Discovery of the First Very Wide WD–LD Binary System?

Avril C. Day-Jones, David J. Pinfield, Hugh R. A. Jones, Tim R. Kendall, Ralf Napiwotzki, and James S. Jenkins

Centre for Astrophysics Research, University of Hertfordshire, College Lane, Hatfield, Herts, AL10 9AB, UK

Abstract. We report on our large scale search of 2MASS and SuperCOS-MOS in the southern hemisphere for very widely separated white dwarf / L-dwarf binary systems and present our findings, including eight widely separated candidate systems, and proper motion analysis confirming one of these as a widely separated white dwarf / L-dwarf common proper motion binary candidate.

1. Introduction

In the last few years there have been many searches to find brown dwarf companions to white dwarfs. Despite these attempts only three brown dwarf / white dwarf binaries (with a brown dwarf spectral type later than M) have been confirmed. GD 165B (Zuckerman & Becklin 1992), GD 1400 (Farihi & Christopher 2004; Dobbie et al. 2005), and WD 0137-349 (Maxted et al. 2006; Burleigh et al. 2006). The two components in GD 165 are separated by 120 AU; the separation of the components in GD 1400 is currently unknown. WD 0137-349 is a close binary (semi-major axis $a = 0.65R_{\odot}$). It is common place for brown dwarfs to exist in wide binaries to solar type and other low mass stars at separations of 1000 - 5000 AU (Pinfield et al. 2006; Gizis et al. 2001). However, when a star sheds its envelope as it moves into the white dwarf phase, we may expect a brown dwarf companion to migrate outwards (Burleigh, Clarke & Hodgkin 2002) to separations of ~ 4000 - 20,000 AU. Some may be broken apart quite rapidly by gravitational interactions with other stars, but some could survive.

The discovery of such binaries in which the white dwarf is high mass (and thus has a brief progenitor lifetime) will yield benchmark brown dwarfs where the cooling age of the white dwarf can, by association give a well constrained age for the brown dwarf. Cool dwarf spectra are highly dependent on these properties, but are notoriously difficult to model. Such benchmark brown dwarfs are thus vital to our interpretation of brown dwarf properties in general.

2. Brown Dwarf Companions at Wide Separations

Early analysis of data from 2MASS shows a high binary fraction of 18% for wide companions around main sequence stars (Gizis et al. 2001). Our current work supports this high binary fraction and suggests a brown dwarf companion fraction of $34_{-6}^{+9}\%$, suggesting that up to a third of all stars could have brown dwarf companions at wide separations (Pinfield et al. 2006). Thus far searches





Figure 1. Top Left: Colour-magnitude diagram of L-dwarf candidates (assumed to be at the same distance as the white dwarf); dashed box shows area occupied by known L-dwarfs. Top Right: Reduced proper motion diagram of white dwarf candidates. Bottom: Distance vs. separation plot of binary candidates.

have revealed only 3 white dwarf/brown dwarf binaries, where the brown dwarf component is an L-dwarf and all of close separation, the widest of which is GD 165B which is at a separation of ~ 120 AU. So do such systems exist at much wider separations?

We have mined the 2MASS and SuperCOSMOS science archives in the south, searching specifically for binary systems separated by up to 20,000 AU. We select suitable candidate white dwarfs using a series of colour, magnitude and reduced proper motion constraints established from known catalogued white dwarfs from SuperCOSMOS; and use colour and magnitude selection criteria (similar to those of Cruz et al. 2003) to select candidate L-dwarfs from 2MASS.

3. Results

Our search revealed eight candidate white dwarf/L-dwarf binaries. Figures 1 and 2 show a colour-magnitude diagram of the L-dwarf candidates, a reduced proper motion of the white dwarf candidates and a plot of distance vs. separation of the candidate binary pairs. Each of the candidate images were visually inspected in the R and I bands for counterparts and are consistent with having L-dwarf colours. Proper motion follow up was done for seven of the eight candidates using second epoch observations from the Anglo-Australian Telescope and the William Herschel Telescope. We find four of the eight are non-common proper motion pairs and two are uncertain due to their small motion and high associated residuals. However we find one of our candidates to be a common

proper motion pair. Figure 3 shows images of both the white dwarf and Ldwarf candidate components of the binary. The calculated proper motion for this system is

L-dwarf proper motion: RA: -130 ± 30 mas/yr, DEC: -70 ± 20 mas/yr

White dwarf proper motion: RA: $-83 \pm 30 \text{ mas/yr}$, DEC: $-70 \pm 12 \text{ mas/yr}$.

The measured proper motion in comparable to the proper motion of the white dwarf as recorded by SuperCOSMOS (RA: -78.5 mas/yr, DEC: -67.4 mas/yr). The L-dwarf colours (J - K = 1.32, J - H = 0.78) are consistent with an L 2 - 3 type and the white dwarf colour (B - R = 0.42) is consistent with a mid to hot white dwarf; suggesting that their distance is 35 - 44 pc giving a binary separation of 3000 - 4000 AU.



Figure 2. Enlarged reduced proper motion diagram of white dwarf candidates, over-plotted with white dwarf selection area (to lower left of dashed line)

4. Future Work

We are awaiting follow up observations of the last candidate wide white dwarf / L-dwarf binary and plan on carrying out spectroscopic follow up and analysis of the common proper motion candidate to estimate $T_{\rm eff}$, gravity and determine an accurate spectral type for the candidate L-dwarf. We also plan to perform

362 Day-Jones et al.

a similar search for such companions in UKIDSS (UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey) and with 2MASS and SuperCOSMOS in the north when available.



Figure 3. SuperCOSMOS and AAT/IRIS2 images of the white dwarf (white circles) and L-dwarf (black circles) common proper motion candidates. The white dwarf can be seen in the optical (B, R, I and just visible in the J band) and the L-dwarf can be seen in the near infrared (J, H and K bands).

Acknowledgments. ADJ acknowledges support by PPARC for this work. This research has made use of data from the SuperCOSMOS science archive and 2MASS (Two Micron All Sky Survey).

References

Burleigh, M. R., Clarke, F. J., & Hodgkin, S. T. 2002, MNRAS, 331, L41

- Burleigh, M. R., Hogan, E., Dobbie, P. D., Napiwotzki, R., & Maxted, P. F. L. 2006, MNRAS, 373, L55
- Cruz, K. L., Reid, I. N., Liebert, J., Kirkpatrick, J. D., & Lowrance, P. J. 2003, AJ, 126, 2421

Dobbie, P. D., Burleigh, M. R., Levan, A. J., Barstow, M. A., Napiwotzki, R., Holberg, J. B., Hubeny, I., & Howell, S. B. 2005, MNRAS, 357, 1049

Farihi, J., & Christopher, M. 2004, AJ, 128, 1868

Gizis, J. E., Kirkpatrick, J. D., Burgasser, A., Reid, I. N., Monet, D. G., Liebert, J., & Wilson, J. C. 2001, ApJ, 551, L163

Maxted, P. F. L., Napiwotzki, R., Dobbie, P. D., & Burleigh, M. R. 2006, Nat, 442, 543 Pinfield, D. J., Jones, H. R. A., Lucas, P. W., Kendall, T. R., Folkes, S. L., Day-Jones,

A. C., Chappelle, R. J., & Steele, I. A. 2006, MNRAS, 368, 1281

Zuckerman, B., & Becklin, E. E. 1992, ApJ, 386, 260