MULTIEPOCH MULTIWAVELENGTH SPECTRA AND MODELS FOR BLAZAR 3C 279

R. C. HARTMAN,^{1,2} M. BÖTTCHER,^{3,4} G. ALDERING,⁵ H. ALLER,⁶ M. ALLER,⁶ D. E. BACKMAN,⁷ T. J. BALONEK,⁸
D. L. BERTSCH,¹ S. D. BLOOM,⁹ H. BOCK,¹⁰ P. BOLTWOOD,¹¹ M. T. CARINI,¹² W. COLLMAR,¹³ G. DE FRANCESCO,¹⁴
E. C. FERRARA,¹⁵ W. FREUDLING,¹⁶ W. K. GEAR,¹⁷ P. B. HALL,¹⁸ J. HEIDT,¹⁰ P. HUGHES,⁶ S. D. HUNTER,¹ S. JOGEE,¹⁹
W. N. JOHNSON,²⁰ G. KANBACH,¹³ S. KATAJAINEN,²¹ M. KIDGER,²² T. KII,²³ M. KOSKIMIES,²¹ A. KRAUS,²⁴ H. KUBO,²⁵
O. KURTANIDZE,²⁶ L. LANTERI,¹⁴ A. LAWSON,²⁷ Y. C. LIN,²⁸ U. LISENFELD,²⁹ G. MADEJSKI,³⁰ F. MAKINO,²³
L. MARASCHI,³¹ A. P. MARSCHER,³² J. P. MCFARLAND,¹⁵ I. MCHARDY,²⁷ H. R. MILLER,¹⁵ M. NIKOLASHVILI,³³
K. NILSSON,²¹ J. C. NOBLE,³² G. NUCCIARELLI,³⁴ L. OSTORERO,¹⁴ E. PIAN,³⁵ T. PURSIMO,²¹ C. M. RAITERI,¹⁴ W. REICH,²⁴
R. REKOLA,²¹ G. M. RICHTER,²⁶ E. I. ROBSON,³⁶ A. SADUN,³⁷ T. SAVOLAINEN,²¹ A. SILLANPÄÄ,²¹ A. SMALE,³⁸
G. SOBRITO,¹⁴ P. SREEKUMAR,³⁹ J. A. STEVENS,⁴⁰ L. O. TAKALO,²¹ F. TAVECCHIO,³¹ H. TERÄSRANTA,⁴¹ D. J. THOMPSON,¹
M. TORNIKOSKI,⁴¹ G. TOSTI,³⁴ H. UNGERECHTS,²⁹ C. M. URRY,⁴² E. VALTAOJA,^{21,43} M. VILLATA,¹⁴ S. J. WAGNER,¹⁰

A. E. WEHRLE,⁴⁴ AND J. W. WILSON¹⁵

Received 2000 October 31; accepted 2001 February 5

ABSTRACT

Of the blazars detected by EGRET in GeV γ -rays, 3C 279 is not only the best observed by EGRET but also one of the best monitored at lower frequencies. We have assembled 11 spectra, from GHz radio through GeV γ -rays, from the time intervals of EGRET observations. Although some of the data have appeared in previous publications, most are new, including data taken during the high states in early 1999 and early 2000. All of the spectra show substantial γ -ray contribution to the total luminosity of the object; in a high state, the γ -ray luminosity dominates over that at all other frequencies by a factor of

¹ NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Laboratory for High Energy Astrophysics, Code 661, Greenbelt, MD 20771.

- 2 rch@egret.gsfc.nasa.gov.
- Department of Space Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005-1892.
- Chandra Fellow.
- Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Mail Stop 50-232, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720.
- ⁶ Astronomy Department, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109.
- Physics and Astronomy Department, Franklin and Marshall College, P.O. Box 3003, Lancaster, PA 17604-3003.
- Department of Physics and Astronomy, Colgate University, 13 Oak Drive, Hamilton, NY 13346-1398.
- ⁹ Hampden-Sydney College, Hampden-Sydney, VA 23943.
- ¹⁰ Landessternwarte Königstuhl, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany.
- ¹¹ 1655 Main Street, Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1N6, Canada.
- ¹² Department of Physics and Astronomy, Western Kentucky University, 1 Big Red Way, Bowling Green, KY 42104.
- ¹³ Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik, P.O. Box 1603, 85740 Garching, Germany.
- ¹⁴ Osservatorio Astronomico di Torino, Strada Osservatorio 20, I-10025 Pino Torinese, Italy.
- ¹⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30303.

¹⁶ European Southern Observatory and Space Telescope-European Coordinating Facility, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 2, 85748 Garching bei München, Germany.

¹⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, P.O. Box 913, Cardiff CF2 3YB, UK.

¹⁸ Princeton University Observatory and Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Departamento de Astronomía y Astrofísica, Facultad de Física, Casilla 306, Santiago 22, Chile.

¹⁹ Division of Physics, Mathematics, and Astronomy, MS 105-24, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125.

- ²⁰ Naval Research Laboratory, Code 7651, Washington, DC 20375-5352.
- ²¹ Tuorla Observatory, Väisäläntie 20, FIN-21500 Piikkiö, Finland.
- ²² Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Calle Vía Láctea, E-38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain.
- ²³ Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, 3-1-1 Yoshinodai, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229-8510, Japan.
- ²⁴ Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany.
- ²⁵ Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.
- ²⁶ Astrophysikalisches Institut Potsdam, An der Sternwarte 16, 14482 Potsdam, Germany.
- ²⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, S017-1BJ Southampton, UK.
- ²⁸ W. W. Hansen Experimental Physics Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305.
- ²⁹ Institut de Radioastronomie Millimétrique, Avenida Divina Pastora 7, Nucleo Central, E-18012 Granada, Spain.
- ³⁰ Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, GLAST group, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025.
- ³¹ Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, via Brera 28, I-20121 Milan, Italy.
- ³² Institute for Astrophysical Research, Boston University, 725 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215.
- ³³ Abastumani Observatory, 383762 Abastumani, Republic of Georgia.
- ³⁴ Osservatorio Astronomico di Perugia, via Bonfigli, 06123 Perugia, Italy.
- ³⁵ Astronomical Observatory of Trieste, via G.B. Tiepolo 11, I-34131 Trieste, Italy.
 ³⁶ Centre for Astrophysics, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE; and Joint Astronomy Centre, 660 North A'ohoku Place, Hilo, HI 96720.
- ³⁷ Department of Physics, University of Colorado at Denver, Campus Box 157, P.O. Box 173364, Denver, CO 80217-3364.
- ³⁸ NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Laboratory for High Energy Astrophysics, Code 662, Greenbelt, MD 20771.
- ³⁹ Indian Space Research Organization, Satellite Centre, Control and Mission Area, Airport Road, Vimanapura Post, Bangalore 560-017, India.
- ⁴⁰ Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, Holmburg, St. Mary, Dorking, Surrey RH5 6NT, UK.
- ⁴¹ Metsähovi Radio Observatory, Helsinki University of Technology, 02540 Kylmälä, Finland.
- ⁴² Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218.
- ⁴³ Department of Physics, University of Turku, Turku, Finland.
- ⁴⁴ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109.

more than 10. There is no clear pattern of time correlation; different bands do not always rise and fall together, even in the optical, X-ray, and γ -ray bands. The spectra are modeled using a leptonic jet, with combined synchrotron self-Compton plus external Compton γ -ray production. Spectral variability of 3C 279 is consistent with variations of the bulk Lorentz factor of the jet, accompanied by changes in the spectral shape of the electron distribution. Our modeling results are consistent with the UV spectrum of 3C 279 being dominated by accretion disk radiation during times of low γ -ray intensity.

Subject headings: gamma rays: observations — quasars: individual (3C 279) On-line material: machine-readable tables

1. INTRODUCTION

Partly because of its bright γ -ray flare shortly after the launch of the *Compton Gamma Ray Observatory* (*CGRO*; Hartman et al. 1992, 1996; Kniffen et al. 1993), 3C 279 has received a great deal of coverage during the following 9 yr, at many frequencies in addition to the γ -ray range. In addition to much routine monitoring, it has also been the subject of six intensive multiwavelength campaigns. It has been detected by the EGRET high-energy instrument on *CGRO* every time it has been observed, even (at rather low significance) during the very low quiescent state of winter 1992–1993. It has varied in γ -ray flux by roughly 2 orders of magnitude (Maraschi et al. 1994; Wehrle et al. 1998) and has displayed a factor of 2 variation on timescales as small as 8 hr.

Its detection by EGRET during the very low state of 1992 December-1993 January (Maraschi et al. 1994) is undoubtedly due to the fact that 3C 279 is one of the closest (z = 0.538) of the EGRET-detected flat-spectrum radio-loud quasars (FSRQs), also described recently as quasar-hosted blazars (QHBs; Kubo et al. 1998). In this paper we have collected multiwavelength data simultaneous or (for radio) quasi-simultaneous with γ -ray observations in order to establish a detailed model picture for this prototype γ -ray-loud active galactic nucleus (AGN) and to constrain the dynamics and physics of relativistic jets.

2. OBSERVATIONS

This paper concentrates on the time intervals during which the *CGRO* instruments COMPTEL and EGRET were observing 3C 279. In some cases, adjacent *CGRO* viewing periods have been combined since the γ -ray flux did not vary significantly. The intervals used are defined in Table 1. Because the radio fluxes do not vary as rapidly as those at higher frequencies, we have utilized a few radio observations that are a few days outside the time intervals listed in Table 1. For frequencies above 70 GHz all of

TABLE 1Observation Intervals

Interval	Start Date	End Date
P1	1991 Jun 15	1991 Jun 28
P2	1992 Dec 22	1993 Jan 12
Р3а	1993 Oct 19	1993 Dec 01
P3b	1993 Dec 13	1994 Jan 03
P4	1994 Nov 29	1995 Jan 10
P5a	1996 Jan 16	1996 Jan 30
P5b	1996 Jan 30	1996 Feb 06
Рба	1996 Dec 10	1997 Jan 28
P6b	1997 Jun 17	1997 Jun 24
P8	1999 Jan 19	1999 Feb 01
Р9	2000 Feb 08	2000 Mar 01

the observations used were strictly within the listed time intervals.

Data points have been taken from three earlier papers: Maraschi et al. (1994) for the 1992 December–1993 January (P2) spectrum, Hartman et al. (1996) for the 1991 June (P1) spectrum, and Wehrle et al. (1998) for the two spectra (P5a and P5b) in 1996 January–February. For those four spectra the EGRET and COMPTEL γ -ray data have been reprocessed and reanalyzed for use here. Data were also obtained from the references and observations described below.

2.1. Radio

The flux data at 4.8, 8.0, and 14.5 GHz were obtained with the University of Michigan 26 m paraboloid using rotating-horn polarimeters. For each day's source observation, a standard noise signal was injected to determine the radiometer gain, position scans were made in right ascension and declination to provide the best source position, and a series of 2.5 minute on-off (4.8 GHz) or on-on (14.5 and 8.0 GHz) measurements were made. The typical duration of a full observation of 3C 279 is 30-40 minutes per day. The primary flux standard used is Cassiopeia A (3C 461) (allowing for the measured decay rate of this source) on the scale of Baars et al. (1977). Secondary flux standards (3C 274 and 3C 286) were observed at 1.5-2 hr intervals near 3C 279 observations to monitor temporal changes in the gain of the telescope. Details of these calibration and reduction procedures can be found in Aller et al. (1985).

Observations made during P2, P3a, P3b, and P4 at Effelsberg have been published in Reich et al. (1993, 1998). The flux tables are available on-line.⁴⁵ Additional measurements at Effelsberg were obtained within a long-term flux density monitoring of selected AGNs (Peng et al. 2000).

Radio observations at 22 and 37 GHz were carried out at the Metsähovi Radio Observatory as a part of a long-term monitoring program. The 1999 and 2000 data have not been published previously; earlier data were presented by Maraschi et al. (1994), Hartman et al. (1996), Teräsranta et al. (1998; the observations and data reduction are described in full there), and Wehrle et al. (1998).

2.2. Millimeter and Submillimeter

Observations at 3 and 1.3 mm were made with the 15 m Swedish-ESO Submillimeter Telescope (SEST) at the European Southern Observatory site on Cerro La Silla in Chile. Until 1995 June the 3 mm observations were made using a dual-polarization Schottky receiver; after that the measurements were made with a superconductor-insulator-superconductor (SIS) receiver. As a back end, a wide-band (1 GHz) acousto-optic spectrometer (AOS) was used. For the 1.3 mm observations since 1991, measurements have been mainly obtained with a single-channel bolometer. The

⁴⁵ http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/Abstract.html.

bolometer has a bandwidth of about 50 GHz, centered at 236 GHz. All the flux density measurements were made in a dual beam switching mode, and the flux densities were calibrated against planets. Details about the SEST continuum observations as well as the full database of the project through 1994 June can be found in Tornikoski et al. (1996).

Flux densities at 3, 2, and 1.3 mm wavelength were determined from observations at the IRAM 30 m telescope applying procedures, calibration, and data reduction similar to those described by Steppe et al. (1988) and Reuter et al. (1997). During regular observations made to update the pointing model of the telescope or during VLBI campaigns, we make cross scans over the source in azimuth and elevation, using SIS receivers at all three wavelengths. The data are calibrated to the scale of corrected antenna temperature, T_A^* , by observing loads at ambient and cold temperature, as in the conventional "chopper wheel" calibration for millimeter wavelength observations. The peak intensity is determined by fitting a Gaussian to the scans in both directions and corrected for the observed position offsets. Another correction accounts for the elevation dependence of the telescope gain for a point source. Finally, the conversion factor from T_A^* to flux density is measured using the same observing mode on Mars or Uranus, or on selected extragalactic sources, i.e., K3-50A, NGC 7027, NGC 7538, or W3OH, which are used as secondary standards.

Two 3C 279 fluxes (86 GHz in P5a; 90 GHz in P8) were used from the BIMA (Welch et al. 1996) on-line calibration archive.⁴⁶

3C 279 was observed with the Owens Valley Radio Observatory (OVRO) millimeter wavelength interferometer (Padin et al. 1991) on 1994 December 8, 1999 January 8, 1999 January 11, 1999 January 20, and 1999 February 16. These observations were part of normal calibration measurements taken during science tracks in order to correct for temporal phase variation and gain variation across the spectrometer band. Continuum data were recorded with an analog continuum correlator using a setup that provides a bandwidth of 1 GHz on each sideband. The upper sideband was centered on frequencies of 114.68 and 228.17 GHz. Stringent requirements on coherence, system temperatures, and elevation were used to select the best data, and the flux calibration of 3C 279 was carried out with the Owens Valley millimeter array software (Scoville et al. 1993). The tracks did not include planet observations; therefore, the quasar 3C 273 was used to determine the absolute flux scale.

JCMT data for P1, P2, and P5b were taken from Hartman et al. (1996), Maraschi et al. (1994), and Wehrle et al. (1998), respectively. For P3a, P3b, and P4, the UKT14 bolometer (Duncan et al. 1990) was used on the JCMT (Matthews 1991) to observe 3C 279 at 2, 1.3, 1.1, 0.8, and 0.45 mm. The data analysis was similar to standard photometric studies at optical wavelengths; details of the techniques used can be found in Robson et al. (1993), who present the results of very similar observations. The planets Uranus and Mars were used as primary flux density calibrators whenever possible, and strong unresolved sources were observed regularly to correct the pointing and focus of the antenna. To correct for atmospheric extinction, a planeparallel atmosphere was assumed, and optical depths were calculated directly from observations of the calibrators, which were observed on roughly an hourly basis throughout each session.

Submillimeter data for P6b and P8 were obtained using the Submillimeter Common-User Bolometer Array (SCUBA; Holland et al. 1999) on the JCMT. The observations are from two programs: (1) a dedicated blazar monitoring program with SCUBA in photometry mode; the data reduction method is almost identical to that used for UKT14, although for observations made with the arrays, off-source bolometers can be used to correct for sky noise; and (2) automated reduction of archival 850 μ m pointing observations (E. I. Robson, J. A. Stevens, & T. Jenness 2001, in preparation). The data reduction is similar to conventional methodology. As described in T. Jenness et al. (2001, in preparation), it uses the new JCMT SCUBA pipeline with automatic atmospheric extinction correction. This comes from an extensive database of extinction estimates to correct for the atmosphere and reduces reliable observations only, using a standard flux conversion factor determined from observations of Uranus and CRL 618. This factor was found to be stable to within 10% over a 3 yr period.

2.3. Infrared

The infrared observations during P1, P2, P5 (a and b) were taken from Hartman et al. (1996), Maraschi et al. (1994), and Wehrle et al. (1998), respectively.

The P5b (1996 January 31 and February 3) Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO) observations used the 1.5 m telescope and near-IR camera CIRIM in photometric conditions. The data were reduced using *apphot* routines in the IRAF software package. Flux densities were obtained by reference to four UKIRT faint standard stars using normal CTIO extinction coefficients and CIRIM color coefficients.

The UKIRT observation during P3a (1994 December 9) used the UKT9 1–5 μ m single-channel photometer under photometric sky conditions. The flux was obtained by comparison with nearby standard stars, with uncertainties in the magnitudes of 0.03–0.05.

2.4. Optical

3C 279 was observed during P8 (1999 January 18– February 13) and P9 (2000 January 29–February 19) at the Abastumani Astrophysical Observatory (Republic of Georgia) using a Peltier-cooled ST-6 CCD camera attached to the Newtonian focus of the 70 cm meniscus telescope (1/3). The full frame field of view is $14.9 \times 10.7 \operatorname{arcmin}^2$. All observations are performed using combined filters of glasses that match the standard *B*, *V* (Johnson) and *R*_C, *I*_C (Cousins) bands well. Because the scale of the CCD and the meniscus telescope resolution are $2.3 \times 2.7 \operatorname{arcsec}^2 \operatorname{pixel}^{-1}$ and 1".5, respectively, the images are undersampled; therefore, the frames were slightly defocused to satisfy the sampling theorem. A full description of the Abastumani blazar monitoring program is given in Kurtanidze & Nikolashvili (1999).

P5a and P5b observations at the Boltwood Observatory, presented previously in Wehrle at al. (1998), were made with an 18 cm refractor, using Johnson-Cousins *BVRI* filters and a Thomson CSF TH7883 CCD camera. Photometry was done differentially based on star 1 of Smith & Balonek (1998).

P5a observations at the National Undergraduate Research Observatory (NURO) (1996 January 12) used the 0.8 m telescope and a Tektronix CCD camera with Johnson-Cousins *BVRI* filters. Photometric reference stars were Landolt equatorial standards (Landolt 1992). Atmospheric extinction coefficients were determined for that night from observations of standards. This observation was presented previously in Wehrle et al. (1998).

Observations were taken with the 1.2 m telescope of Calar Alto Observatory in Spain and with the 0.7 m telescope of the Landessternwarte Heidelberg (LN). Both telescopes are equipped with LN_2 -cooled CCD cameras. Observations in Heidelberg are carried out with a Johnson *R*-band filter. The Calar Alto observations were carried out in Johnson *R* (in 2000) and Röser *R* (in earlier years). Standard debiasing and flat-fielding were carried out before performing differential aperture photometry. (Finding charts and comparison sequences are available on-line⁴⁷ for 3C 279, along with many other blazars.)

Observations were performed using Lowell Observatory's 42 inch Hall telescope and the 24 inch telescope of the Mount Stromlo/Siding Spring Observatories. Both telescopes are equipped with a direct CCD camera and an autoguider. The observations were made through VRI filters. Repeated exposures of 90 s were obtained for the star field containing 3C 279 and several comparison stars (Smith et al. 1985). These comparison stars were internally calibrated and are located on the same CCD frame as 3C 279. They were used as the reference standard stars in the data reduction process. The observations were reduced following Noble et al. (1997), using the method of Howell & Jacoby (1986). Each exposure is processed through an aperture photometry routine that reduces the data as if they were produced by a multistar photometer. Differential magnitudes can then be computed for any pair of stars on the frame. Thus, simultaneous observations of 3C 279, several comparison stars, and the sky background permit removal of variations that may be due to fluctuations in either atmospheric transparency or extinction. The aperture photometry routine used for these observations is the phot task in IRAF.

Observations were taken with the 2.5 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) on La Palma, Canary Islands, Spain, using the ALFOSC instrument with a 2000 \times 2000 CCD camera (0".189 pixel⁻¹) and V and R filters. Data reduction (including bias and flat-field corrections) was made with either standard IRAF or MIDAS (J. Heidt 2000, private communication) routines. The data taken in summer 1996 are in Katajainen et al. (2000). The data taken in 1999 January were previously unpublished.

Observations at the Tuorla Observatory used the 1.03 m telescope with an ST-8 CCD camera and a V filter (Katajainen et al. 2000). Data reduction was done using IRAF (with bias and flat-field corrections). Some portions of the Tuorla data have been previously published in Wehrle et al. (1998) and Katajainen et al. (2000).

Observations at the Perugia Observatory were carried out with the Automatic Imaging Telescope (AIT). The AIT is based on an equatorially mounted 40 cm f/5 Newtonian reflector, with a 15 cm f/15 refractor solidly joined to it. A CCD camera and Johnson-Cousins BVR_CI_C filters are utilized for photometry (Tosti, Pascolini, & Fiorucci 1996). The data were reduced using aperture photometry with the procedure described in that reference.

Observations at the Torino Observatory were done with the 1.05 m REOSC telescope. The equipment includes an EEV CCD camera (1296 × 1152 pixels, 0".467 pixel⁻¹) and standard (Johnson-Cousins) *BVRI* filters. Frames are reduced by the Robin procedure locally developed (Lanteri 1999), which includes bias subtraction, flat-fielding, and circular Gaussian fit after background subtraction. The magnitude calibration was performed according to the photometric sequence by Raiteri et al. (1998). Magnitudes were converted to fluxes by using a *B*-band Galactic extinction of 0.06 mag and following Rieke & Lebofsky (1985) and Cardelli, Clayton, & Mathis (1989). Data from 1994–1995 were published in Villata et al. (1997); data around P5a and P5b were partially shown in Wehrle et al. (1998) and Villata et al. (1998).

P9 observations were made with the 60 cm KVA telescope on La Palma, Canary Islands, using an ST-8 CCD camera with BVR filters. The data reduction was done using IRAF (with bias and flat-field corrections). These data were previously unpublished.

2.5. UV

IUE data for P1 and P2 appeared in Hartman et al. (1996) and Maraschi et al. (1994), respectively, but were reanalyzed by Pian et al. (1999). The *IUE* data for P3b and P4 were presented in Koratkar et al. (1998) and Pian et al. (1999), and those of P5a and P5b were presented in Wehrle et al. (1998).

2.6. X-Ray

ROSAT data for P2 and P5a are from Maraschi et al. (1994) and Wehrle et al. (1998), respectively, but were reanalyzed by Sambruna (1997).

ASCA data for P3b and P5a are from Wehrle et al. (1998) and Pian et al. (1999), respectively; they were reanalyzed by Kubo (1997). ASCA data for P4 have appeared only in Kubo (1997).

3C 279 was observed by *BeppoSAX* during five pointings in P6a (1997 January 11–21), for a total exposure time of 150 ks. The spectra taken by the *BeppoSAX* LECS (0.1–4 keV; Parmar et al. 1997) and MECS (1.6–10 keV; Boella et al. 1997) instruments have been extracted from the linearized event files using extraction radii of 8" and 4", respectively, and corrected for background contamination using library files available at the *BeppoSAX* Science Data Center.

The spectra measured by the BeppoSAX PDS instrument (13–300 keV; Frontera et al. 1997) have been accumulated from on-source exposures of the collimator units and corrected using background spectra obtained during the off-source exposures. A further correction for the energy and temperature dependence of the pulse rise time, devised for weak sources, has been applied.

No emission variability has been detected among different pointings; therefore, the spectral signal has been coadded over all exposures to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio. The average spectrum of the source exhibits significant signal up to ~ 50 keV and appears featureless; see E. Pian (2001, in preparation) for a detailed presentation of these data.

⁴⁷ http://www.lsw.uni-heidelberg.de/projects/extragalactic/charts.html.

After rebinning the spectrum in intervals where the signal exceeds a 3 σ significance, we fitted it to a single absorbed power law using the XSPEC routines and response files available at the Science Data Center. The normalization between the MECS and the LECS instruments has been treated as a free parameter, while that between the MECS and PDS instruments was fixed. The fit is satisfactory; the fitted neutral hydrogen column density is consistent with the Galactic value (Elvis, Lockman, & Wilkes 1989), and the L/M normalization is consistent with the expected intercalibration between the two instruments.

Ginga fluxes for P1 were taken from Hartman et al. (1996).

RXTE data from P5a and P5b have been presented previously in Wehrle et al. (1998). Full details of those analyses are given in Lawson, McHardy, & Marscher (1999). Subsequent data were analyzed in almost exactly the same manner, but, for completeness, we summarize the observations and analysis briefly.

3C 279 was the target for a series of 36 RXTE monitoring observations during 1999 January 2–February 16, for a total on-source time of 67 ks. The X-ray data presented here were obtained using the Proportional Counter Array (PCA) instrument in the "Standard 2" and "Good Xenon" configurations, with time resolutions of 16 s and less than 1 μ s, respectively. Only PCUs 0, 1, and 2 were reliably on throughout the observations, and we limit our analysis to data from these detectors.

A further sequence of 28 monitoring observations was performed with RXTE in 2000 February, using the same instrumental configurations, for a total on-source time of 104 ks. For this sequence we utilized data from PCUs 0 and 2.

Data analysis was performed using RXTE standard analysis software, FTOOLS 5.0. Background subtraction of the PCA data was performed utilizing the "L7-240" models generated by the RXTE PCA team. The quality of the background subtraction was checked in two ways: (1) by comparing the source and background spectra and light curves at high energies (50–100 keV) where the source itself no longer contributes detectable events, and (2) by using the same models to background-subtract the data obtained during slews to and from the source.

2.7. Gamma Ray

OSSE consists of four identical scintillation detectors that make measurements in the 50 keV–10 MeV γ -ray energy band. The detectors are actively shielded, and the rectangular fields of view, $3^\circ.8 \times 11^\circ.4$ FWHM, are defined by tungsten collimators. OSSE utilizes a sequence of 2 minute source observations alternated with offset-pointed background observations to determine a source contribution above background. Generally, equal times are spent on source and background measurements. See Johnson et al. (1993) for a more detailed description of the instrument, its performance, and data analysis techniques.

The background-subtracted data from each observation period are summed to obtain a time-averaged count rate spectrum for that period. Prior to fitting, systematic errors are included. These systematics are computed from the uncertainties in the low-energy calibration and response of the detectors using both in-orbit and prelaunch calibration data. The energy-dependent systematic errors are expressed as an uncertainty in the effective area in the OSSE response. These systematic errors were added in quadrature to the statistical errors prior to spectral fitting. They are most important at the lowest energies ($\sim 3\%$ uncertainty in effective area at 50 keV, decreasing to 0.3% at 150 keV and above).

Simple spectral models are fitted to the residual count spectra from each viewing period or to the sum of several viewing periods using the OSSE data analysis system. The fitting procedure consists of folding model photon spectra through the OSSE response matrix and adjusting the model parameters to minimize, through a χ^2 test, the deviations between the model count spectrum and the observed count spectrum. For observations with insignificant count data, upper limits to the flux are derived by fitting a power-law spectrum with a photon index of 2.0.

OSSE results for P5a and P5b were presented in Wehrle et al. (1998) but were reanalyzed for this work. For P3b, P6b, P8, and P9, the OSSE 50–150 keV fluxes or upper limits were obtained from routine automatic processing. For P2, P4, and P6a, the standard analysis was performed on sums of several viewing periods.

The COMPTEL experiment, mounted parallel to EGRET on CGRO, always observes simultaneously with EGRET but is sensitive to lower energy γ -rays, from 0.75 to 30 MeV. For details about the COMPTEL instrument see Schönfelder et al. (1993). The COMPTEL data have been analyzed following the standard COMPTEL procedures (see, e.g., Collmar et al. 2000a and references therein). The COMPTEL data of 1991 (P1) were previously presented in Hermsen et al. (1993), Williams et al. (1995), and Hartman et al. (1996); those of 1993 (P3a, P3b) in Collmar et al. (1996); those of 1996 (P5a, P5b) in Collmar et al. (2000b).

The EGRET instrument on *CGRO* is sensitive to γ -rays in the energy range 30–30,000 MeV. Its capabilities and calibration are described in Thompson et al. (1993) and Esposito et al. (1999). Point-source data are analyzed using likelihood techniques (Mattox et al. 1996). The data from P1 have been previously presented in Hartman et al. (1996), those from P2 in Maraschi et al. (1994), and those from P5a and P5b in Wehrle et al. (1998).

For the present work, the data from COMPTEL, EGRET, and OSSE have been completely reprocessed and reanalyzed; the results of the reanalysis are consistent with the earlier publications, although not identical.

3. GAMMA-RAY LIGHT CURVE AND MULTIWAVELENGTH SPECTRA

In order to illustrate the general activity level of 3C 279 at the time of each of the spectra shown below, the light curve of all EGRET observations of 3C 279 (E > 100 MeV) is shown in Figure 1. The fluxes shown vary by a factor of about 56, which is greater than the variation observed in any other frequency band over this time span. (Note that the γ -ray fluxes shown are from integrations over at least 1 week. However, the 1 day flux for 1996 February 4 was greater than 1000 on the same scale, making the total range of variation well over a factor of 100.) Dramatic variability on short timescales is a well-known characteristic of this object at all frequencies (see, e.g., Webb et al. 1990), but the amplitude seems to increase with frequency.

The 11 multiwavelength spectra assembled from the observations described above are shown in Figures 2a-2k with the model fits described in the next section. The CGRO



FIG. 1.—3C 279 light curve in γ -rays greater than 100 MeV, 1991–2000. Horizontal error bars show the length of each observation.

observation during 1991 October is not included in that figure because 3C 279 was too close to the Sun for observations to be made at lower frequencies.

4. MODELING

The broadband spectra were modeled with the pair plasma jet simulation code described in detail in Böttcher et al. (1997) and Böttcher & Bloom (2000). In this model, blobs of ultrarelativistic pair plasma are moving outward from the central accretion disk along a preexisting straight cylindrical jet structure, with relativistic speed βc and bulk Lorentz factor Γ (see Fig. 3). In the following, primed quantities refer to the rest frame comoving with the relativistic plasma blobs. At the time of injection into the jet at height z_i above the accretion disk, the pair plasma is assumed to have an isotropic power-law energy distribution in the comoving frame, with index p and low- and high-energy cutoffs $\gamma_1 \leq \gamma' \leq \gamma_2$. The blobs are spherical in the comoving frame, with radius R'_B , which does not change along the jet. A randomly oriented magnetic field $B' \leq B'_{ep}$ is present, where B'_{ep} is the magnetic field corresponding to equipartition with the energy density of pairs at the base of the jet. The observer is misaligned by an angle θ_{obs} with respect to the jet axis.

As the blob moves out, various radiation and cooling mechanisms are at work: synchrotron radiation, synchrotron self-Compton radiation (SSC; Marscher & Gear 1985; Maraschi, Ghisellini, & Celotti 1992; Bloom & Marscher 1996), and inverse Compton scattering of external radiation from the accretion disk, either entering the jet directly (external Compton scattering of direct disk radiation [ECD]; Dermer, Schlickeiser, & Mastichiadis 1992; Dermer & Schlickeiser 1993) or after being rescattered by surrounding (broad-line region [BLR]) material (external Compton scattering of radiation from clouds [ECC]; Sikora, Begelman, & Rees 1994; Blandford & Levinson 1995; Dermer, Sturner, & Schlickeiser 1997).

The contribution from Comptonization of infrared emission from heated dust in the circumnuclear region can become quite significant and even dominant over the ECC radiation in the soft γ -ray regime in the case of continuous reinjection or reacceleration of relativistic pairs in the jet because the energy density of this radiation field remains approximately constant over a much longer length scale than the radiation field due to accretion disk radiation reprocessed in the BLR (Blazejowski et al. 2001). However, in the case of instantaneous particle injection and subsequent cooling, considered in the model applied in this paper, that contribution is negligible since in this case the relativistic electrons have transformed virtually all their kinetic energy into radiation by the time the blob leaves the BLR.

The BLR is represented by a spherical layer of material with uniform density and total Thomson depth $\tau_{T,BLR}$, extending between the radii $r_{in,BLR} \leq r \leq r_{out,BLR}$ from the central black hole. The central accretion disk is radiating a standard Shakura-Sunyaev (1973) disk spectrum around a black hole of $1.5 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$. The total disk luminosity is assumed to be 10^{46} ergs s⁻¹. The full angle dependence of the disk radiation field, according to its radial temperature structure, is taken into account. Compton scattering of all radiation fields is calculated using the full Klein-Nishina cross section. The SSC mechanism is calculated to arbitrarily high scattering order.

After the initial injection of ultrarelativistic pairs, all of the mechanisms mentioned above are taken into account in order to follow the evolution of the electron/positron distribution functions and the time-dependent broadband emission as the blob moves out along the jet. In addition, $\gamma\gamma$ absorption intrinsic to the source and the reinjection of pairs due to $\gamma\gamma$ pair production is taken into account completely self-consistently using the exact analytic solution of Böttcher & Schlickeiser (1997) for the pair injection spectrum due to $\gamma\gamma$ absorption.

Given the necessary integration times of at least several days for EGRET to obtain spectral information (longer than the cooling time of pairs in the jet), we use the timeintegrated spectrum of our simulated relativistic blobs and reconvert the resulting fluence into a flux by dividing it by an average repetition time of blob ejection events. This repetition time determines the overall normalization of our model spectra, which is constrained by the requirement that subsequent blobs are not allowed to overlap.

The parameters that were fixed for modeling all 11 spectra are shown in Table 2. These are thought to be fairly well constrained by other observations or do not appear to affect significantly the values obtained for the parameters obtained from the modeling, which are shown in Table 3.

The model used here addresses only emission from the innermost portion of the jet. In that region, the centimeter wavelength radio emission is strongly synchrotron self-

TABLE 2

MODEL PARAMETERS	Fixed	FOR	All	Epochs
------------------	-------	-----	-----	--------

Parameter	Value
Accretion disk luminosity ^a (ergs s ⁻¹)	1046
Observing angle wrt jet (deg)	2
Thomson depth of BLR	0.003
Inner boundary of BLR (pc)	0.1
Outer boundary of BLR (pc)	0.4
Blob injection height (pc)	0.025
Blob radius (cm)	6×10^{16}
Magnetic field (G)	1.5

^a Although the parameters above were used also for P9, in that case only, it was necessary to reduce artificially the density of reprocessed disk photons to 25% of that calculated by the computer code.



FIG. 2.—Broadband 3C 279 spectra for the 11 time intervals listed in Table 1. *Filled triangle with error bars*: single measurement (or upper limit); vertical bar with no triangle: range of two or more measurements, including errors. The curves are for the model described in § 4 and Tables 2 and 3. *Short-dashed line* ($\sim 10^{15}$ Hz): thermal emission from the accretion disk; short-dashed line ($\sim 10^{21}$ Hz): disk emission Comptonized in the jet; long-dashed line: synchrotron radiation from the jet; dotted line: synchrotron self-Compton radiation from the jet; dotted line: BLR emission Comptonized in the jet.





FIG. 3.—Schematic showing the geometric properties of the model

absorbed. The centimeter fluxes seen in the spectra of Figure 2 are believed to come from much farther out along the jet, i.e., 1 pc to several parsecs from the central engine. That parsec-scale radio emission has been modeled by, for example, Hughes, Aller, & Aller (1991) and Carrara et al. (1993). With those models, much larger angles of the jet to the line of sight $(30^{\circ}-40^{\circ})$ have been found to be appropriate. The inner jet must be directed at smaller angle to the line of sight to be consistent with the observed superluminal motion. This change can be attributed to bending of the jet, which is seen as a common feature in VLBI maps of blazars.

In general, the millimeter radio emission in blazars seems to be more closely connected to the γ -ray variations, being either cospatially or slightly farther out along the jet (Reich et al. 1993; Valtaoja & Teräsranta 1996). Combining VLBI and total flux density variation data, Lähteenmäki & Valtaoja (1999) estimated that the jet Lorentz factor is about 9 and the viewing angle 2° , in good accordance with the values presented here.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

With the exception of P9, we find the same trends found by Mukherjee at al. (1999) for PKS 0528 + 134, i.e., that the high states are consistent with a higher bulk Lorentz factor and lower γ_1 (low-energy cutoff of the electron/positron spectrum). However, because the spectra for 3C 279 contain better simultaneous optical and X-ray coverage, with detailed X-ray spectral information, some of the parameters are better determined for 3C 279 than for PKS 0528 + 134, in particular the electron density and the cutoffs γ_1 and γ_2 .

	TABLE 3		
SUMMARY O	F PARAMETERS	FROM	FITS

Observation	Gamma-Ray State	γ_1	γ_2	р	n _e	$\Gamma_{\rm jet}$
P1	High; moderate flare	350	100×10^3	1.90	28	14
P2	Very low	1200	14×10^3	2.95	30	6
P3a	Moderate	700	15×10^3	2.10	25	6
P3b	Moderate	1500	20×10^3	3.10	15	5.5
P4	Low	1500	50×10^3	3.10	40	10
P5a	High; some variability	600	100×10^{3}	2.40	20	10
P5b	Very large flare	400	100×10^{3}	3.00	35	13
Рба	Low	750	30×10^{3}	2.50	10	4
P6b	Moderate	1350	15×10^3	2.70	10	5.5
P8	High; some variability	450	100×10^{3}	2.20	10	6
Р9	High; some variability	600	50×10^3	2.60	9	8

The broadband spectrum of 3C 279 during P9 constitutes a rather special case. In this spectrum, the level and index of the γ -ray points lead to difficulties with the modeling; therefore, the EGRET data for P9 were examined carefully to determine whether the effect might be due to unexpected instrument degradation. No such unexpected degradation was found. The EGRET performance was found to be very similar to that in P8. In addition, a spectrum of the Vela pulsar, from the EGRET observation immediately prior to P9, was found to be very similar to those observed previously throughout the *CGRO* mission. Therefore, the P9 EGRET spectrum was assumed to be correct.

In analogy to our fits to the other epochs, the P9 EGRET spectrum at ~1 GeV might be dominated by Comptonization of direct accretion disk radiation. Allowing for a finite contribution due to Comptonization of reprocessed accretion disk radiation at $E \ge 10$ GeV, and fitting simultaneously the optical (synchrotron) and COMPTEL/EGRET γ -ray spectra, we find a best-fit electron spectral index of p = 2.6 and a bulk Lorentz factor of $\Gamma = 8$ with the standard accretion disk spectrum used for the fits to the other observation epochs. The additional constraints of the sharp falloff of the γ -ray spectrum below ~10 MeV and the very hard X-ray spectrum, implying a vF_{γ} peak energy of the SSC component at $E_{\rm SSC} \ge 50$ keV, lead to a strong constraint on $\gamma_1 = 600$ and $n_e \approx 10$ cm⁻³ (for the fit presented in Fig. 2k, we actually use $n_e = 9$ cm⁻³).

However, with these values for γ_1 and Γ and the standard parameters for the accretion disk luminosity and the BLR structure, the multi-GeV γ -ray flux would be overestimated because of a strongly dominant contribution from the ECC component in this energy range. We have tried different combinations of the electron energy cutoffs, electron density, and the bulk Lorentz factor but did not find appropriate parameters to fit the P9 spectrum with the standard ECC parameters used for the other fits. The most convincing way to solve this problem is to assume variability of the accretion disk. Specifically, we assumed that during period P9, 3C 279 had just recovered from a temporary low state of the accretion flow. This could have led to a reduced flux in reprocessed accretion disk emission as the source for the ECC component. With a photon energy density in reprocessed accretion disk radiation of 25% of the value used for the other observing periods, we find a satisfactory fit to the P9 broadband spectrum.

The accretion disk low state postulated above should have been quite obvious in an optical spectrum of 3C 279 taken during the P9 EGRET observation, or during the previous few months. Attempts have been made to locate an observer who has taken such a spectrum during or around the relevant time period. The only optical spectrum known to us (A. Sillanpää et al. 2000, private communication) was taken in 2000 June, about 4 months after the P9 observations. At that time, 3C 279 showed no lines at all. Although conditions for that observation were far from ideal, the result provides support for the idea that reprocessed accretion disk emission could have been low at the time of the P9 EGRET observation.

Returning to the ensemble of 11 models, the electron pair spectral index p is seen to vary in a way that is apparently not related to the γ -ray flux state of the source (p is very well constrained by the synchrotron spectral index, so this is not an artifact of a special choice of parameters). Variations of n_e are of minor importance. In contrast to the fits to PKS

TABLE 4

PREDICTED PHOTON SPECTRAL INDICES
α and Integrated Unabsorbed
Fluxes (Greater than 50 GeV)
FROM OUR MODEL FITS TO THE
GAMMA-RAY HIGH STATES OF 3C 279

Period	α	$F_{>50 \text{ GeV}} (\text{ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$
P1	5.0	1.2×10^{-11}
P5a	5.0	1.3×10^{-12}
P5b	3.5	3.3×10^{-12}
P8	5.0	6.7×10^{-13}

0528 + 134, for 3C 279 the high-energy cutoff γ_2 is rather well constrained in most of the spectra because of the simultaneous optical and X-ray coverage and good X-ray spectral information.

Note that the thermal emission from the 3C 279 accretion disk is apparent only during states of low activity. This has been noted previously by Pian et al. (1999), who find evidence in IUE data for a thermal component underlying the beamed jet only during the lowest UV states.

It has been suggested (e.g., Stecker 1999) that 3C 279, being located relatively nearby (on a cosmological scale) at z = 0.538, would be a promising candidate for detection at multi-GeV energies (\gtrsim 50 GeV) with the new generation of air Cerenkov detector facilities, such as STACEE, CELESTE, VERITAS, or HESS (for a recent review of these detector developments see, e.g., Krennrich 1999), if the intrinsic spectrum of 3C 279 is a simple continuation of the best-fit power law to the EGRET spectrum of 3C 279 measured during bright γ -ray flares. However, our model fits predict that the intrinsic multi-GeV spectra even in the flaring states of 3C 279 are rather steep, with photon indices \gtrsim 3.5. Table 4 lists the predicted photon indices and integrated fluxes (not accounting for $\gamma\gamma$ absorption by the intergalactic infrared background radiation [IIBR]) at $E_{\nu} > 50$ GeV for the four γ -ray high states of periods P1, P5a, P5b, and P8. During the lower γ -ray states, no appreciable multi-GeV emission is predicted.

Stecker & de Jager (1998) calculate a $\gamma\gamma$ absorption opacity due to interactions of 50 GeV photons with the IIBR of $\tau_{\gamma\gamma} \sim 0.2$ –0.3, whereas the computations of Primack et al. (1999) yield a slightly higher value of $\tau_{\gamma\gamma} \sim$ 0.4–0.5. This leads to predicted fluxes (greater than 50 GeV) of $\leq 10^{-11}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹, even during extremely bright γ -ray flares. This is at least 1 order of magnitude lower than the projected thresholds of STACEE and VERITAS but might be reached by the MAGIC telescope (Krennrich 1999).

Tables 5–15 give the values of the data points plotted in Figure 2.

The work of M. Böttcher is supported by NASA through Chandra Postdoctoral Fellowship Award 9-10007, issued by the Chandra X-Ray Center, which is operated by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory for and on behalf of NASA under contract NAS 8-39073. The Nordic Optical Telescope is operated on the island of La Palma jointly by Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway, and Sweden in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de Los Muchachos of the TABLE 5

VALUES OF THE DATA POINTS PLOTTED IN FIGURE 2a

This table is available only on-line as a machine-readable table.

TABLE 6 VALUES OF THE DATA POINTS PLOTTED IN FIGURE 2b

This table is available only on-line as a machine-readable table.

TABLE 7

VALUES OF THE DATA POINTS PLOTTED IN FIGURE 2c

This table is available only on-line as a machine-readable table.

TABLE 8

VALUES OF THE DATA POINTS PLOTTED IN FIGURE 2d

This table is available only on-line as a machine-readable table.

TABLE 9 VALUES OF THE DATA POINTS PLOTTED IN FIGURE 2e

This table is available only on-line as a machine-readable table.

TABLE 10

VALUES OF THE DATA POINTS PLOTTED IN FIGURE 2f

This table is available only on-line as a machine-readable table.

TABLE 11 Values of the Data Points Plotted in Figure 2g

This table is available only on-line as a machine-readable table.

TABLE 12 VALUES OF THE DATA POINTS PLOTTED IN FIGURE 2h

This table is available only on-line as a machine-readable table.

TABLE 13

VALUES OF THE DATA POINTS PLOTTED IN FIGURE 2i

This table is available only on-line as a machine-readable table.

TABLE 14 VALUES OF THE DATA POINTS PLOTTED IN FIGURE 2j

This table is available only on-line as a machine-readable table.

TABLE 15	
VALUES OF THE DATA POINTS PLOTTED IN FIGURE $2k$	

This table is available only on-line as a machine-readable table.

Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias. The Tuorla Observatory authors wish to thank The Academy of Finland for support. The work at Torino Observatory was partly supported by the Italian Ministry for University and Research (MURST) under grant Cofin98-02-32 and by the Italian Space Agency (ASI). The Georgia State University (GSU) authors wish to thank Lowell and Mount Stromlo/Siding Spring Observatories for allocations of observing time. This work has been supported in part by an award from GSU's RPE Fund to PEGA and by grants from the Research Corporation and NASA (NAGW-4397). D. E. B. was a visiting astronomer at CTIO/NOAO, operated by AURA under a cooperative agreement with the NSF. NURO is operated by Lowell Observatory under an agreement with Northern Arizona University and the NURO consortium. D. E. B. and Franklin and Marshall College thank the University of Delaware Space Grant Colleges consortium for funding in support of NURO membership and observing runs. For this work, S. J. was supported by NSF grant AST 99-81546 to OVRO. The quasar monitoring at the Metsähovi Radio Observatory has been partly financed by The Academy of Finland. H. B., J. H., and S. J. W. acknowledge support by the DFG (SFB 328 and 439) and CAHA/DSAZ for support during several observing runs on Calar Alto. O. K. thanks the Astrophysikalisches Institute Potsdam for support. The JCMT is operated by the Joint Astronomy Center in Hilo, Hawaii on behalf of the parent organizations Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council in the United Kingdom, the National Research Council of Canada, and The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research. The operation and data analysis for the COMPTEL, EGRET, and OSSE instruments on CGRO were supported by NASA. IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Aller, H. D., Aller, M. F., Latimer, G. E., & Hodge, P. E. 1985, ApJS, 59, 513
- Baars, J. W. M., Genzel, R., Pauliny-Toth, I. I. K., & Witzel, A. 1977, A&A, 61, 99
- Blandford, R. D., & Levinson, A. 1995, ApJ, 441, 79
- Blazejowski, M., Sikora, M., Moderski, R., & Madejski, G. M. 2001, ApJ, in press
- Bloom, S. D., & Marscher, A. P. 1996, ApJ, 461, 657
- Boella, G., et al. 1997, A&AS, 122, 32
- Böttcher, M., & Bloom, S. D. 2000, AJ, 119, 469
- Böttcher, M., et al. 1997, A&A, 324, 395
- Böttcher, M., & Schlickeiser, R. 1997, A&A, 325, 866
- Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, ApJ, 345, 245 Carrara, E. A., Abraham, Z., Unwin, S. C., & Zensus, J. A. 1993, A&A, 279,
- 83

- Collmar, W., et al. 1996, A&AS, 120, 515
- 1997, in AIP Conf. Proc. 410, Proc. of the 4th Compton Symp., ed. C. D. Dermer, M. S. Strickman, & J. D. Kurfess (New York: AIP), 1341
- 2000a, A&A, 354, 513 2000b, in AIP Conf. Proc. 510, Proc. of the 5th Compton Symp.,
- ed. M. L. McConnell & J. M. Ryan (New York: AIP), 303
- Dermer, C. D., & Schlickeiser, R. 1993, ApJ, 416, 458
- Dermer, C. D., Schlickeiser, R., & Matichiadis, A. 1992, A&A, 256, L27 Dermer, C. D., Sturner, S. J., & Schlickeiser, R. 1997, ApJS, 109, 103
- Duncan, W. D., Robson, E. I., Ade, P. A. R., Griffin, M. J., & Sandell, G. 1990, MNRÁS, 243, 126
- Elvis, M., Lockman, F. J., & Wilkes, B. J. 1989, AJ, 97, 777 Esposito, J. A., et al. 1999, ApJS, 123, 203

- Frontera, F., Costa, E., Dal Fiume, D., Feroci, M., Nicastro, L., Orlandini, M., Palazzi, E., & Zavattini, G. 1997, A&AS, 122, 357

- Hartman, R. C., et al. 1992, ApJ, 385, L1

- Katajainen, S., et al. 2000, A&A3, 172, 357 Kniffen, D. A., et al. 1993, ApJ, 411, 133 Koratkar, A., Pian, E., Urry, C. M., & Pesce, J. E. 1998, ApJ, 492, 173 Krennrich, F. 1999, Astropart. Phys., 11, 235
- Kubo, H. 1997, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Tokyo, available as ISAS Research Note RN-679 Kubo, H., et al. 1998, ApJ, 504, 693
- Kurtanidze, O. M., & Nikolashvili, M. G. 1999, in Proc. of the OJ-94 Annual Meeting 1999, Blazar Monitoring toward the Third Millennium, ed. C. M. Raiteri, M. Villata, & L. O. Takalo (Pino Torinese: Osservato-rio Astronomico di Torino), 25

- Lähteenmäki, A., & Valtaoja, E. 1999, ApJ, 521, 493 Landolt, A. U. 1992, AJ, 104, 34 Lanteri, L. 1999, in OJ-94 Annual Meeting 1999, Blazar Monitoring toward the Third Millennium, ed. C. M. Raiteri, M. Villata, & L. O.
- Takalo (Pino Torinese: Osservatorio Astronomico di Torino), 125 Lawson, A. J., McHardy, I. M., & Marscher, A. P. 1999, MNRAS, 306, 247 Maraschi, L., et al. 1994, ApJ, 435, L91 Maraschi, L., Ghisellini, G., & Celotti, A. 1992, ApJ, 397, L5 Marscher, A. P., & Gear, W. 1985, ApJ, 298, 114 Matthews, H. E. 1991, The James Clerk Maxwell Telescope: A Guide for the Dregenstring Lagr (Ula Lagist Astronomy Contex)

- the Prospective User (Hilo: Joint Astronomy Center)

- Mattox, J. R., et al. 1996, ApJ, 461, 396 Mukherjee, R., et al. 1999, ApJ, 527, 132 Noble, J. C., Carini, M. T., Miller, H. R., & Goodrich, B. 1997, AJ, 113, 1995
- Padin, S., et al. 1991, PASP, 103, 461
- Parmar, A. N., et al. 1997, A&AS, 122, 309 Peng, B., Kraus, A., Krichbaum, T. P., & Witzel, A. 2000, A&AS, 145, 1 Pian, E., et al. 1999, ApJ, 521, 112

- Primack, J. R., Bulock, J. S., Somerville, R. S., & MacMinn, D. 1999, Astropart. Phys., 11, 93
- Raiteri, C. M., Villata, M., Lanteri, L., Cavallone, M., & Sobrito, G. 1998, A&AS, 130, 495
- Reich, W., Reich, P., Pohl, M., Kothes, R., & Schlickeiser, R. 1998, A&AS, 131, 11
- Reich, W., Steppe, H., Schlickeiser, R., Reich, P., Pohl, M., Reuter, H. P., Kanbach, G., & Schönfelder, V. 1993, A&A, 273, 65 Reuter, H.-P., et al. 1997, A&AS, 122, 271 Rieke, G. H., & Lebofsky, M. J. 1985, ApJ, 288, 618 Robson, E. I., et al. 1993, MNRAS, 262, 249 Sambruna, R. M. 1997, ApJ, 487, 536 Schörfelder, V. et al. 1002, ApJ, 566, 657

- Schönfelder, V., et al. 1993, ApJS, 86, 657 Scoville, N. Z., Carlstrom, J. E., Chandler, C. J., Phillips, J. A., Scott, S. L., Tilanus, R. P. J., & Wang, Z. 1993, PASP, 105, 1482
- Shakura, N. I., & Sunyaev, R. A. 1973, A&A, 24, 337
- Sikora, M., Begelman, M. C., & Rees, M. J. 1994, ApJ, 421, 153 Smith, P. S., & Balonek, T. J. 1998, PASP, 110, 1164 Smith, P. S., Balonek, T. J., Heckert, P. A., Elston, R., & Schmidt, G. D.
- 1985, AJ, 90, 1184
- Stecker, F. W. 1999, Astropart. Phys., 11, 83
 Stecker, F. W., & de Jager, O. C. 1998, A&A, 334, L85
 Steppe, H., Salter, C. J., Chini, R., Kreysa, E., Brunswig, W., & Lobato Perez, J. 1988, A&AS, 75, 317
- Teräsranta, H., et al. 1998, A&AS, 132, 305
- Thompson, D. J., et al. 1996, A&AS, 152, 565 Tornikoski, M., et al. 1996, A&AS, 116, 157

- Tornikoski, M., et al. 1996, A&AS, 116, 157 Tosti, G., Pascolini, S., & Fiorucci, M. 1996, PASP, 108, 706 Valtaoja, E., & Teräsranta, H. 1996, A&AS, 120, 491 Villata, M., et al. 1997, A&AS, 121, 119 Villata, M., Raiteri, C. M., De Francesco, G., Lanteri, L., & Ghisellini, G. 1998, in Proc. OJ-94 Annual Meeting 1997, Multifrequency Monitoring of Blazars, ed. G. Tosti & L. Takalo (Perugia: Publicazioni Osservatorio Astronomico Università di Perugia), 121 Webb, J. R., et al. 1990, AJ, 100, 1452 Wehrle, A. E., et al. 1998, ApJ, 497, 178 Welch, W. J., et al. 1996, PASP, 108, 93 Williams, O. R., et al. 1995, A&A, 298, 33

- Williams, O. R., et al. 1995, A&A, 298, 33