest-frame optical observables, though BEAGLE can also be used to study emission lines from the rest-frame UV. Finally, in Section 4, we compare the results of our model with those obtained using previously published methods. This last section of our work focuses, therefore, on comparing the predictions of the model grid included in BEAGLE to different ones in the literature. We expand on the comparison work of Dors et al. (2020a) by explaining how different methods will derive different oxygen abundances (Section 4.1). In particular, in Section 4.2 we compare several emission-line ratios and several free parameters in the models and in a set of type-2 AGN observations. In order to explain different trends for the ionization parameter, in Section 4.3 we present new measurements of the [He II] λ 4686 fluxes in a few hundred type-2 AGNs from DR7 SDSS and we compare the measured data to the fluxes predicted by the F16 models. We also show the predictions of the fluxes for sulphur and nitrogen lines in Section 4.4, and end this discussion (Section 4.5) by comparing the Dors (2021) empirical T_e -based 12 + log(O/H) calibration to the different model grids. The paper ends in Section 5 with a summary of the main findings of this work. This is the first in a series of three papers, to be followed by a paper on fitting of a sample of type-2 AGNs with BEAGLE, and a study of the extent to which line emission from shocks and post-AGB stars may affect our inferences.

2 MODELLING THE EMISSION FROM STARS, H II REGIONS, AND AGN NLRS IN BEAGLE

BEAGLE (Chevallard & Charlot 2016, hereafter CC16) is a Bayesian SED-fitting code, which allows efficient exploration of a wide grid of physical parameters affecting the light emitted by a galaxy. The code employs MULTINEST (a Bayesian analysis tool based on the Nested Sampling algorithm of Skilling et al. 2006; see Feroz, Hobson & Bridges 2009) to sample from the posterior probability distributions of physical parameters. We refer the reader to CC16 for more details.

A main feature of BEAGLE is the incorporation of physically consistent stellar continuum and nebular emission models, which trace the production of starlight and its transmission through the interstellar medium self-consistently. This paper is concerned with the extension of BEAGLE to allow for the interpretation of mixed emission-line signatures of AGNs and stars. In Section 2.1 below, we briefly review the modelling of the emission from stars and H II regions in BEAGLE, while in Section 2.2, we describe our incorporation of the emission from AGN narrow-line regions.

2.1 Emission from stars and H II regions

BEAGLE employs the latest version of the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population synthesis models to compute the emission from stars. This version of the code computes the integrated spectrum between 5.6 Å and 3.6 cm of a stellar population with an age between 0.01 Myr and 13.8 Gyr and a metallicity in the range $0.0001 \le Z \le 0.04$ using evolutionary tracks which include stars with masses up to 350 M_{\odot} populating the Chabrier initial mass function (see Vidal-García et al. 2017, for more modelling details).

The line and continuum emission of H II regions ionized by stars younger than 10 Myr is computed following the prescription of Gutkin, Charlot & Bruzual (2016, see also Charlot & Longhetti 2001) for a grid of gas parameters: the metallicity of the H II-region gas, $Z_{\text{gas}}^{\text{HII}}$ (noted Z_{ISM} in Gutkin, Charlot & Bruzual 2016); the ionization parameter, log U_{S} ;¹ and the dust-to-metal mass ratio within the H II regions, ξ_{d} . Nitrogen abundances are scaled to oxygen abundances according to the formula:

$$N/H \simeq 0.41 \,O/H \left[10^{-1.6} + 10^{(2.33 + \log O/H)} \right] \,, \tag{1}$$

which well matches the relation between N/O and $12 + \log(O/H)$ in observed H II regions (see equation 11 and fig. 1 of Gutkin, Charlot & Bruzual 2016).

For simplicity, we fix here the carbon-to-oxygen ratio of the H II regions to solar, $(C/O)_{\odot} = 0.44$, and the hydrogen density to $n_{\rm H} = 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. In the stellar population models, we fix the upper limit of the stellar initial mass function (IMF) to 100 M_{\odot} . An important feature of this grid is the coupling of stellar light to its transmission through the interstellar medium (ISM), via not only the nebular emission, but also the attenuation by dust in the H II regions themselves (see Section 2.3).

2.2 Emission from AGN narrow-line regions

We appeal to the Feltre, Charlot & Gutkin (2016, hereafter F16) models of AGN-NLR emission to introduce simultaneous fitting of the physical properties of NLR and H II regions with BEAGLE. The F16 NLR models were produced with CLOUDY c13.03 (Ferland et al. 2013, the same version as used by Gutkin, Charlot & Bruzual 2016 to produce the H II-region models). Three important aspects must be considered to incorporate the treatment of NLR emission into BEAGLE: the shape of the AGN ionizing continuum; the properties of the NLR gas itself; and the integration of the F16 model grid with the H II-region model grid within BEAGLE. In the next paragraphs, we summarize the salient features of our approach to achieve these goals.

In the F16 model, the radiation illuminating the NLR gas is the thermal emission from the accretion disc surrounding the central black hole. For simplicity, this emission is described by a broken power law, as shown in Fig. 1 (see also equation 5 of F16). The strengths of emission lines emerging from the NLR, as well as the ratios between them, are primarily sensitive to the slope, α_{PL} , of the power law at high frequencies (short wavelengths). F16 allow this parameter to vary in the range $-1.2 < \alpha_{PL} < -2.0$, which are generally used as 'standard' values for the power-law slope (e.g. Groves, Dopita & Sutherland 2004).

This simplified description of the purely thermal emission from the accretion disc does not attempt to model the hard X-ray component

¹Note that log U_s is defined as the ionization parameter at the Strömgrem radius, which differs from the volume-averaged ionization parameter, < U > according to $< U > = 9/4 U_s$



Figure 1. The incident radiation used to compute different NLR emission models. The models of F16 are used in this work, and allow a range of slope (α_{PL}) of the ionizing portion of the spectrum. The F16 models use a simplified parametric form which describes the thermal emission from the accretion disc surrounding the central black hole. We use a model grid that includes a range of spectral indices, α_{PL} , in the rest-frame UV to soft X-ray [log(ν /Hz) \gtrsim 15], as indicated. The shape is described in Schartmann et al. (2005) and Feltre et al. (2012). We also plot the incident radiation used in a number of different works for comparison, as specified in the legend. We compare the emission line ratios of these different models to observations in Section 4.

thought to arise from the Comptonization of photons scattered in the hot corona surrounding the disc (Haardt & Maraschi 1991). A soft X-ray component is also often observed in AGNs, the exact nature of which is still debated (e.g. disc reflection, Crummy et al. 2006; or optically thick Comptonized disc emission, which can explain the soft X-ray excess in narrow-line Seyfert-Is; Done et al. 2012). In practice, the flexibility in α_{PL} allows the exploration of different contributions of soft X-rays to the incident ionizing radiation.

Once the spectrum of the accretion-disc radiation reaching the NLR is defined, CLOUDY can be used to model the transfer of this radiation through the NLR gas. The F16 NLR grid employs the option of 'open geometry', suitable for gas with low covering fraction. The models are computed for a typical accretion luminosity (i.e. the integral of the broken power law in Fig. 1) of $L_{acc} = 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (denoted L_{AGN} in F16). The equation (1) of this reference also shows that the number of ionizing photons, Q(H), is proportional to L_{acc} , for a given α_{PL} .² The version of the F16 model incorporated into BEAGLE includes further updates by Mignoli et al. (2019) which better account for the observed [N V] λ 1240/[He II] λ 1640 emission-line ratios in a sample of high-redshift type-2 AGNs. Specifically, the inner radius of the gas is set to $r_{in} \approx 90$ pc, and the internal microturbulence velocity to $v_{mirr} = 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

To incorporate nebular emission from AGN NLR into BEAGLE, we use a grid of F16 models covering full ranges in α_{PL} and other NLRgas parameters: the metallicity, noted Z_{gas}^{NLR} ; the ionization parameter, log U_s^{NLR} ; and the dust-to-metal mass ratio, ξ_d^{NLR} . In this work, we fix the hydrogen density in the NLR to $n_{H}^{NLR} = 1000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, as typically measured from optical line doublets (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006). Furthermore, as for the H II regions (Section 2.1), we fix the C/O abundance ratio in the NLR gas to solar and use equation (1) to

²For completeness, log Q(H) = 54.7787, 54.7429, 54.6364, 54.4972 for $\alpha_{\text{PL}} = -1.2, -1.4, -1.7, -2.0$, respectively and $L_{\text{acc}} = 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

describe the dependence of N/H on O/H. Table 1 lists the full set of physical parameters pertaining to the H II and NLR regions in our models.

In combining the HII-region and NLR model grids in BEAGLE, we allow for the normalization of the NLR models to change. In practice, we achieve this by changing the parameter L_{acc} , which controls the absolute luminosities of NLR emission lines (Table 1). We note that this is compatible with the adoption of a fixed $L_{acc} =$ 10^{45} erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² in the photoionization calculations of F16. Indeed, we find that, at fixed other parameters, the emission-line luminosities computed with CLOUDY for values of $L_{\rm acc}$ in the range from 10^{42} to 10^{48} erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² differ by only 0.1 to 6 per cent (depending on the considered line) from those obtained by simply scaling the luminosities of a model with $L_{\rm acc} = 10^{45} \, {\rm erg \, s^{-1} \, cm^{-2}}$. This is because we assume a fixed scaling relation between the inner radius of the ionized gas ($r_{\rm in}$) and $L_{\rm acc}$, given by $L_{\rm acc}/(4\pi r_{\rm in}^2) \simeq 10^2 \,{\rm erg \, s^{-1} \, cm^{-2}}$ (Feltre, Charlot & Gutkin 2016).³ We must also account for the inhomogeneous distribution of the narrow-line emitting gas around the central AGN. This can be achieved by multiplying L_{acc} by a gas covering fraction, whose recovery from observables will however be degenerate with L_{acc} . To avoid introducing this extra degeneracy when fitting to data with BEAGLE, we fix the covering fraction of NLR gas to 10 per cent, which is within the range of values (2-20 per cent) obtained for the covering fraction of the NLR gas of the Palomar-Green quasar sample (Baskin & Laor 2005).

Since we are modelling type-2 AGNs, we are focused on modelling the narrow line-emitting region. Continuum emission from the accretion disc itself is assumed to be completely obscured by the dust surrounding the AGN centre, often referred to as the AGN torus. We use an open geometry in CLOUDY, which means that any non-ionizing continuum light (of the form in Fig. 1) that is reflected from the NLR is included in the models (see Sections 2.2.4 and 2.3 of Hazy c17 manual), however, its contribution to most SEDs is negligible relative to the stellar continuum in practice. For simplicity, we assume that the radiation by the accretion disc and surrounding NLR gas are spherically symmetric. This is a reasonable assumption when teamed with the covering fraction, but does not take account of scattering or absorption of the accretion disc radiation by the dust in the torus, nor of the anisotropic nature of the emission from the accretion disc itself.

2.3 Attenuation by dust

In this work, we adopt the Charlot & Fall (2000, hereafter CF00) two-component dust model. This model accounts for the enhanced dust content in stellar birth clouds compared to the diffuse ISM. The dust within birth clouds is split between the inner H II and outer H I regions, with the dust in H II regions being self-consistently accounted for in the nebular emission grid of Gutkin, Charlot & Bruzual (2016). As of BEAGLE v0.27.1, this dust component is accounted for self-consistently within the implementation of the CF00 model, as described in Curtis-Lake et al. (2021).

When combining NLR emission with the emission from stars we account for the dust both within the NLR and the diffuse ISM. As for the H II region models, dust is included in the F16 CLOUDY models

of the NLR. Hence, within BEAGLE, in the framework of the CF00 dust model, light emitted from the NLR is subject only to further attenuation by dust in the diffuse ISM.

3 RETRIEVAL OF PARAMETERS FROM IDEALIZED MODELS

3.1 Idealized models of active galaxies

To investigate how well we can identify type-2 AGNs and constrain their NLR properties at different redshifts, we choose to model two 'typical' star-forming galaxies, one at z = 0 and another one at z = 2, to which we add various levels of AGN contribution. We select the input parameters of these models by appealing to the IllustrisTNG-100 cosmological, magnetohydrodynamic simulation of galaxy formation (Marinacci et al. 2018; Naiman et al. 2018; Nelson et al. 2018; Pillepich et al. 2018; Springel et al. 2018). See Hirschmann et al. (in preparation) for the details of how these parameters have been retrieved (see also Hirschmann et al. 2017, 2019). Fig. 2 shows histograms of selected physical properties of galaxies with stellar masses at least $3 \times 10^9 \, {\rm M_{\odot}^4}$ extracted from this simulation.

We fix the stellar masses of both z = 0 and z = 2 galaxies to $\log(M/M_{\odot}) = 10.5$, corresponding to just below the turnover of the stellar mass function of star-forming galaxies measured over this redshift range (e.g. Tomczak et al. 2014). Setting the ages of the oldest stars to 10 Gyr at z = 0 and 1 Gyr at z = 2 ensures that the objects are younger than the Universe. We assume a constant star formation history to which we add a 10-Myr old burst of star formation. We take the current star formation rates of the z = 0 and 2 galaxies to correspond to the mean values from the Illustris simulation at these redshifts, i.e. $\psi \approx 0.4$ and $1.3 M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}$, respectively. The distributions of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{gas}}^{\mathrm{HII}}$ and $\log U_{\mathrm{s}}$ for star-forming galaxies at $z\sim 0$ and 2 in the Illustris simulation are fairly broad and allow for some freedom in choosing typical values. We adopt values of Z_{gas}^{HII} and $\log U_s$ such that the purely star-forming models lie on the sequence of SDSS star-forming galaxies in the classical [N II] λ 6584/H α BPT diagram (see Fig. 3). For the AGN component, we adopt the mean $Z_{\scriptscriptstyle \!\! oas}^{\scriptscriptstyle NLR}$ from Illustris at each redshift and tweak log U_{s}^{NLR} to ensure that the models sample the AGN portion of the [O I] λ 6300/H α BPT (rather than the LINER/shock region at lower [O III] λ 5007/H β and higher $[OI]\lambda 6300/H\alpha$).

Among the other parameters remaining to be specified, the C/O abundance ratio is fixed to solar, the hydrogen densities in the H II-region and NLR gas to $\log(n_{\rm H}/\rm cm^{-3}) = 2$ and 3, respectively, and the upper mass cutoff of the IMF to $100 M_{\odot}$ (see Sections 2.1 and 2.2). We further fix the dust-to-metal mass ratio to $\xi_{\rm d} = \xi_{\rm d}^{\rm NLR} = 0.3$, the *V*-band attenuation optical depth and its fraction arising from the dust in the ambient ISM to $\hat{\tau}_{\rm v} = 0.3$ and $\mu = 0.4$, respectively. Finally, we set the power-law slope of the accretion-disc emission model to $\alpha_{\rm PL} = -1.7$, in the middle of the range probed by the AGN-NLR model (Section 2.2). Table 2 summarizes the parameters of these idealized models.

When combining the H II-region and NLR models, we consider three types of galaxies at each redshift: one for which line emission is dominated by star formation, one for which it is dominated by the NLR, and one with equal contribution. We set the ratio of H β luminosities from H II regions and the NLR be H β^{HII} /H $\beta^{\text{NLR}} = 0.1$,

³This negligible influence of L_{acc} on model predictions at fixed other parameters (including log U_S^{NLR}) arises from a degeneracy between L_{acc} and the volume-filling factor of the gas entering the definition of the ionization parameter (see equation 4 of F16).

⁴The stellar masses that we study are significantly higher than the massparticle resolution of the IllustrisTNG-100.

Table 1. List of physical parameters used in this work to simulate and fit to (active and inactive) galaxy spectra.

Parameter	Description
$\overline{M_{tot}/M_{\odot}}$	Integrated SFH.
M/M⊙	Stellar mass, including stellar remnants.
$\psi/M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$	Current star formation rate.
$Z_{gas}^{HII}/Z_{\odot}, Z_{gas}^{NLR}/Z_{\odot}$	Metallicity of gas in H II regions and the NLR, respectively.
τ _v	Total V-band attenuation optical depth in the ISM.
μ	Fraction of $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{v}$ arising from dust in the diffuse ISM, fixed to 0.4.
$\log U_{\rm S}, \log U_{\rm S}^{\rm NLR}$	Effective gas ionization parameter in HII regions and the NLR, respectively.
ξ_d, ξ_d^{NLR}	Dust-to-metal mass ratio in H II regions and the NLR, respectively.
$n_{\rm H}/{\rm cm}^{-3}, n_{\rm H}^{\rm NLR}/{\rm cm}^{-3}$	Hydrogen gas density in H II regions (fixed to 100) and the NLR (fixed to 1000), respectively.
(C/O)/(C/O) _☉	Carbon-to-oxygen abundance ratio in units of $(C/O)_{\odot} = 0.44$, fixed to unity.
$m_{\rm up}/{ m M}_{\odot}$	Upper mass cutoff of the IMF, fixed to 100.
t/Gyr	Age of the oldest stars.
$\alpha_{ m PL}$	Slope of the ionizing radiation from thermal emission of the accretion disc around the central black hole.
Lacc	Integrated thermal emission from the accretion disc.



Figure 2. Histograms of the physical parameter space covered by galaxies in IllustrisTNG-100 at z = 0 (top row) and z = 2 (bottom row). The dashed vertical lines indicate the mean value of each quantity, while the solid black lines indicate the values used.

1, and 10. This ensures that our models bridge the space between the regions dominated by star-forming galaxies and AGNs in the BPT. We ensure the highest value of $L_{\rm acc}$ (obtained for ${\rm H}\beta^{\rm HII}/{\rm H}\beta^{\rm NLR} = 0.1$) is lower than the Eddington luminosity,

$$L_{\rm Edd} = \frac{4\pi G c m_{\rm p}}{\sigma_{\rm e}} M_{\rm BH} = 1.26 \times 10^{38} (M_{\rm BH}/\rm M_{\odot}) \,\rm erg \, s^{-1} \,, \tag{2}$$

where $M_{\rm BH}$ is the black-hole mass, *c* the speed of light, $m_{\rm p}$ the proton mass, and $\sigma_{\rm e}$ the Thomson-scattering cross-section for electrons. In the local Universe, the mass of the central black hole in active galaxies tends to scale with total stellar mass as $M_{\rm BH} \sim 0.025 M_{\star}$ (e.g. Reines & Volonteri 2015). Hence, the condition $L_{\rm acc} < L_{\rm Edd}$ requires the galaxy stellar mass to be at least ~40 times the corresponding black-hole mass in equation (2), which is the case in our models at both redshifts.

From these simulated spectra, we make a mock catalogue using BEAGLE, which provides simulated line fluxes. We add noise to these line fluxes, incorporating a flat noise model with the noise level for each line set according to the desired S/N in H β . We fit to noisy line fluxes, rather than to the full spectrum, since we are primarily interested in the star-forming and NLR contributions to the emission lines themselves.

Although we only fit the noisy model line fluxes, we show in Fig. 4 the window of the full optical spectrum of the z = 0 galaxy where the

transitions used in the BPT diagrams arise. In particular, we show spectra with two different noise levels, $S/N(H\beta) = 3$ and 40, for the three galaxies modelled as a function of the contribution of the different regions to the line emission. In all the cases, all the emission lines are visible for the $S/N(H\beta) = 40$ spectra, but only the strongest lines are still detectable for the noisier ones with $S/N(H\beta) = 3$.

At low S/N(H β), the perturbed line fluxes for weak lines can fluctuate significantly. To mitigate this effect, we produce 10 spectra for each S/N(H β) value and average the results after having fitted the line fluxes with BEAGLE.

3.2 Fitting with BEAGLE

Our aims when fitting with BEAGLE are two-fold. First we hope to distinguish objects with an obscured-AGN component contributing to the emission-line fluxes. Additionally we wish to constrain the gas properties of the NLR and star-forming regions. Our base set of observables are the lines used to make the three emission-line diagnostic diagrams identified by Baldwin, Phillips & Terlevich (1981) for distinguishing the dominant ionizing source, namely [O III] λ 5007, [O I] λ 6300, H α , H β , [N II] λ 6584, and [S II] λ 6717, λ 6731. We add the [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729 emission line doublet to gain constraints on the ionization state of the gas (most directly together with [O III] λ 5007 for constraints on log U_s and log U_s^{NLR}).



Figure 3. The [N II] λ 6584, [S II] λ 6717, λ 6731, and [O I] λ 6300 BPT diagrams showing the coverage of galaxies within SDSS as filled contours. Different colours represent models with different contributions from the H II region and NLR as stated in the legend at z = 0 (circles) and z = 2 (stars). The parameters chosen to represent these galaxies are motivated by the IllustrisTNG-100 simulation (see the text for details). The points spanning between the two extremes show different contributions of NLR model to the corresponding star-forming model, parametrized by the fractional contribution of NLR to the H β flux (as described in the legend).

Table 2. Properties of the idealized models of active galaxies at z = 0 and z = 2 used to test parameter retrieval with BEAGLE.

Parameter	z = 0	z = 2
$log(M/M_{\odot})$	10.5	10.5
$\psi/M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$	0.4	1.3
Z ^{HII} _{gas}	0.014	0.007
$\log U_{\rm S}$	-3.6	-3.0
$n_{\rm H}/{\rm cm}^{-3}$	100	100
ξd	0.3	0.3
t/Gyr	10	1
Z _{gas} ^{NLR}	0.030	0.012
$\log U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR}$	-3.5	-2.75
$n_{\rm H}^{\rm NLR}/{\rm cm}^{-3}$	1000	1000
ξd	0.3	0.3
$\hat{\tau}_{V}$	0.3	0.3
μ	0.4	0.4
$\alpha_{ m PL}$	-1.7	-1.7

From a first analysis we found that fitting to individual line fluxes produces biased estimates of AGN parameters, and so we construct the likelihood using line ratios particularly sensitive to the estimated physical parameters. In particular, we use: the BPT line ratios $[OI] \lambda 6300/H \alpha$, $[NII] \lambda 6584/H \alpha$, $[SII] \lambda 6717$, $\lambda 6731/H\alpha$ and [O III] $\lambda 5007/H\beta$; H $\beta/H\alpha$ to provide constraints on dust attenuation; $[OI] \lambda 6300/[OII] \lambda 3726$, $\lambda 3729$ and $[OII] \lambda 3726$, λ 3729/[O III] λ 5007 to provide constraints on the ionization parameters. To constrain the absolute normalization of the line fluxes, we also include the comparison of H α flux in the likelihood calculations. This, in effect, means that we are fitting directly to these emission line ratios (plus H α line flux), not to the individual line fluxes. The chosen line ratios produce improved estimates of various parameters thanks to the higher weighting of lines in the partially ionized zone ([N II] $\lambda 6584$, [S II] $\lambda 6717$, $\lambda 6731$, [O I] $\lambda 6300$) to the likelihood evaluation. When these lines are faint and not fitted as line ratios but rather individually, the information in the likelihood is dominated by stronger lines which contain less information about the NLR properties (H α , H β , [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729). We make the simplifying assumption that the line ratios are independent. The method of using line ratios in this way is similar to that adopted by other works, such as Pérez-Montero et al. (2019).

Within the likelihood determination, we assume that the measurement of the ratio is Gaussian-distributed, with μ the mean and σ the standard deviation of the distribution. The ratio between two Gaussian-distributed variables, Z = X/Y [where $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_x, \sigma_x^2)$ and $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_y, \sigma_y^2)$], is strictly described by a Cauchy distribution when the mean of each distribution is zero. However, the distribution of the ratio of two independent normal random variables can be approximated as a Gaussian with variance:

$$\sigma_z^2 = \frac{\mu_x^2}{\mu_y^2} \left(\frac{\sigma_x^2}{\mu_x^2} + \frac{\sigma_y^2}{\mu_y^2} \right) \tag{3}$$

when $0 < \sigma_x/\mu_x < \lambda \le 1$ and $0 < \sigma_y/\mu_y \le \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \sigma_x^2/\mu_x^2} < \lambda$, where λ is a constant between zero and one (Díaz-Francés & Rubio 2013), and σ/μ is equal to the inverse of the S/N on the given parameter. We always choose ratios with the lower S/N line as the numerator, which safely respects the condition on σ_x/μ_x .⁵ Re-writing the central part of the second condition using $\sigma_y/\mu_y = \frac{1}{N}\sigma_x/\mu_x$ (which is equivalent to saying the signal-to-noise on y is a multiple of N times higher than the signal-to-noise on x), we have $\sqrt{(N^2 + 1)\sigma_x}/\mu_x < N\lambda$, which is trivially true if N > 1 and $\sigma_x/\mu_x < \lambda$. Therefore, we are generally within the regime where we can safely model the distribution of the line ratios as a Gaussian. In practice, the lines on the numerator do not always satisfy $\sigma_x/\mu_x < 1$. However, when they have very low S/N (high σ_x/μ_x), their weighting within the likelihood is much lower and so this should not significantly affect the results.

⁵We note here that this condition should be preserved also working with real objects, where the heterogeneous line intensities from object to object might imply switching the numerator and the denominator, when needed.



Figure 4. Window of the optical spectrum of the z = 0 galaxy with different levels of noise, parametrized in terms of the observed H β signal-to-noise ratio, S/N(H β), for the three types of galaxies considered.

Table 3 presents the prior limits and fixed values of different parameters. Note that the mass and age of the stellar population are not displayed in the table because they act as nuisance parameters of the gas properties, which are the main focus of the following discussion. The table shows the three different parameter configurations explored: varying α_{PL} while fixing ξ_d^{NLR} , varying ξ_d^{NLR} while fixing α_{PL} , and fixing both of these parameters.

3.3 High-quality spectra with S/N(H β) = 100

3.3.1 AGN versus star-formation dominated spectra

We start by investigating the idealized scenario of high-S/N spectra with full coverage of the lines of interest. From our grid of models, we test the retrieval of physical parameters for the AGN and star-formation dominated cases ($H\beta_{SF}/H\beta_{NLR} = 0.1$ and 0.9, respectively) with high S/N spectra [S/N(H β) = 100]. At this S/N in H β we reach S/N > 10 in all lines used in the analysis. We fit all components (H II, stellar continuum, and NLR) to each spectrum to ensure that the parameters of the dominant component are not biased by the expanded parameter space including the rest of the components.

Fig. 5 summarizes the joint posterior probability distributions derived from BEAGLE fits to the z = 0 and z = 2 AGN-dominated spectra. We display only parameters that affect the NLR emission in the model, even though H II and stellar parameters were fitted. The

plot is an effective average of the posteriors derived for each of the 10 realizations at each grid point (where we take the same input spectrum and produce 10 realizations with random noise). For each Monte Carlo realization, we take samples from the posterior probability (derived using BEAGLE) for each fitted parameter before computing the logarithm of the ratio to the corresponding input value. We then fit a bi-variate Gaussian to the joint distribution of logarithmic ratios for each parameter pair. The mean of the 10 bi-variate Gaussian centres are plotted as crosses. The ovals show the average of the bivariate Gaussian distributions of the fits to the 10 noisy realizations (specifically, the covariance matrices were constructed using the mean of the corresponding entries in the individual bivariate Gaussian covariance matrices). We show an illustration of the creation of this type of average triangle plot in Fig. 6. We also show an example triangle and marginal plot for one of the noisy realizations to the z =0 AGN-dominated spectrum in Fig. 7. In Appendix A, we show the triangle plots of one of the 10 noisy realizations of the same spectrum for different parameter configurations in objects at different redshifts and with different contributions of the star-forming and NL regions to the H β line flux.

The results are displayed for three different parameter configurations; varying α_{PL} while fixing ξ_d^{NLR} (yellow), varying ξ_d^{NLR} while fixing α_{PL} (blue), and fixing both of these parameters (red). We find that varying ξ_d^{NLR} leads to log U_s^{NLR} and Z_{gas}^{NLR} being underestimated for both the z = 0 and z = 2 objects. ξ_d^{NLR} is clearly poorly constrained and there are degeneracies between ξ_d^{NLR} and log U_s^{NLR} .

7224 A. Vidal-García et al.

Table 3.	Uniform prior limits and fixed	d values applied to the three	different fits for different parameters in BEAGLE
----------	--------------------------------	-------------------------------	---

Parameter	Fit α_{PL}	Fit ξ_d^{NLR}	Fixed α_{PL} and ξ_{d}^{NLR}
$\overline{\Psi = \log(\psi/M_{\odot}yr^{-1})}$	Uniform $\in [-4., 4.]$	Uniform $\in [-4., 4.]$	Uniform ∈ [− 4., 4.]
$\log(Z_{gas}^{HII}/Z_{\odot})$	Uniform $\in [-2.2, 0.24]$	Uniform $\in [-2.2, 0.24]$	Uniform $\in [-2.2, 0.24]$
$\log U_{\rm S}$	Uniform $\in [-4, -1]$	Uniform $\in [-4, -1]$	Uniform $\in [-4, -1]$
ξd	Fixed to 0.3	Fixed to 0.3	Fixed to 0.3
$\hat{ au}_{ m V}$	Uniform $\in [0, 2]$	Uniform $\in [0, 2]$	Uniform $\in [0, 2]$
μ	Uniform $\in [0, 1]$	Uniform $\in [0, 1]$	Uniform $\in [0, 1]$
$\log(L_{\rm acc} L_{\odot})$	Uniform ∈ [40, 48]	Uniform \in [40, 48]	Uniform \in [40, 48]
ξ _d NLR	Fixed to 0.3	Uniform $\in [0.1, 0.5]$	Fixed to 0.3
$\log U_{\rm S}^{\rm NLR}$	Uniform $\in [-4, -1.5]$	Uniform $\in [-4, -1.5]$	Uniform $\in [-4, -1.5]$
$\log(Z_{gas}^{NLR}/Z_{\odot})$	Uniform $\in [-2.2, 0.24]$	Uniform $\in [-2.2, 0.24]$	Uniform $\in [-2.2, 0.24]$
$\alpha_{\rm PL}$	Uniform $\in [-2.0, -1.2]$	Fixed to -1.7	Fixed to -1.7



Figure 5. Triangle plots of the parameter retrieval for the z = 0 (left) and z = 2 (right) NLR-dominated galaxies with S/N~100 in H β . Only NLR parameters are displayed even though SF parameters are also included in the fits. The triangle plots display the average parameter constraints relative to the input values from fits to 10 realizations of noisy spectrum. The crosses show the average bias for each parameter pair, while the ovals show the 1 σ contour of the bi-variate Gaussian fitted to the joint posteriors (see the text for details about how this plot is made). These show an approximate representation of the biases and degeneracies between different parameters. We display the results for different combinations of fixed or fitted ξ_d^{NLR} and α_{PL} , as specified in the legend.

The depletion onto dust grains can, in principle, be constrained using observables from elements with different refractory properties. For example, Oxygen is depleted onto dust grains, but Nitrogen is not. A standard line ratio used for determining gas-phase N/O ratio is $[N II] \lambda 6584/[O II] \lambda 3726, \lambda 3729$, because both ions have similar ionization energies. We tried explicitly including this ratio in the fits with little effect on the results. This is because, in the presence of ionizing radiation from an accretion disc, the $[N II] \lambda 6584/[O II] \lambda 3726, \lambda 3729$ ratio is heavily affected by the hardness of the incident radiation, and the residual dependence on gas-phase N/O abundance is insufficient to constrain ξ_d^{NLR} .

Allowing α_{PL} to vary leads to overestimated L_{acc} while still underestimating Z_{gas}^{NLR} . There are no clear degeneracies that would lead to such a biased Z_{gas}^{NLR} estimate. There is a clear degeneracy between α_{PL} and L_{acc} , resulting from the definition of L_{acc} , the integration of the thermal accretion disc model (Fig. 1). Increasing α_{PL} while keeping everything else constant will increase L_{acc} . We find the best recovery of L_{acc} , Z_{gas}^{NLR} , and $\log U_s^{NLR}$ when fixing both ξ_d^{NLR} and α_{PL} . Feltre, Charlot & Gutkin (2016) show that increasing α_{PL} pushes the models to higher values of [O III] λ 5007/H β in the [N II] λ 6584/H α BPT diagram (see their fig. 2), so it may be appropriate to vary α_{PL} for objects with more extreme measured line ratios. See paper II for a further analysis of how the variation of α_{PL} affects line ratios in the models and the fitting to real data.

The fraction of the V-band attenuation optical depth arising from the dust in the ambient ISM, μ , is not well constrained by this set of observables for the z = 0 galaxy nor for the z = 2 one.

Fig. 8 displays the stellar and H II region parameters for the starformation dominated z = 0 and z = 2 galaxies. We show results when nebular ξ_d is fixed and fitted. We find that ξ_d is poorly constrained, leading to overestimated log U_s and Z_{gas}^{HII} , as well as tighter constraints on the biased estimates. The results are somewhat less biased for the



Figure 6. Demonstration of the creation of the average triangle plots, showing the 2D posterior probability distribution between $\log U_{\rm S}^{\rm NLR}$ and $Z_{\rm gas}^{\rm NLR}$ for each Monte Carlo realization (first 10 panels) for the NLR-dominated $z \sim 2$ galaxy. The BEAGLE fits correspond to those with $\xi_{\rm d}^{\rm NLR}$ fitted and $\alpha_{\rm PL}$ fixed in Fig. 5. In each of the first ten panels, the scatter points show a sampling from the 2D posterior resulting from the BEAGLE fits, while the elliptical contours show the best-fitting Gaussian fit to the 2σ credible region. The final (eleventh) panel shows each of the individual Gaussian fits to the credible intervals (repeated from each of the 10 previous panels) as well as the average thicker line. The covariance matrix of the black thick ellipse is calculated from the mean of the corresponding values in the 10 individual orange thin ellipses.

z = 2 galaxy. Finally, we note that for the star-forming dominated case, both in the z = 0 and the z = 2 cases, μ is well constrained.

not to be biased by the incertitude on the retrieval of this parameter, we fix the value of μ in the following sections.

3.3.2 Equal contributions by AGN and star formation

We proceed with the most challenging case of equal contributions of NLR and H II regions to the H β flux. Figs 9 and 10 show the average joint posteriors for all fitted parameters for the z = 0 and z = 2 galaxy, respectively. We display the constraints for different configurations of fitted parameters, as indicated in the legend. Notably, the constraints are quite different for the two different objects. For instance, α_{PL} is well recovered for the z = 0 object, but is significantly biased for the z = 2 object. The biased estimates of α_{PL} for the z = 2 object consequently lead to significantly biased estimates of log $U_{\rm S}^{\rm NLR}$, $Z_{\rm gas}^{\rm NLR}$, and $L_{\rm acc}$. We therefore learn that the accuracy of the $\alpha_{\rm PL}$ estimates depends heavily on the region of the observable parameter space that is being probed. In other words, α_{PL} can be well retrieved for objects in certain regions of the [N II] λ 6584 BPT diagram, probably dependent on the sampling of the parameter space⁶ by the models and the degeneracies present in that particular region. We therefore suggest that α_{PL} should be fixed as standard when using this set of observables, unless reaching an acceptable fit requires this parameter to be varied.

A parameter which was found to be problematic in the AGNdominated fits is ξ_d^{NLR} (Section 3.3.1), which again is poorly constrained in case of equal contributions by NLR and H II regions. ξ_d^{NLR} is degenerate with Ψ , L_{acc} , and log U_s^{NLR} , meaning that when ξ_d^{NLR} is un-constrained, the recovery of these parameters will also be biased. Fixing ξ_d^{NLR} somewhat improves the retrieval of the NLR parameters, but for the z = 0 galaxy, ξ_d is still poorly constrained. This parameters is degenerate with log U_s which is, in turn, degenerate with log U_s^{NLR} . Therefore fixing both ξ_d^{NLR} and ξ_d provide less biased estimates of the ionization parameters of the NLR and H II region emission. We therefore obtain best constraints for both objects when ξ_d and ξ_d^{NLR} are both fixed.

Finally, μ is well constrained for the z = 0 object for the different configurations of the fitted and fixed parameters but it is not so well constrained for the same configurations for the z = 2 object. In order

3.4 Influence of S/N on parameter retrieval

We investigate the S/N in emission lines required to derive un-biased parameter estimates. Based on the results for the S/N(H β) ~ 100 fits, we fix ξ_d , ξ_d^{NLR} , α_{PL} , and μ . For the AGN-dominated galaxies, the z = 0 object constraints degrade with S/N more noticeably than for the z = 2 object, so to be conservative, we only show the z = 0 results in Fig. 11. These results suggest that the AGN parameters are well-constrained at S/N(H β) \gtrsim 20. With decreasing S/N, degeneracies between L_{acc} and \hat{r}_{v} , as well as L_{acc} and log U_s^{NLR} become more problematic, causing increasingly biased estimates of the NLR properties. The specific behaviour with low S/N will be different for each object as our results in Section 3 show how dependent parameter retrieval is on the point in parameter space occupied by the object, because of the very non-linear and irregular coverage of the models in observable space.

The H II region properties for the star-formation dominated objects are well constrained and un-biased down to S/N(H β) ~ 10, as displayed in Fig. 12. Disentangling the contributions from starforming and NLR components requires higher S/N, however, as illustrated by Fig. 13, which shows the parameter retrieval for the case with equal contributions by SF and NLR to H β . Parameter retrieval starts to become biased and poorly constrained for S/N(H β) \leq 30.

3.5 NLR contribution to the spectrum

BEAGLE can be used to identify the potential contribution by an AGN to the emission-line luminosities of a galaxy. To test how well BEAGLE can detect the presence of an AGN, we show the retrieved fractional NLR contribution to H β flux as a function of the S/N on H β in Fig. 14. If we wish only to identify objects with a significant contribution from the NLR to H β , an S/N of 3 in H β is sufficient for our adopted properties of a typical z = 0 galaxy. However, this S/N is insufficient to reliably estimate the fractional contribution to H β , which is overestimated if stars and the AGN contribute in equal amounts to the line flux.

For our z = 2 galaxy, the fractional contribution of the NLR to H β is completely unconstrained at S/N(H β) = 3. For S/N(H β) ~ 10, the NLR contribution is overestimated for the mixed case. However, only a small probability of a high NLR contribution to the star-

⁶An irregular sampling of the parameter space is a natural outcome from physical motivated model grids.



Figure 7. An example triangle plot for one of the noisy realizations used to produce the average triangle plot in Fig. 5(a). The diagonal panels show the 1D marginalized posterior probability distribution for each individual parameter. The vertical shaded regions in the 1D posterior plots show the marginalized 68 per cent credible region. The off-diagonal panels show 2D posterior probability distributions with the dark, medium, and light contours showing the 68 per cent, 95 per cent, and 99 per cent credible intervals, respectively. The upper right inset shows the marginal plot for the observables, displayed as the ratio between the fitted quantity (whether line ratio or line flux) divided by the observed value (y-axis) for each line ratio or line flux used in the fit (x-axis labels). The violin regions show the coverage of the models, while the points and error bars show the observed values and associated uncertainties.

formation dominated spectrum (seen from the low fractional area of the yellow curve above 0.5) means that even at this reasonably low S/N, a fairly clean sample of objects with significant contribution of NLR to H β flux can selected. Being more conservative, S/N~20–30 is required to firmly identify objects with possible NLR contribution, as well as constraining that contribution. It is harder to distinguish NLR contribution in the z = 2 case because the metallicity is lower, and so key line fluxes such as [O III] λ 5007, [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729, or



Figure 8. As in Fig. 5, but showing triangle plots of the parameter retrieval for the z = 0 (left) and z = 2 (right) star-formation dominated galaxies. We display only SF parameters, though NLR parameters were also included in the fits. Results when ξ_d is both fixed and fitted are displayed according to the legend.

[O I] λ 6300 are also much fainter with respect to H β . This leads to much more overlap between the star-forming and NLR models in this region of the BPT diagram (see Fig. 3), making separation of the NLR and star-forming contributions more challenging. The addition of other line ratios, especially those of transition in the UV of highly ionized species, such as C²⁺, C³⁺, N³⁺, N⁴⁺, Ne³⁺, and Si²⁺, may improve the ability of finding NLR contribution at low metallicity (Feltre, Charlot & Gutkin 2016).

4 DISCUSSION

Other works have investigated the derivation of NLR physical parameters from emission lines. Throughout the discussion, we compare the modelling assumptions we make to those of other works, with the aim of outlining when and why different models will provide different (or similar) properties for the same data sets. The works we compare to are: the strong-line calibrations of Storchi-Bergmann et al. (1998) and Dors (2021), and the Bayesian approaches of Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) and Thomas et al. (2018b). Before making comparisons, we summarize the different approaches in more detail here.

4.1 Different approaches to deriving NLR physical properties

4.1.1 Storchi-Bergmann et al. (1998)

The first calibration of oxygen abundances based on strong optical line emission from NLRs was provided by Storchi-Bergmann et al. (1998). They used CLOUDY to produce a grid of emission line fluxes for an incident radiation field described by the segmented power law of Mathews & Ferland (1987) (which is shown in comparison to that used in the F16 models in Fig. 1). The grid covers a range of oxygen abundances and ionization parameters. Although not explicitly stated, the ionization parameter is likely that at the

inner edge of the cloud (rather than at the radius of the Strömgrem sphere as for the F16 model grid). They account for both primary and secondary nitrogen, i.e. dependent on the oxygen abundance, following $\log(N/O) = 0.96[12 + \log(O/H)] - 9.29$. They also account for depletion of refractory elements from the gas phase onto dust grains following the values of the observed abundance of the interstellar medium from Cowie & Songaila (1986). The following equations describe the two calibrations for the gas-phase oxygen abundance derived by Storchi-Bergmann et al. (1998):

$$\begin{aligned} (O/H)_{SB98,1} = 8.34 + (0.212 x) - (0.012 x^2) - (0.002 y) \\ + (0.007 xy) - (0.002 x^2 y) + (6.52 \times 10^{-4} y^2) \\ + (2.27 \times 10^{-4} xy^2) + (8.87 \times 10^{-5} x^2 y^2), \end{aligned}$$
(4)

where $x = [N II] \lambda 6548$, $\lambda 6584/H \alpha$ and $y = [O III] \lambda 4959$, $\lambda 5007/H \beta$ and

$$(O/H)_{SB98,2} = 8.643 - (0.275 u) + (0.164 u^2) + (0.655 v) - (0.154 uv) - (0.021 u^2 v) + (0.288v^2) + (0.162uv^2) + (0.0353u^2v^2),$$
(5)

where $u = \log([O \text{ II}] \lambda 3726, \lambda 3729/[O \text{ III}] \lambda 4959, \lambda 5007)$ and $v = \log([N \text{ II}] \lambda 6548, \lambda 6584/H \alpha)$. All these line ratios make use of dereddened emission-line fluxes in their work. The term (O/H) above corresponds to $12 + \log(O/H)$. Both calibrations are valid for $8.4 \le 12 + \log(O/H) \le 9.4$.

The calibrations are appropriate for $n_{\rm H} = 300 \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$, but they also provide a correction factor for different densities:

$$(O/H)_{\text{final}} = (O/H) - 0.1 \log(n_{\text{H}}/300).$$
 (6)

4.1.2 Dors (2021)

The first strong-line calibration based on gas-phase oxygen abundance estimates derived from the T_e method (or electron temperature method) for NLRs was presented in Dors (2021). From the T_e -derived



Figure 9. As in Fig. 5 but now showing the triangle plots of the parameter retrieval for the z = 0 galaxy with equal contribution from SF and NLR to H β flux. We display the results for all fitted parameters, with a range of different configurations for fixing or fitting to ξ_d^{NLR} , α_{PL} .

gas-phase oxygen abundances of a sample of AGNs,⁷Dors (2021) provide a 2D surface fitted to the objects within a 3D space defined by $12 + \log(O/H)$, R_{23} and *P* (defined below):

$$R_{23} = \frac{[OII]\lambda 3726, \lambda 3729 + [OIII]\lambda 4959, \lambda 5007}{H\beta}$$
(7)

$$P = \frac{[\text{OIII}]\lambda 4959, \, \lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta}{R_{23}} \tag{8}$$

⁷Selected from the location of the galaxies in the standard BPT diagrams, see Dors et al. (2020a) for the details of the target selection.

The R_{23} ratio is often used as an oxygen abundance indicator but is known to be dependent on ionization parameter, as well as the hardness of the ionizing radiation (Pilyugin 2000), while *P* is sensitive to radiation hardness (Pilyugin 2001). The line fluxes measurements are also reddening corrected in this case. The resulting calibration is defined as:

$$Z_{\text{gas}}^{\text{NLR}} = (-1.00 \pm 0.09)P + (0.036 \pm 0.003)R_{23} + (8.80 \pm 0.06)$$
,(9)

where
$$Z_{gas}^{NLR} = 12 + \log(O/H)$$
.



Figure 10. As in Fig. 5 but now showing the triangle plots of the parameter retrieval for the z = 2 galaxy with equal contribution from SF and NLR to H β flux.

The oxygen abundances used to derive the above calibration do still rely on photoionization models, though indirectly. In particular, when only one auroral line is detected (in the case of Dors 2021, the [OIII] λ 4363 line), the temperature for part of the nebula is measured, and abundance estimates of the ionization species found within that region is well determined. Yet, to derive the total oxygen abundance, one needs to account for all ionization species that exist in regions with different effective temperatures. The temperature of these other regions must, therefore, be inferred using other methods. Dors et al. (2020b) created a grid of photoionization models to derive the relationship between the temperature of the high ionization region, t_3 (where oxygen is doubly ionized, traced by [O III] λ 4959, λ 5007

and [OIII] λ 4363), and the low ionization region, t_2 (where oxygen is singly ionized). To derive a relation between t_2 and t_3 suitable for the NLR, Dors et al. (2020b) created a grid of models using ionizing spectra with power-law slopes of $\alpha_{PL} = -0.8, -1.1, -1.4$, ionization parameters over the range -3.5 to -0.5 (again, defined at the inner radius of the ionized cloud), and metallicities over the range $0.2 < Z_{gas}^{NLR}/Z_{\odot} < 2.0$, and for a range of different electron densities from 100 to 3000 cm⁻³. In this work, they follow the relation $\log(N/O) = 1.29[12 + \log(O/H)] - 11.84$ to assign a given nitrogen abundance. They also fit a relation between t_2 and t_3 for the whole grid, as well as for different electron densities. This relation may therefore be reasonable for 'typical' NLRs [if the grid presented in



Figure 11. Triangle plots of the NLR parameter retrieval for the z = 0 AGN-dominated objects. Different colours represent different S/N in emission lines. For a given parameter, the axes show the logarithmic fraction of the output by the input value.



Figure 12. Same as Fig. 11 but for the parameter retrieval of the H II region for SF-dominated objects at z = 0.

Dors et al. (2020b) is appropriate for 'typical' NLRs], but individual galaxies will have very different t_2/t_3 values, as demonstrated by the wide spread in t_2/t_3 for their fiducial grid (their Fig. 5). Treatment of depletion onto dust grains is not referred to in their publications.



Figure 13. Same as Fig. 11 but for the parameter retrieval of the H II region and the NLR for objects at z = 0 with an equal contribution of both regions to H β .



Figure 14. Retrieved fractional contribution of the NLR to the total H β flux for each object in our simulated grid. The upper (lower) panel shows the retrieval for the typical z = 0 (z = 2) objects with different input fractional NLR contribution to H β as displayed in the legend.

4.1.3 Pérez-Montero et al. (2019)

Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) extended the Bayesian-like HII-CHI-MISTRY code⁸ (Pérez-Montero 2014) to include characterization of the NLR gas using a grid of photoionization models produced with CLOUDY v.17.01. The incident ionizing radiation consists of two components: that characterizing the 'big blue bump' [the curved component peaking at $\log(\nu/Hz) \sim 13.5$ in Fig. 1], and a power-law

⁸This code establishes a Bayesian-like comparison between the predictions of the lines emitted in the ionized gas from a grid of photoionization models covering a large range of input parameters. In this comparison, the code does not assume any fixed relation between secondary and primary elements.

slope between 2 keV (6 Å) and 5 eV (2500 Å) of $\alpha_{ox} = -0.8$ (they also compare to a model with $\alpha_{ox} = -1.2$); power-law emission with $\alpha_x = -1$ representing the non-thermal X-ray emission. We show the incident radiation in Fig. 1. Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) add dust within the NLR and model the gas as homogeneously distributed with filling factor 0.1 and hydrogen density, $n_{\rm H} = 500 \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$. All element abundances are assumed to scale to solar, except for nitrogen, which is an additional free parameter.

HII-CHI-MISTRY uses standard line ratios to derive physical parameters, and works by first constraining N/O using the de-reddened ratios between [N II] λ 6584 and [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729 and between [N II] λ 6584 and [S II] λ 6717, λ 6731, before constraining metallicity and ionization parameter. The chemical composition of the gas is characterized by the total oxygen abundance, which covers the range 6.9 < 12 + log(O/H) < 9.1, -2 < log (N/O) < 0, and the released version of the code covers $-2.5 < log U_s^{NLR} < -0.5$. The ionization parameter in these models is defined the same way as for BEAGLE (following description in Section 3.1 of Pérez-Montero 2014). The models consider default grain properties and relative abundances, and all elements but Nitrogen are scaled to the solar values given by Asplund et al. (2009) considering the CLOUDY default depletion factors.

4.1.4 Thomas et al. (2018)

NEBULARBAYES, presented in Thomas et al. (2018a), is the only code, other than BEAGLE, which simultaneously fits the star-forming and NLR contributions to nebular emission. The NLR models are based on physically motivated prescriptions for the incident radiation produced by the accretion disc (Thomas et al. 2016). The accretion disc model has three parameters: the energy of the peak of the accretion disc emission, E_{peak} ; the photon index of the inverse-Compton scattered power-law tail, Γ (non-thermal X-ray radiation); and the proportion of the total flux that goes into the non-thermal tail, p_{NT} . The last two parameters are fixed to the fiducial values of $\Gamma = 2.0$ and $p_{\text{NT}} = 0.15$.

The NLR grid included in NEBULARBAYES is calculated for constant pressure models using MAPPINGS v5.1 (Sutherland & Dopita 2017). This is different from the constant density models produced for the other works. Groves, Dopita & Sutherland (2004) demonstrated the large difference in coverage of the BPT plane that dusty isobaric models introduce. In particular, for the optical line ratios explored in this paper, their isobaric dusty model curves also better sample the space covered by the data than their dust-free, constant density models. This is due to the absorption of ionizing photons by dust, which increases the radiation pressure (and hence density), especially at high values of the ionization parameter. The four parameters of the NLR that can be derived using NEBULARBAYES are: oxygen abundance of the gas; ionization parameter, log $U_{\rm in}^{\rm NLR}$, defined at the inner edge of the ionized gas; peak of the ionizing radiation, $E_{\rm peak}$; and pressure.

The grid of models includes 12 oxygen abundances in the range $-1.7 \leq \log(O/H) \leq 0.54$, using the oxygen abundance scaling from Nicholls et al. (2017). Depletion onto dust grains is considered based on iron being 97.8 per cent depleted. The ionization parameter at the inner edge of the nebula can take 11 uniformly spaced values in the range $-4.2 \leq \log U_{\rm in}^{\rm NLR} \leq -0.2$. The initial gas pressure samples 12 values in the range $4.2 \leq \log P/k(\rm cm^{-3}K) \leq 8.6$ and values of $E_{\rm peak}$ sample six values in the range $-2.0 \leq \log E_{\rm peak}(\rm keV) \leq -0.75$. Thomas et al. (2018b) demonstrates $E_{\rm peak}$ cannot be constrained

Thomas et al. (2018b) demonstrates E_{peak} cannot be constrained when fitting to de-reddened [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729, [N III] λ 3869,H β , [OIII] λ 4363, [O III] λ 4959, λ 5007, [O I] λ 6300, He I λ 5876, H α , [N II] λ 6584, and [S II] λ 6717, λ 6731 (those available in the SDSS DR7 MPA JHU measured line fluxes), but find that values in the range 40–50 eV give reasonable fractions⁹ of NLR contribution, and so, in the following, we fix the value of this parameter to $E_{\text{peak}} = 45$ eV.

4.2 Comparison to other works and data

We start by comparing our NLR-emission models (F16) to those of Thomas et al. (2018a) and Pérez-Montero et al. (2019), as well as observed line ratios of 44 observed Seyfert II galaxies collated by Dors O. L. et al. (2017). Fig. 15 shows gas-phase $12 + \log(O/H)$ against two different emission line ratios: R_{23} (defined in equation 7) and $\log([OII]\lambda 3726, \lambda 3729/[OIII]\lambda 4959, \lambda 5007)$. The lines and matching coloured symbols show the coverage of different models in these plots, while the black and grey symbols show measured line ratios and estimated abundances for the observed galaxies. For each of the observed galaxies, we show three different abundance estimates: those from Dors O. L. et al. (2017) (filled black circles) which were estimated by comparing directly to CLOUDY photoionization models; and those derived with each of the Storchi-Bergmann et al. (1998) calibrations, with filled grey (open black symbols) showing the measurements obtained with the calibration in equations (4) and (5). As noted by Storchi-Bergmann et al. (1998), the second calibration (equation 5) leads to systematically higher oxygen abundance estimates than the other two methods.

The models in Fig. 15 span a range of ionization parameters ranging from high to low values with dark-to-faint symbols. For the F16 models, we set $\alpha_{PL} = -1.7$. Thomas et al. (2018b) fix E_{peak} to 45 eV, which is somewhat higher than the peak incident radiation within our models. We investigated values in the range $8 < E_{peak}/eV < 56$ but in order not to crowd the plot too much, we show the results for a central value within this range of ~ 17.8 eV. We also explored the full pressure range $4.2 < \log(P/k) < 8.6$, but plot those with the central value of $\log(P/k) = 7$. Then, since the Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) model grid encompasses a wide range of N/O abundances, we fix to a value of $\log(N/O) = -0.5$ [the same considered as the 'standard' one by Pérez-Montero et al. (2019)], although the diagrams in Fig. 15 are not very sensitive to the value chosen.

Fig. 15(a) shows the oxygen abundance versus R23, which is defined in equation (7). The F16 models cover the full range of the observations, with the exception of a few objects with the lowest values of R23. The Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models provide good coverage of the observations with low values of R23, but provide only marginal coverage of the highest R23 values. We display the Thomas et al. (2018b) models with a single value of E_{peak} , although have tested a range from 8 to 56 eV, finding that the models cover the full range of observed values, except for those few observations with the lowest R23. It is important to note that the abundance estimates of the observed objects plotted are not objectively more secure than other abundance estimates presented in this work. They are subject to their own modelling assumptions, so we focus mostly on the coverage of the plotted models over the emission line ratio space.

Fig. 15(b) shows the gas-phase oxygen abundance for the same models and data as in Fig. 15(a), but plotted against

⁹Higher E_{peak} values give a very low fractional contribution of NLR to H β line flux, whereas the values they choose span 0.1–1.0 along the AGN branch in the BPT diagrams.



Figure 15. Gas-phase oxygen abundance $(12 + \log(O/H))$ versus different emission line ratios, $\log(R23)$ (defined in equation 7) and $\log([O II] \lambda 3726, \lambda 3729/[O III] \lambda 4959, \lambda 5007)$. In these figures we show the coverage of different NLR emission line models via lines and symbols with a range of metallicity and ionization parameters. The symbols and lines range from fainter to darker colours from lower to higher $\log U_s^{NLR}$ or $\log U_{in}^{NLR}$ respectively, as indicated in the legend. The black, grey, and open circles show the measured emission line ratios and inferred NLR 12 + $\log(O/H)$ values for a set of type-2 AGNs collated in Dors O. L. et al. (2017). Black circles display the 12 + $\log(O/H)$ estimates from Dors O. L. et al. (2017), while the grey and open circles display the 12 + $\log(O/H)$ estimates calculated with the two Storchi-Bergmann et al. (1998) NLR calibrations, defined in equations (4) and (5).

[OII] λ 3726, λ 3729/[OIII] λ 4959, λ 5007. The Thomas et al. (2018a) and Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models do not include [OIII] λ 4959, which we assume to have 1/3 the flux of [OIII] λ 5007. We see that for the range of ionization parameters plotted, our models cover the parameter space spanned by the observations for all but the highest [OII] λ 3726, λ 3729/[OIII] λ 4959, λ 5007 ratios. The Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models cover the highest [OII] λ 3726, λ 3729/[OIII] λ 4959, λ 5007 ratios. The Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models cover the highest [OII] λ 3726, λ 3729/[OIII] λ 4959, λ 5007 values, but observations with [OII] λ 3726, λ 3729/[OIII] λ 4959, λ 5007 \leq -0.5 are not covered. The Thomas et al. (2018a) models cover the full range of [OII] λ 3726, λ 3729/[OIII] λ 4959, λ 5007 (\leq -0.5) from the observations.

Both panels of Fig. 15 show that the Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models give the opposite dependence of R23 and $[OII] \lambda 3726$, λ 3729/[O III] λ 4959, λ 5007 on log U_s^{NLR} to those of F16 and Thomas et al. (2018a). To understand this, we plot $\log U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR}$ as a function of [O II] λ3726, λ3729/[O III] λ4959, λ5007 in Fig. 16. Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) chose to publish only the highest $\log U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR}$ models, as the behaviour between log U_s^{NLR} and [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729/[O III] λ 5007 is double-valued in their models. We do not see the same behaviour in the F16 models with $\alpha_{PL} = -1.7$, but when we plot our models with shallower $\alpha_{PL} = -1.2$, we start to see a similar behaviour at the highest log $U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR}$ and 12 + log(O/H) values (red symbols). The strong increase in [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729/[O III] λ 5007 with log U_{s}^{NLR} of the Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models is therefore presumably due to the choice of a hard incident ionizing spectrum (Fig. 1). This is also likely the reason for the moderate offset to higher $[O II] \lambda 3726$, λ 3729/[O III] λ 5007 values at given log $U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR}$ compared to the F16 models (given that the partially ionized zone will be more extensive).

Including only the higher part of the log $U_{\rm S}^{\rm NLR}$ fork, the Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models will measure an opposite 12 + log(O/H) versus log $U_{\rm S}^{\rm NLR}$ to that measured with the Thomas et al. (2018b) or F16 models, which will in turn provide systematically different oxygen abundances. The data used in the Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) fitting could not distinguish which branch of log $U_{\rm S}^{\rm NLR}$ versus [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729/[O III] λ 4959, λ 5007 the data inhabit. It would clearly be beneficial to better constrain the ionization



Figure 16 log $U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR}$ or log $U_{\rm in}^{\rm NLR}$ versus [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729/[O III] λ 4959, λ 5007 for the F16 and Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models (dash-dotted) for a range of different 12 + log(O/H) values. We show the F16 models with two values of $\alpha_{\rm PL}$: -1.2 (solid) and -1.7 (dashed), as indicated in the legend.

state of NLRs in observed type-2 AGNs to motivate the range of $\log U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR}$ the models must span. Fig. 17 displays the ion fraction for a number of different species (hydrogen, helium, and oxygen) from the F16 models with $\alpha_{PL} = -1.2$. The ion fraction are shown as a function of the total hydrogen column density, $N_{\rm H}(R) = n_{\rm H}^{\rm NLR} \epsilon R$. The high energy photons from hard ionizing radiation of the accretion disc penetrates further through the gas than lower energy photons, creating a partially ionized region. We might expect $[OII] \lambda 3726$, λ 3729/[O III] λ 5007 to decrease with increasing log $U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR}$. However, as explained in Pérez-Montero et al. (2019), and shown clearly in Fig. 17, for high ionization parameters and hard ionizing radiation, this is not the case as at small radii within the Strömgrem radius, $[O III] \lambda 5007$ is lost to higher ionization states of oxygen such as O^{3+} and O^{4+} , while O^{+} is still present in the partially ionized zone. There are no visible emission lines from these higher ionization states in the wavelength range of SDSS. However, He II has a similar



Figure 17. The ion fractions of H⁺ (black dashed), He²⁺ (black), O⁺ (coloured curve that peaks at the rightmost position), O²⁺ (coloured curve that peaks at the second rightmost position), O³⁺ (coloured curve that peaks at the second leftmost position), and O⁴⁺ (coloured curve that peaks at the leftmost position) as a function of the total hydrogen column density. The NLR models have $Z_{gas}^{NLR} = 0.030$, $\alpha_{PL} = -1.2$ and log $U_s^{NLR} = -1.5$ (left) and -2.5 (right).

ionization energy to O^{3+} (54.4 eV versus 54.9 eV), and the SDSS spectra provide coverage of the [He II] λ 4686 recombination line.

4.3 Nebular [He II] λ 4686 fluxes to constrain the ionization state of the NLR in type-2 AGNs within SDSS

We measure the nebular [He II] λ 4686 fluxes for 463 confirmed type-2 AGNs presented in Dors et al. (2020a) from DR7 SDSS spectra (Abazajian et al. 2009). [He II] λ 4686 is not delivered in the MPA-JHU group¹⁰ distribution of measured line fluxes used in Dors et al. (2020a), since measurements of this line can be complicated by stellar wind features and broadening. We measured [He II] λ 4686 using the python package EMCEE (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). Since we wish to gain constraints on the nebular (narrow-line) contribution to the [He II] λ 4686 line, we tie the width of the line¹¹ to the width of $[O III] \lambda 5007$ in the same spectra, by simultaneously fitting to the two lines. This effectively uses information from the higher S/N $[O III] \lambda 5007$ line to provide a strong prior on the shape of [He II] λ 4686 if it is to arise in the same physical region. We define continuum regions on either side of the two lines and subtract a linear fit to the continuum before performing the line fits. In the case where no obvious nebular [He II] λ 4686 emission is present, the tied fitting will provide firm constraints on the limits on the [He II] λ 4686 flux with the delivered uncertainties. We report the measured line fluxes and line widths in Table 4.

λ3729/[Ο ΙΙΙ] λ4959. λ5007 We show [O II] λ3726. [He II] λ4686/[O II] λ3726, λ3729 (left) and against [He II] λ 4686/[O III] λ 4959, λ 5007 (right) in Fig. 18, with the F16 models for comparison. For this figure, we used the MPA-JHU line fluxes for $[O II] \lambda 3726$, $\lambda 3729$ and $[O III] \lambda 4959$, $\lambda 5007$. The sample of type-2 AGNs clearly follow the general trend of the F16 models. Unfortunately the models of Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) do not include [He II] λ 4686, so we cannot compare to their predicted ratios directly. If $[O II] \lambda 3726$, $\lambda 3729/[O III] \lambda 4959$, $\lambda 5007$ were increasing with log $U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR}$ (as for the Pérez-Montero et al. 2019 models in Fig. 16), we would expect [He II] λ 4686/[O II] λ 3726, λ 3729 to increase with [OII] λ 3726, λ 3729/[OIII] λ 4959, λ 5007,

¹⁰https://wwwmpa.mpa-garching.mpg.de/SDSS/DR7/

¹¹We do not tie any other quantity, so, for instance, the velocity offset is different for both lines.

Table 4. [He II] λ 4686 line fluxes measured for the sample of type-2 AGNs presented by Dors et al. (2020a). Full sample will be available online.

MJD-PLATE-FIBER	[He II] λ 4686 flux (/10 ⁻¹⁶ erg s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²)	width (/km s ⁻¹)
51882-0442-061	0.826 ± 0.270	151 ± 3
52056-0603-545	0.209 ± 0.145	130 ± 15
51691-0340-153	0.258 ± 0.192	268 ± 55
52079-0631-387	0.231 ± 0.152	125 ± 3
52017-0516-232	1.01 ± 0.26	194 ± 8
51915-0453-002	1.17 ± 0.30	231 ± 5
52049-0618-535	0.261 ± 0.184	121 ± 15
52000-0335-428	0.135 ± 0.116	117 ± 21

as well as much higher values of $[\text{He II}]\lambda 4686/[\text{O II}]\lambda 3726$, $\lambda 3729$ for the observed galaxies. The observations show low values of $[\text{He II}]\lambda 4686/[\text{O II}]\lambda 3726$, $\lambda 3729$ and a negative trend between $[\text{O II}]\lambda 3726$, $\lambda 3729/[\text{O III}]\lambda 4959$, $\lambda 5007$ and $[\text{He II}]\lambda 4686/[\text{O II}]\lambda 3726$, $\lambda 3729$ (Fig. 18, a), suggesting that the set of observed type-2 AGNs have significantly lower ionization parameter than covered by the Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models.

4.4 Comparison of the nitrogen and sulphur emission lines

Because the [S II] λ 6717, λ 6731 doublet is routinely used to derive the gas density in photoionized regions, we have also compared the predictions for this doublet from the different NLR models and data presented in the previous sections. In particular, we show in Fig. 19 the gas-phase oxygen abundance as a function of the ratio [S II] λ 6717, λ 6731/H α . In this case, we only show the data with the predictions for 12 + log(O/H) from Dors O. L. et al. (2017) in order to not crowd the figure too much. The Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models reproduce the data except for the points with the highest oxygen abundances and lowest [S II] λ 6717, λ 6731/H α ratios. The coverage of the F16 and Thomas et al. (2018a) is very similar and almost complete except for three objects with high [S II] λ 6717, λ 6731/H α ratios. We have noted (not shown here) that for lower values of α_{PL} F16 models cover better this region of the parameter space.

Abundance studies of galactic and extragalactic H $\scriptstyle\rm II$ regions find that nitrogen has a primary origin for the low-metallicity regime



Figure 18. Assessing the ionization state of the gas within the type-2 AGN sample from Dors et al. (2020a). With our measurements of the [He II] λ 4686 line from the SDSS spectra of these objects (see the text for details), we compare log([O II] λ 3726, λ 3729/[O III] λ 4959, λ 5007) versus log([He II] λ 4686/[O II] λ 4959, λ 5007)] to the F16 models with $\alpha_{PL} = -1.7$ in the left [right] panels. The highest log U_S^{NLR} models have low [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729/[O III] λ 4959, λ 5007 ratios. The data show a clear trend of decreasing [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729/[O III] λ 4959, λ 5007 with increasing [He II] λ 4686/[O III] λ 4686/[O III] λ 3726, λ 3729/[O III] λ 4959, λ 5007 with increasing [He II] λ 4686/[O III] λ 3726, λ 3729/[O III] λ 4959, λ 5007 mith increasing [He II] λ 4686/[O III] λ 4959, λ 5007, as shown by the F16 models.



Figure 19. Gas-phase oxygen abundance $(12 + \log(O/H))$ as a function of [S II] λ 6717, λ 6731/H α for the same models as in Fig. 15.

 $(12 + \log(O/H) \le 8.2)$ and a secondary one for the high-metallicity regime (e.g. Mouhcine & Contini 2002; Pérez-Montero & Contini 2009). Groves, Dopita & Sutherland (2004) find that the nitrogen abundance from the primary and secondary origin can be related to that of oxygen using an equation of the form of equation (1). To capture the richness of the variation of the N abundance as a function of O, we have expanded the grid of NLR models. The fiducial grid has an N/O dependence on the oxygen abundance following:

$$\log(N/O)_{tot} \approx \log\{10^{-1.732} + 10^{[\log(O/H)_{tot} + 2.19]}\} + C$$
(10)

with C = -0.25. Now we are parametrizing N/O in terms of the *total* abundances, $(N/O)_{tot}$ (i.e. gas + dust) and its dependence on the *total* oxygen abundance, $(O/H)_{tot}$ (gas + dust). In expanding the grid we add two new values of the normalization, *C*, with C = 0 (the Nicholls et al. 2017 relation) and C = 0.5 (the upper limit). See Plat et al. (in preparation) for the details of how these models have been computed.

We test the nitrogen abundance in the different grid of models using the N2 and O3N2 line ratios, defined as follows:

$$N2 = \log\left(\frac{[NII]\lambda6584}{H\alpha}\right) \tag{11}$$

$$O3N2 = \log\left(\frac{[OIII]\lambda5007}{H\beta}\frac{H\alpha}{[NII]\lambda6584}\right)$$
(12)

We show in Fig. 20 the gas phase oxygen abundance as a function of these line ratios. For completeness, we show F16 and Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models with different N/O values. In particular, for the Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models, we add the ones with the lowest and highest value of N/O (cyan and dark blue points) and for the F16 models, the ones with the highest (C = 0.5, pink points with dashed lines) and lowest (C = -0.25 that of equation 1) scaling factor of the N/O relations explored. We recall that nitrogen abundance is not a free parameter in the Thomas et al. (2018a) grid. Most likely due to the fact that nitrogen abundances is fixed, we see in Fig. 20(a) how Thomas et al. (2018a) models are not able to sample the data points with the highest nitrogen abundances. However, increasing the N/O value allows for a full and almost full coverage of the data for Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) and F16 models, respectively. In Fig. 20(b) the three grids of models sample well the space of the diagram where the data lie. We note however, that in the case of Thomas et al. (2018a) models, for data points with low O3N2 values, the log $U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR}$ parameter might be underestimated. This might be due to the lack of higher Nitrogen abundances that will tend to be compensated in the O3N2 ratio with weaker [O III] λ 5007 lines, and therefore, lower log $U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR}$ values.

BEAGLE does not yet allow for sampling over N/O, though this comparison to observations shows that it is vital to adequately explain measured line ratios including Nitrogen. It is beyond the scope of this paper to implement and test retrieval of parameters including the new N/O grid with our pedagogical approach, but this functionality will be implemented for future work.

4.5 Comparing to the Dors (2021) T_e -based empirical emission-line calibration

We plot the different theoretical models against the Dors (2021) empirical emission-line calibration in Fig. 21. The calibration describes



Figure 20. Gas-phase oxygen abundance $(12 + \log(O/H))$ as a function of N2 (a, see equation 11) and O3N2 (b, see equation 12) for the same models as in Fig. 15 and different variations of the N/O relation: the Gutkin, Charlot & Bruzual (2016) relation (C = -0.25) and the upper limit from equation (10) (C = 0.5) for the F16 models and the highest and lowest values for nitrogen abundance of Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) grid. The data points are from Dors O. L. et al. (2017).



Figure 21. Dors (2021) empirical T_e -based 12 + log(O/H) calibration, shown as the grey surface in 12 + log(O/H)–P–R23 space [where P and R23 are defined in equations (8) and (7), respectively]. Both panels show a sample of observed AGNs with 12 + log(O/H) estimated from the T_e method by Dors (2021) (black points). The F16 models are shown in panel (a) for two values of α_{PL} , and for a range of ionization parameters spanning $-4 < \log U_s^{NLR} < -1.5$ with dark-to-light colours spanning high-to-low log U_s^{NLR} values. Panel (b) includes the Thomas et al. (2018a) and Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models (see the text for details). The 3D version of these figures can be found at https://chart-studio.plotly.com/~AlbaVidalGarcia/15/#/.

a surface in 12 + log(O/H)–P–R23 space [as described in equations (7) and (8) in Section 4.1.2]. This provides a quick comparison of the models to T_e -based NLR oxygen-abundance estimates without running full fits with BEAGLE. We will present full BEAGLE fits to SDSS type-2 AGNs in a future paper in this series. The black symbols display the T_e -based gas-phase oxygen abundance measurements for the set of AGNs used to produce this empirical calibration, while the grey plane shows the empirical calibration.

Fig. 21(a) shows the F16 models plotted for a range of log $U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR}$, 12 + log(O/H), and two values of $\alpha_{\rm PL}$ (-1.2 and -1.7). For a given $\alpha_{\rm PL}$ and 12 + log(O/H), different colours represent different log $U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR}$ values, with dark-to-light colours spanning high-to-low values. We see that at very low metallicities our models sit below the plane describing the Dors (2021) empirical relation. Within the region occupied by the observed galaxies, the models agree well with the empirical relation until high metallicities, where the models curve up from the empirical plane. The observations also preferentially scatter

above the relation. The behaviour of the models suggests this scatter to higher oxygen abundances is real, not simply intrinsic scatter about the empirical plane. Therefore, objects above the relation have higher oxygen abundances than can be explained by their position in the P-R23 plane, while the models can capture this behaviour. This behaviour is also observed in the Thomas et al. (2018a) constant pressure models. The curvature from the plane is reminiscent to the effect of the addition of dust in NLR in Groves, Dopita & Sutherland (2004) as the change from constant density to constantpressure models. However, our constant density models show a similar behaviour, indicating that the observed curvature might be due to the presence of dust, self-consistently modelled in CLOUDY.

The $\alpha_{PL} = -1.7$ models do not cover all the observed data points in Fig. 21 (this might be best appreciated by viewing the interactive plot online, where different models can be removed from the plot for clarity https://chart-studio.plotly.com/~AlbaVidalGarcia/15/#/). A range of α_{PL} appears to be required to fully cover the data. $\alpha_{PL} =$ -1.2 covers the data well. With our idealized grid exploration in Section 3, we found that the lines chosen for that analysis could not fully constrain α_{PL} , and that we recommend the user to set it to $\alpha_{PL} = -1.7$ unless there is a significant improvement in the goodness of fit when α_{PL} is allowed to vary. We defer the search of other lines that can constrain better this parameter to a later paper.

Panel (b) of Fig. 21 shows the same models, observations, and empirical relation as shown in panel (a), but we include also the Thomas et al. (2018a) and Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models. In particular, for the Thomas et al. (2018a), we plot a range of $-4.2 < \log U_{\rm in}^{\rm NR} < -0.2$, with dark-to-light colours representing high-to-low values, and for three values of $E_{\rm peak}$, as indicated in the legend. In particular, we show the one taken as standard throughout this paper, -1.75 (green points) and a lower and a higher one, -2.0 (green diamonds), and -1.5 (green squares), respectively. For the Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models, we plot a range of $-2.5 < \log U_{\rm s}^{\rm NLR} < -0.5$ but we do not vary the N/O values because this variation has a negligible impact on the P and R23 values. This figure shows that *all* models curve off the empirical relation to high metallicities.

This comparison to the Dors (2021) empirical metallicity calibration shows the limitations of both the empirical diagnostic itself and our models. The empirical diagnostic cannot capture the variation with metallicity above the plane. Our models require a range of $\alpha_{\rm Pl}$ to account for the data, a parameter we cannot constrain from the set of line ratios we tested. Our tests in Section 3 further demonstrate how biased other NLR gas parameters become when allowing α_{PL} to vary. However, below the empirical relation (lower metallicities), a region of the parameter space we expect to find at higher redshifts with missions such as JWST, the difference between the two values of α_{PL} is less significant for the F16 models. It is beneficial to use T_e based O abundance estimates, empirical diagnostics, and these types of sophisticated radiative transfer models together to tease out the limitations of each approach. Until T_e measurements are possible for large samples of type-2 AGNs at high redshift, the radiative transfer models can capture the evolution in the population more effectively than an empirical calibration based on objects in a small range of metallicities.

5 SUMMARY

In this paper we present the incorporation of the Feltre, Charlot & Gutkin (2016) NLR models into BEAGLE. This addition allows the mixing of emission from H II regions with that from the NLR of type-2 AGNs. Dust attenuation is applied self-consistently taking into account the dust within the NLR, H II regions, and the diffuse ISM.

We take a pedagogical approach to defining which parameters of our model (from both H II and NLR regions) can be constrained by fitting a given set of observables in the optical range. To determine this we work with idealized $z \sim 0$ and $z \sim 2$ galaxy spectra with varying contribution of the NLR to H β flux. We fit to a set of line ratios ([O1] λ 6300/H α , [N II] λ 6584/H α , [S II] λ 6717, λ 6731/H α , [O III] λ 5007/H β , H β /H α , [O I] λ 6300/[O II] λ 3726, λ 3729, and [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729/[O III] λ 5007) as well as the H α line flux. We then quantify the S/N required to constrain the parameters of our model for different NLR contributions. Finally, we compare the results of our model with those obtained using previously published methods. The main results are the following:

(i) With the set of observables used, the retrieval of physical parameters for the AGN-dominated spectra with high S/N shows

degeneracies between ξ_d^{NLR} and $\log U_s^{\text{NLR}}$ that lead to an underestimation of $\log U_s^{\text{NLR}}$ and $Z_{\text{gas}}^{\text{NLR}}$ if ξ_d^{NLR} is left as a free parameter. We obtain similar results when adding to the observables a ratio sensitive to the N/O abundance. Allowing α_{PL} to vary leads to an overestimated retrieval of L_{acc} while still underestimating $Z_{\text{gas}}^{\text{NLR}}$. We find the best recovery of L_{acc} , $Z_{\text{gas}}^{\text{NLR}}$, and $\log U_s^{\text{NLR}}$ when fixing both ξ_d^{NLR} and α_{PL} .

(ii) The retrieval of physical parameters for the star-formation dominated spectra is also biased by the nebular ξ_d . We find that ξ_d is poorly constrained and when left free leads to an overestimation of the log U_s and Z_{gas}^{HII} , as well as tighter constraints on the biased estimates.

(iii) We also test the case of equal-contributions of NLR and H II regions to the H β flux. In this case, the accuracy of the α_{PL} parameter depends heavily on the region of the observable parameter space that is being probed, so we suggest that it should be fixed, unless varying it is required to reach an acceptable fit. Both ξ_d and ξ_d^{NLR} are degenerate with several parameters when left free, biasing the recovery of these parameters. We obtain the best constraints for the objects when both ξ_d and ξ_d^{NLR} are fixed.

(iv) We have investigated the S/N in H β required to derive unbiased parameter estimates (while fixing ξ_d , ξ_d^{NLR} , and α_{PL}). AGN parameters are well constrained with an S/N(H β) ~ 20 for the AGN-dominated galaxies. H II region parameters are well constrained with S/N(H β) ~ 10 for star-formation dominated galaxies. However, we need a higher S/N(H β) ~ 30 to disentangle the contributions from star-forming and NLR components when they have similar contributions to H β flux.

(v) We test how well BEAGLE can detect the presence of an AGN by retrieving the fractional contribution of the NLR to the total H β flux of a galaxy. For the z = 0 object, we find that a S/N~10 already allows for identification of objects that have a significant contribution to the flux, even for the most challenging case of equal contributions to the flux from NLR and H II regions. For the z = 2 object, an NLR contribution is detected but overestimated at S/N~10, though we suggest this is still a reasonable limit for which to find objects with a significant NLR contribution.

(vi) We have compared the predictions of our model to those from different sets of models and data compiled in the literature. These include the models from Thomas et al. (2018a) and Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) and the calibrations to derive gas-phase oxygen abundance from Storchi-Bergmann et al. (1998) and Dors (2021) for the data compiled by these last authors. We find that in general, all the models have a good coverage of the parameter space where the data lie. However, we find an opposite dependence of the Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models of R23 and [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729/[O III] λ 4959, λ 5007 on log U_s^{NLR} with respect to those of F16 and Thomas et al. (2018a). This is presumably due to the choice made in Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) to publish only the highest log U_s models, as the behaviour between log U_s and [O II] λ 3726, λ 3729/[O III] λ 4959, λ 5007 is double-valued in their models.

(vii) To constrain the ionization state of NLRs in observed type-2 AGNs we measure the [He II] λ 4686 recombination line that has a similar ionization energy to OIV, in 463 confirmed type-2 AGNs from DR7 SDSS spectra. From the comparison to the data we see how the data follow the trend of the F16 models and they suggest that these data have a significantly lower ionization parameter values than those covered by the Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models.

(viii) To better capture the dispersion of the N/H–O/H relation in the data, we create a grid of different scaling factors of this relation that we compare to the data and the same set of models from the literature. Whereas F16 and Pérez-Montero et al. (2019) models sample well the region of the parameter space where the data lies, the fact that Thomas et al. (2018a) models fix the Nitrogen abundance might lead to an underestimation of the log $U_{\rm S}^{\rm NLR}$ parameter when fitting to nitrogen emission lines, because models with extremely low log $U_{\rm S}^{\rm NLR}$ values are needed to sample the data.

(ix) We also compare the models to the empirical emissionline calibration from Dors (2021). Within the region sampled by observations, the models agree well with the empirical calibration. However, at high metallicities, the models curve up from the plane that the empirical calibration draws in the $12 + \log(O/H) - P - R23$ space. The observations are also scattered preferentially above the plane in the region covered by the models. Therefore, it is likely the models can capture the behaviour of the observations that also scatter above the plane. At lower metallicities, the models lie below the Dors (2021) calibration. Additionally, the difference between models with different $\alpha_{\rm PL}$ values is less significant, which will help constraining the gas parameters in galaxies at high redshifts, such as those expected to find with missions such as the JWST. In general, the radiative transfer models can capture the behaviour of objects with different oxygen abundances more effectively than an empirical calibration based on objects with a limited range of metallicities.

This is the first in a series of three papers, that will be followed by a paper on fitting of a sample of type-2 AGNs with BEAGLE, and a study of the extent to which emission from shocks and post-AGB stars may affect our inferences.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for the thorough reading of the manuscript and their timely suggestions that have improved this work, in particular, making it more understandable. The authors acknowledge support from the European Research Council (ERC) via an Advanced Grant under grant agreement no. 321323-NEOGAL. AVG also acknowledges support from the European Research Council through the Advanced Grant MIST (FP7/2017-2020, No. 742719). ECL acknowledges support of an STFC Webb Fellowship (ST/W001438/1). MH acknowledges financial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation via the PRIMA grant 'From cosmic dawn to high noon: the role of BHs for young galaxies' (PROOP2_193577). AF acknowledges support from grant PRIN MIUR 2017 20173ML3WW. JC acknowledges funding from the ERC Advanced Grant 789056 'FirstGalaxies' (under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme). PYSPECLINES uses the python package PYSPECKIT https://pyspeckit. readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html

Statement of contributions by authors: This is a highly collaborative project led by ECL where every author has had an important contribution. In particular for this paper, AF and ECL incorporated the models into BEAGLE. AVG and AP defined and fitted to the idealized models in Section 3 from the parameters that MH provided from the simulations. AVG worked on the discussion comparing to other models which led to ECL measuring He II line fluxes in SDSS data and also the need of creating a new N/O grid done by AP and AF. The writing has been done by ECL with the help of AVG, and editing by SC. SC, MH, and JC have contributed to the scientific discussions and followed the project from the beginning. If the field of astronomy had the mechanism for assigning multiple first authors (as is done in other fields), AVG, AP, and ECL would have been assigned as lead authors. We reflect this with the fact that they are assigned as corresponding authors.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The astrophysical data used in this publication is supplied within the paper and the online supplementary materials. The idealized spectra analysed in Section 3 will be shared with reasonable request to the corresponding authors.

REFERENCES

- Abazajian K. N. et al., 2009, ApJS, 182, 543
- Agostino C. J. et al., 2021, ApJ, 922, 156
- Asplund M., Grevesse N., Sauval A. J., Scott P., 2009, ARA&A, 47, 481
- Baldwin J. A., Phillips M. M., Terlevich R., 1981, PASP, 93, 5
- Baskin A., Laor A., 2005, MNRAS, 358, 1043
- Bruzual G., Charlot S., 2003, MNRAS, 344, 1000
- Bundy K. et al., 2015, ApJ, 798, 7
- Castro C. S., Dors O. L., Cardaci M. V., Hägele G. F., 2017, MNRAS, 467, 1507
- Charlot S., Fall S. M., 2000, ApJ, 539, 718
- Charlot S., Longhetti M., 2001, MNRAS, 323, 887
- Chevallard J., Charlot S., 2016, MNRAS, 462, 1415 (CC16)
- Cowie L. L., Songaila A., 1986, ARA&A, 24, 499
- Crummy J., Fabian A. C., Gallo L., Ross R. R., 2006, MNRAS, 365, 1067
- Curtis-Lake E., Chevallard J., Charlot S., Sandles L., 2021, MNRAS, 503, 4855
- do Nascimento J. C. et al., 2022, MNRAS, 513, 807
- Díaz-Francés E., Rubio F. J., 2013, Stat. Papers, 54, 309
- Dietrich M., Hamann F., Shields J. C., Constantin A., Heidt J., Jäger K., Vestergaard M., Wagner S. J., 2003, ApJ, 589, 722
- Done C., Davis S. W., Jin C., Blaes O., Ward M., 2012, MNRAS, 420, 1848
- Dors O. L., 2021, MNRAS, 507, 466
- Dors O. L., Cardaci M. V., Hägele G. F., Krabbe Â. C., 2014, MNRAS, 443, 1291
- Dors O. L., Cardaci M. V., Hägele G. F., Rodrigues I., Grebel E. K., Pilyugin L. S., Freitas-Lemes P., Krabbe A. C., 2015, MNRAS, 453, 4102
- Dors O. L., Jr, Arellano-Córdova K. Z., Cardaci M. V., Hägele G. F., 2017, MNRAS, 468, L113
- Dors O. L. et al., 2020a, MNRAS, 492, 468
- Dors O. L., Maiolino R., Cardaci M. V., Hägele G. F., Krabbe A. C., Pérez-Montero E., Armah M., 2020b, MNRAS, 496, 3209
- Feltre A., Hatziminaoglou E., Fritz J., Franceschini A., 2012, MNRAS, 426, 120
- Feltre A., Charlot S., Gutkin J., 2016, MNRAS, 456, 3354 (F16)
- Ferland G. J. et al., 2013, Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis., 49, 137
- Feroz F., Hobson M. P., Bridges M., 2009, MNRAS, 398, 1601
- Foreman-Mackey D., Hogg D. W., Lang D., Goodman J., 2013, PASP, 125, 306
- Groves B. A., Dopita M. A., Sutherland R. S., 2004, ApJS, 153, 75
- Groves B., Heckman T., Kauffmann G., 2006, MNRAS, 371, 1559
- Gutkin J., Charlot S., Bruzual G., 2016, MNRAS, 462, 1757
- Haardt F., Maraschi L., 1991, ApJ, 380, L51
- Hamann F., Ferland G., 1993, ApJ, 418, 11
- Hirschmann M. Charlot S. Faltra A. Nach T. Ch
- Hirschmann M., Charlot S., Feltre A., Naab T., Choi E., Ostriker J. P., Somerville R. S., 2017, MNRAS, 472, 2468
- Hirschmann M., Charlot S., Feltre A., Naab T., Somerville R. S., Choi E., 2019, MNRAS, 487, 333
- Kewley L. J., Nicholls D. C., Sutherland R. S., 2019, ARA&A, 57, 511
- Maiolino R., Mannucci F., 2019, A&AR, 27, 3
- Marinacci F. et al., 2018, MNRAS, 480, 5113
- Mathews W. G., Ferland G. J., 1987, ApJ, 323, 456
- Matsuoka K., Nagao T., Maiolino R., Marconi A., Taniguchi Y., 2009, A&A, 503, 721
- Matsuoka K., Nagao T., Marconi A., Maiolino R., Mannucci F., Cresci G., Terao K., Ikeda H., 2018, A&A, 616, L4
- Mignoli M. et al., 2019, A&A, 626, A9
- Mouhcine M., Contini T., 2002, A&A, 389, 106
- Nagao T., Marconi A., Maiolino R., 2006a, A&A, 447, 157

- Nagao T., Maiolino R., Marconi A., 2006b, A&A, 447, 863
- Naiman J. P. et al., 2018, MNRAS, 477, 1206
- Nelson D. et al., 2018, MNRAS, 475, 624
- Nicholls D. C., Sutherland R. S., Dopita M. A., Kewley L. J., Groves B. A., 2017, MNRAS, 466, 4403
- Osterbrock D. E., Ferland G. J., 2006, Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei. University Science Books, Herndon, VA
- Pérez-Montero E., 2014, MNRAS, 441, 2663
- Pérez-Montero E., Contini T., 2009, MNRAS, 398, 949
- Pérez-Montero E., Dors O. L., Vílchez J. M., García-Benito R., Cardaci M. V., Hägele G. F., 2019, MNRAS, 489, 2652
- Pillepich A. et al., 2018, MNRAS, 475, 648
- Pilyugin L. S., 2000, A&A, 362, 325
- Pilyugin L. S., 2001, A&A, 369, 594
- Reines A. E., Volonteri M., 2015, ApJ, 813, 82
- Schartmann M., Meisenheimer K., Camenzind M., Wolf S., Henning T., 2005, A&A, 437, 861
- Shemmer O., Netzer H., 2002, ApJ, 567, L19
- Skilling J. et al., 2006, Bayesian Anal., 1, 833
- Springel V. et al., 2018, MNRAS, 475, 676
- Storchi-Bergmann T., Schmitt H. R., Calzetti D., Kinney A. L., 1998, AJ, 115,909
- Sutherland R. S., Dopita M. A., 2017, ApJS, 229, 34
- Thomas A. D., Groves B. A., Sutherland R. S., Dopita M. A., Kewley L. J., Jin C., 2016, ApJ, 833, 266
- Thomas A. D., Dopita M. A., Kewley L. J., Groves B. A., Sutherland R. S., Hopkins A. M., Blanc G. A., 2018a, ApJ, 856, 89
- Thomas A. D., Kewley L. J., Dopita M. A., Groves B. A., Hopkins A. M., Sutherland R. S., 2018b, ApJ, 861, L2
- Thomas A. D., Kewley L. J., Dopita M. A., Groves B. A., Hopkins A. M., Sutherland R. S., 2019, ApJ, 874, 100

Tomczak A. R. et al., 2014, ApJ, 783, 85 Veilleux S., Osterbrock D. E., 1987, ApJS, 63, 295 Vidal-García A., Charlot S., Bruzual G., Hubeny I., 2017, MNRAS, 470, 3532 York D. G. et al., 2000, AJ, 120, 1579

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supplementary data are available at *MNRAS* online.

Table 4. [He II] λ 4686 line fluxes measured for the sample of type-2 AGNs presented by Dors et al. (2020a).

Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

APPENDIX A: TRIANGLE PLOTS OF THE DIFFERENT RETRIEVED PARAMETERS

In this section we show the 1D marginalized and 2D posterior probability distributions of one of the noisy realizations of the 10 fits populating the ellipses of Figs 5-10. Fig. A1 shows the PDF of the fits with ξ_d^{NLR} and α_{PL} fixed for the NLR-dominated galaxies at z =0 and z = 2. Fig. A2 shows the fit with ξ_d fixed for the SF-dominated galaxies at z = 0 and z = 2. Finally, we show the triangle plot of the parameter retrieval for the galaxy with equal contribution from SF and NLR to H β flux at z = 0 in Fig. A3 and at z = 2 in Fig. A4.



Figure A1. Triangle plots of the parameter retrieval for one of the noisy realizations for the z = 0 (left) and z = 2 (right) NLR-dominated galaxies with S/N~100 in H β . Only NLR parameters are displayed even though SF parameters are also included in the fits. We display the results for the fit with ξ_d^{NLR} and α_{PL} fixed, which corresponds to the purple ellipses of Fig. 5.



Figure A2. As in Fig. A1, but showing triangle plots of the parameter retrieval for the z = 0 (left) and z = 2 (right) star-formation dominated galaxies. We display only SF parameters, though NLR parameters were also included in the fits. These are the results of the fits when ξ_d is fixed, which correspond to the ellipses demarcating this particular fit in Fig. 8.



Figure A3. As in Fig. A1 but now showing the triangle plots of the parameter retrieval for the z = 0 galaxy with equal contribution from SF and NLR to H β flux. We display the results for all fixed parameters, corresponding to the black ellipses of Fig. 9.



Figure A4. As in Fig. A1 but now showing the triangle plots of the parameter retrieval for the z = 2 galaxy with equal contribution from SF and NLR to H β flux.

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/IATEX file prepared by the author.

© The Author(s) 2023.

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

MNRAS 527, 7217-7241 (2024)