## The SAURON project - XVIII. The integrated UV-linestrength relations of early-type galaxies

Martin Bureau,<sup>1\*</sup> Hyunjin Jeong,<sup>2</sup> Sukyoung K. Yi,<sup>2</sup><sup>†</sup> Kevin Schawinski,<sup>3</sup><sup>‡</sup> Ryan C. W. Houghton,<sup>1</sup> Roger L. Davies,<sup>1</sup> Roland Bacon,<sup>4</sup> Michele Cappellari,<sup>1</sup> P. Tim de Zeeuw,<sup>5,6</sup> Eric Emsellem,<sup>4,5</sup> Jesús Falcón-Barroso,<sup>7</sup> Davor Krajnović,<sup>5</sup> Harald Kuntschner,<sup>8</sup> Richard M. McDermid,<sup>9</sup> Reynier F. Peletier,<sup>10</sup> Marc Sarzi,<sup>11</sup> Young-Jong Sohn,<sup>2</sup> Daniel Thomas,<sup>12</sup> Remco C. E. van den Bosch,<sup>13</sup> and Glenn van de Ven<sup>13</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sub-Department of Astrophysics, University of Oxford, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, U.K.

Yale Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, P.O. Box 208121, New Haven, CT 06520, U.S.A

<sup>4</sup> Université de Lyon 1, CRAL, Observatoire de Lyon, 9 av. Charles André, F-69230 Saint-Genis Laval; CNRS, UMR 5574; ENS de Lyon, France

<sup>5</sup>European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>6</sup>Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, Niels Bohrweg 2, 2333 CA Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>7</sup>Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Vía Láctea s/n, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain;

Departamento de Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna (ULL), E-38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

<sup>8</sup>Space Telescope European Coordinating Facility, European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany <sup>9</sup>Gemini Observatory, 670 North A'Ohoku Place, Hilo, Hawaii 96720, U.S.A.

- <sup>10</sup>Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 800, 9700 AV Groningen, The Netherlands
- <sup>11</sup>Centre for Astrophysics Research, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, U.K.
- <sup>12</sup> Institute of Cosmology and Gravitation, Mercantile House, Hampshire Terrace, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth PO1 2EG, U.K. <sup>13</sup> Map Planck Institute for Action and D 60117 Heidelberg, Carmony

 $^{13}\,Max$  Planck Institute for Astronomy, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany

7 February 2011

#### ABSTRACT

Using far (FUV) and near (NUV) ultraviolet photometry from guest investigator programmes on the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX) satellite, optical photometry from the MDM Observatory and optical integral-field spectroscopy from SAURON, we explore the UV-linestrength relations of the 48 nearby early-type galaxies in the SAURON sample. Identical apertures are used for all quantities, avoiding aperture mismatch. We show that galaxies with purely old stellar populations show well-defined correlations of the integrated FUV-V and FUV-NUV colours with the integrated Mg b and  $H\beta$  absorption linestrength indices, strongest for FUV-NUV. Correlations with the NUV-V colour, Fe5015 index and stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma$  are much weaker. These correlations put stringent constraints on the origin of the UV-upturn phenomenon in early-type galaxies, and highlight its dependence on age and metallicity. In particular, despite recent debate, we recover the negative correlation between FUV-V colour and Mg linestrength originally publicised by Burstein et al. (1988), which we refer to as the "Burstein relation", suggesting a positive dependence of the UV-upturn on metallicity. We argue that the scatter in the correlations is real, and present mild evidence that a strong UV excess is preferentially present in slow-rotating galaxies. We also demonstrate that most outliers in the correlations are galaxies with current or recent star formation, some at very low levels. We believe that this sensitivity to weak star formation, afforded by the deep and varied data available for the SAURON sample, explains why our results are occasionally at odds with other recent but shallower surveys. This is supported by the analysis of a large, carefully-crafted sample of more distant early-type galaxies from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS), more easily comparable with current and future large surveys.

**Key words:** galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, cD – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: photometry – galaxies: stellar content – galaxies: structure – ultraviolet: galaxies

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Astronomy, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, Korea

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, U.S.A.;

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Far-ultraviolet (FUV) radiation was first discovered in earlytype galaxies by the Orbiting Astronomical Observatory-2 in 1969 (Code et al. 1972). It was rapidly realised that, below  $\approx 2000$  Å, many early-types show spatially extended rising flux for decreasing wavelengths (e.g. Code & Welch 1979; Bertola et al. 1980), a surprising behaviour for presumably old stellar populations. This spawned a debate on the origin of this UV upturn that continues to this day.

The leading hypothesis suggests that this flux is due to hot stars on an extreme horizontal branch (EHB) and their progeny (e.g. asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars manqué), either metal-poor (e.g., Lee, Demarque & Zinn 1994; Park & Lee 1997; see also Buzzoni & González-Lópezlira 2008) or metal-rich (e.g. Greggio & Renzini 1990; Horch, Demarque & Pinsonneault 1992; Bressan, Chiosi & Fagotto 1994; Dorman, O'Connell & Rood 1995; Yi, Demarque & Kim 1997), with possible significant effects from He enhancement (e.g. Lee et al. 2005b; Kaviraj et al. 2007). Binary star systems offer another compelling possibility, with limited dependence on age and metallicity (e.g. Maxted et al. 2001; Han et al. 2003; Han, Podsiadlowski & Lynas-Gray 2007). Post-AGB (PAGB) stars in the planetary nebula stage also emit FUV radiation, but they are generally thought to be too hot, too few and to have too short a lifetime to explain the observed fluxes alone (e.g. Greggio & Renzini 1990; Dorman et al. 1995; Brown et al. 1997). Clearly, very young stars in star-forming galaxies can also emit in the FUV (see, e.g., Ferreras & Silk 2000; Yi et al. 2005), but they necessarily have evolving properties (e.g. characteristic temperature of the UV spectrum), they have different spectral signatures from those normally observed in UV-upturn galaxies (e.g. strong C IV lines) and they are expected to be clumpy, none of which is supported by observations. A thorough review of these issues is available in O'Connell (1999), while Brown (2004) and Yi (2008) provide more recent but shorter overviews. See Heber (2009) for a review of hot subdwarf stars.

In addition to its roughly constant spectral slope ( $T_{\rm eff} \approx$ 20,000 K; Brown et al. 1997), one of the main constraints on the possible origins of the UV upturn is its systematic dependence on bulk galaxy properties. Although mentioned in Faber (1983), Burstein et al. (1988, hereafter BBBFL88) are generally considered the first to have systematically investigated the dependence of the UV upturn on the stellar population and dynamical properties of a large sample of early-type galaxies. BBBFL88 found clear non-linear correlations of the UV-optical colours with both the absorption linestrength index  $Mg_2$  (see Faber et al. 1985) and the central stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma$ . In memory of David Burstein and in view of the long-lasting impact of BBBFL88, we will hereafter refer to the former correlation as the "Burstein relation". These correlations have formed the basis of much of the observational and theoretical work on the UV upturn to date. Crucially, contrary to broadband optical and near-infrared colours, the Mg<sub>2</sub> linestrength was found to be stronger for *bluer* UV-optical colours, i.e. galaxies become bluer with increasing metallicity in the UV. Globular clusters show different correlations, pointing to a slightly different origin for the UV radiation (e.g. Dorman et al. 1995; Sohn et al. 2006; but see also Lee, Gim & Casetti-Dinescu 2007).

The recent availability of large-area, deep UV imaging from the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX) satellite has added another layer of complexity to the problem. Observations of large samples of early-type galaxies from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) have revealed that a very large fraction of them (over 30%) have remarkably strong nearultraviolet (NUV) and FUV emission. Yi et al. (2005) initially concluded that the majority of UV-blue early-type galaxies were inconsistent with exhibiting a classic UV upturn, and more likely experienced residual star formation. Schawinski et al. (2007) and Kaviraj et al. (2007) elaborated on this with larger samples, the main conclusion being that residual star formation of a few percent of the stellar mass is very common among early-type galaxies. The UV emission regularly found in early-type galaxies is simply too powerful to be accounted for by any current model of the UV upturn, and is far more powerful than anything found in traditional UV-upturn galaxies such as NGC 1399 and NGC 4552.

Some recent work has also thrown the results of BBBFL88 into doubt. In particular, for a very large sample of local early-type galaxies with GALEX UV imaging and SDSS optical spectroscopy, Rich et al. (2005) report no correlation of the FUV-r colour against either the metallicitysensitive Mg<sub>2</sub> and D4000 indices or the stellar velocity dispersion, even after attempting to remove non-early-type and star-forming galaxies from their sample. If anything, a slight correlation opposite to the Burstein relation is observed. Deharveng, Boselli & Donas (2002) report similar findings, although without active galactic nucleus (AGN) or starformation cuts and using a UV filter centred on 2000 Å, where the UV upturn phenomenon may not dominate. Using data on nearby galaxies but sorting according to morphological type, Donas et al. (2007) do find correlations for elliptical galaxies but those for lenticulars are weak at best, with much scatter and many outliers. Boselli et al. (2005) recover weak correlations analogous to those of BBBFL88 for Virgo Cluster early-type members, but it is unclear whether the correlations extend to low-luminosity systems. If these recent results prove to be robust, much of the theoretical work on the UV upturn will need to be revised, particularly its dependence on metallicity.

To sort out possible discrepancies between the above, as much information as possible is required on the sample galaxies under consideration, particularly regarding possible low-level star formation. We thus consider two samples here. First, the SAURON sample of 48 nearby elliptical and lenticular galaxies (see Table 1; de Zeeuw et al. 2002, hereafter Paper II), for which detailed integralfield maps of the stellar kinematics (Emsellem et al. 2004, hereafter Paper III), stellar absorption linestrengths and populations (Kuntschner et al. 2006, hereafter Paper VI; Kuntschner et al. 2010, hereafter Paper XVII) and ionised gas distribution and kinematics (Sarzi et al. 2006, hereafter Paper V; Sarzi et al. 2010, hereafter Paper XVI) are available, along with detailed dynamical modeling (e.g. Cappellari et al. 2006, 2007; Emsellem et al. 2007, hereafter Paper IV, Paper X and Paper IX, respectively). The molecular gas content (Combes, Young & Bureau 2007; Crocker et al. 2010), UV emission (Jeong et al. 2009, hereafter Paper XIII) and mid-infrared (MIR) emission (Temi, Brighenti & Mathews 2009; Shapiro et al. 2010, hereafter Paper XV) of the SAURON sample have also been investigated, to more directly probe its star formation properties. Second, we construct a SDSS sample similar to those of Rich et al. (2005) and Donas et al. (2007), but apply much more stringent cuts to remove star-forming galaxies and low quality data. In both cases, we recover the relationships originally suggested by BBBFL88 for the non-star-forming (i.e. quiescent) galaxies only, while including galaxies with even a very low level of star formation considerably blurs the relations or can even give rise to trends in the opposite sense.

In Section 2, we present the photometric and spectroscopic data and related analysis. Section 3 presents the UV– linestrength relations for the SAURON sample while Section 4 discusses the effects of star formation on them. The SDSS sample is presented and discussed in Section 5. We bring all our results together in Section 6 and conclude briefly in Section 7.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Although spatially-resolved data provide in principle a more powerful discriminant of UV-upturn theories, we focus here on integrated quantities as they provide a link with past work (particularly BBBFL88) and offer a crucial benchmark for future studies at high redshifts. The latter in particular are essential to probe the evolution of the UV-upturn with look-back time (and thus turnoff mass), an important test of single versus binary stellar origins (see, e.g., Yi et al. 1999; Lee et al. 2005a; Ree et al. 2007). The two-dimensional nature of the SAURON data will be more fully exploited in a companion paper (Jeong et al., in preparation).

Paper VI showed that, to first order, iso-index contours follow a galaxy light (although they are slightly flatter than the isophotes for Mg b in  $\approx 40\%$  of the cases). We therefore adopt elliptical apertures to measure integrated quantities throughout this paper. We will generally refer to quantities (magnitudes and linestrengths) integrated within ellipses of semi-major axis  $R_e/2$ , where  $R_e$  is the effective radius of the galaxy (i.e. the radius of the aperture encompassing half the galaxy light). A radius of  $R_e/2$  is ideal for our work as it is large enough to accurately represent global values, yet it is small enough that the corresponding elliptical apertures are fully covered by the SAURON field-of-view (FOV) for most objects ( $\approx 80\%$ ). Other interesting radii include  $R_e/8$  (i.e. central values) and  $R_e$  (global values), although the latter requires a small extrapolation for  $\approx 60\%$  of the objects.

## 2.1 UV photometry

All 48 SAURON early-type galaxies except seven were observed in FUV (1350–1750 Å) and/or NUV (1750–2750 Å) with the Medium imaging mode of *GALEX* (see Martin et al. 2005; Morrissey et al. 2005). A total of 17 galaxies were observed as part of our own UV imaging survey of the SAURON sample (*GALEX* guest investigator programmes GI1\_109 and GI3\_041) and another 17 as part of the *GALEX* team Nearby Galaxy Survey (NGS; Gil de Paz et al. 2005). All these objects were observed for at least one orbit (typically 1500– 1700 s) and have excellent data for any aperture up to  $R_{\rm e}$ .

Table 1. SAURON sample data

Galaxy	$\mathrm{FUV}_{Re/2}$	$\mathrm{NUV}_{Re/2}$	$V_{Re/2}$	E(B-V)	$\mathrm{Mg}b_{R\mathrm{e}/2}$	$Fe5015_{Re/2}$	${\rm H}\beta_{Re/2}$	$\sigma_{ m e}$	Survey
	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(Å)	(Å)	(Å)	$({\rm km \ s^{-1}})$	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
NGC0474	$19.46\pm0.18$	$17.92\pm0.07$	$12.70\pm0.05$	0.034	3.65	4.65	1.87	142	Ν
NGC0524	$18.17 \pm 0.21$	$16.99 \pm 0.12$	$11.21 \pm 0.03$	0.083	4.22	5.07	1.60	225	G
NGC0821	$18.96\pm0.26$	$17.40 \pm 0.10$	$11.88 \pm 0.03$	0.110	3.90	4.54	1.71	182	G
NGC1023	$17.45 \pm 0.07$	$16.19 \pm 0.04$	$10.61 \pm 0.02$	0.061	4.26	4.85	1.62	165	Ν
NGC2695	$19.17\pm0.08$	$18.28 \pm 0.06$	$12.87\pm0.04$	0.018	4.08	4.17	1.41	184	G
NGC2699	$19.98 \pm 0.10$	$18.91 \pm 0.05$	$13.31 \pm 0.05$	0.020	3.75	4.74	1.87	123	G
NGC2768	$18.15 \pm 0.16$	$16.82 \pm 0.08$	$11.25 \pm 0.04$	0.044	3.81	4.40	1.70	200	Ν
NGC2974	$18.54 \pm 0.07$	$17.64 \pm 0.05$	$12.10 \pm 0.04$	0.054	4.23	4.84	1.77	227	G
NGC3032	$16.53 \pm 0.01$	$15.79 \pm 0.02$	$13.25 \pm 0.05$	0.017	1.79	3.60	4.46	90	G
NGC3156	$18.70 \pm 0.22$	$17.36 \pm 0.08$	$13.35 \pm 0.03$	0.034	1.79	3.47	3.39	66	А
NGC3414	$18.01 \pm 0.24$	$17.02 \pm 0.10$	$12.03 \pm 0.03$	0.024	3.97	4.43	1.61	191	А
NGC3489	$18.24 \pm 0.10$	$16.56 \pm 0.03$	$11.81 \pm 0.02$	0.017	2.49	4.32	2.74	99	Α
NGC3608	$18.26 \pm 0.40$	$17.10 \pm 0.16$	$12.02 \pm 0.04$	0.021	3.90	4.62	1.73	167	А
NGC4150	$18.73 \pm 0.04$	$17.46 \pm 0.02$	$13.01 \pm 0.03$	0.018	2.26	3.81	3.22	77	Ν
NGC4262	$19.50\pm0.08$	$18.74 \pm 0.04$	$13.37 \pm 0.02$	0.035	4.15	4.40	1.54	164	А
NGC4278	$17.01 \pm 0.03$	$16.40 \pm 0.03$	$11.29 \pm 0.02$	0.029	4.42	4.34	1.56	217	Ν
NGC4374	$16.52 \pm 0.07$	$15.54 \pm 0.05$	$10.19 \pm 0.03$	0.040	4.27	4.54	1.52	261	Ν
NGC4387	$20.20 \pm 0.14$	$18.66 \pm 0.06$	$13.22 \pm 0.02$	0.033	3.72	4.40	1.69	98	Ν
NGC4458	$20.21 \pm 0.26$	$18.40 \pm 0.08$	$13.07 \pm 0.05$	0.024	3.36	3.84	1.71	83	Ν
NGC4459	$17.64 \pm 0.06$	$16.41 \pm 0.04$	$11.41 \pm 0.03$	0.046	3.60	4.54	2.02	155	G
NGC4473	$18.35 \pm 0.06$	$17.09 \pm 0.03$	$11.45 \pm 0.02$	0.028	4.18	4.76	1.62	186	Ν
NGC4477	$18.09 \pm 0.11$	$16.87 \pm 0.06$	$11.46 \pm 0.04$	0.032	4.00	4.69	1.67	147	Ν
NGC4486	$15.12 \pm 0.06$	$14.59 \pm 0.07$	$9.76 \pm 0.04$	0.022	4.74	4.38	1.27	268	Ν
NGC4526	$17.59 \pm 0.05$	$16.12 \pm 0.03$	$11.04 \pm 0.03$	0.022	4.23	4.82	1.77	214	G
NGC4546	$18.87\pm0.07$	$17.41 \pm 0.04$	$11.70 \pm 0.03$	0.034	4.18	4.60	1.63	189	Ν
NGC4550	$19.08 \pm 0.05$	$18.02 \pm 0.03$	$13.18 \pm 0.02$	0.039	3.03	4.18	2.14	103	Ν
NGC4552	$16.45 \pm 0.02$	$16.03 \pm 0.03$	$11.05 \pm 0.02$	0.041	4.60	5.07	1.58	233	Ν
NGC4564	$18.15 \pm 0.04$	$17.45 \pm 0.03$	$12.27\pm0.04$	0.035	4.24	4.92	1.67	150	Ν
NGC4570	$18.85\pm0.04$	$17.67 \pm 0.03$	$12.18 \pm 0.03$	0.022	4.11	4.67	1.56	167	G
NGC4621	$17.26 \pm 0.05$	$16.27 \pm 0.04$	$10.94 \pm 0.04$	0.033	4.30	4.64	1.56	200	G
NGC4660	$19.21 \pm 0.23$	$17.90 \pm 0.08$	$12.41 \pm 0.02$	0.033	4.24	4.70	1.59	181	А
NGC5198	$19.31 \pm 0.10$	$18.42 \pm 0.07$	$13.06 \pm 0.03$	0.023	4.13	4.65	1.64	173	G
NGC5308	$20.41 \pm 0.08$	$19.21 \pm 0.04$	$13.49 \pm 0.02$	0.018	4.34	4.78	1.58	201	G
NGC5813	$18.25 \pm 0.16$	$17.07 \pm 0.09$	$11.87\pm0.05$	0.057	4.26	4.66	1.64	210	G
NGC5831	$19.09 \pm 0.18$	$17.64 \pm 0.09$	$12.30 \pm 0.04$	0.059	3.59	4.66	1.89	148	G
NGC5838	$18.47\pm0.08$	$17.30 \pm 0.04$	$12.05 \pm 0.03$	0.053	4.25	4.85	1.68	232	G
NGC5845	$19.99 \pm 0.08$	$18.86 \pm 0.04$	$13.29 \pm 0.05$	0.053	4.36	4.96	1.65	237	Ν
NGC5846	$17.02\pm0.14$	$16.20 \pm 0.10$	$11.11 \pm 0.05$	0.055	4.54	4.78	1.42	213	Ν
NGC5982	$18.55\pm0.06$	$17.66\pm0.04$	$12.31\pm0.03$	0.018	4.13	5.05	1.74	223	G
NGC7332	$21.91\pm0.79$	$18.70\pm0.10$	$12.78\pm0.01$	0.037	3.33	4.97	2.29	125	Α
NGC7457	$19.32\pm0.42$	$16.99\pm0.10$	$11.98\pm0.04$	0.052	2.83	4.36	2.36	75	G

Columns: (2)–(4) and (6)–(8): Quantities integrated within elliptical apertures of semi-major radii  $R_e/2$ ; (2)–(4) have been corrected for Galactic extinction using (5) and the errors are based on Poisson statistics and our sky subtraction. The adopted formal random error on the values in (6)–(8) is 0.1 Å (see Paper VI). (9) Effective stellar velocity dispersion within  $R_e$  from Paper XVII, with an adopted error of 5%. (10) Origin of data: A=All-sky Imaging Survey, G=own guest investigator programmes, N=Nearby Galaxy Survey.

The remaining 7 galaxies have only short exposures (typically 100–150 s) from the *GALEX* team All-sky Imaging Survey (AIS; see Martin et al. 2005), and magnitudes integrated within  $R_e$  are less reliable. The resulting sample is listed in Table 1. The data reduction, analysis and products are described more fully in a separate paper (Paper XIII), so we only summarise our processing here.

We used the pre-processed images delivered from the GALEX pipeline but performed our own sky subtraction by measuring the background level in source-free regions of the images. The spatial resolution is roughly 4".5 and 6".0 FWHM at FUV and NUV, respectively, sampled with 1".5 × 1".5 pixels. To avoid spurious colour gradients (especially in the in-

ner parts), we thus convolved the FUV data to the spatial resolution of the NUV observations before any analysis.

We carried out surface photometry by measuring the surface brightness within elliptical annuli in the standard manner, using the ELLIPSE task in IRAF (Image Reduction and Analysis Facility). To derive accurate colours, the ellipses were fitted to the NUV images only (with the better signal-to-noise ratio S/N), and those ellipses were then imposed on the FUV images. Photometric zero-points were taken from Morrissey et al. (2005) and the data were corrected for Galactic extinction using the extinction law of Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis (1989). We take the E(B - V) value for each galaxy as tabulated in the NASA/IPAC Extra-

galactic Database (NED) from Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998). For this paper, only the FUV and NUV surface brightness profiles integrated within  $R_{\rm e}/2$  are discussed, but we have checked that analogous results stand with apertures of  $R_{\rm e}/8$  and  $R_{\rm e}$ . The integrated UV magnitudes corrected for Galactic extinction are listed in Table 1, along with errors based on Poisson statistics and our sky subtraction (all UV magnitudes are AB magnitudes).

## 2.2 Optical photometry

Optical images in the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) filter F555W (similar to Johnson V) were obtained for the entire SAURON sample using the MDM Observatory 1.3-m McGraw-Hill Telescope over several observing runs in 2002– 2005. The field-of-view of the MDM images is  $17.3 \times 17.3$ with  $0.508 \times 0.508$  pixels, permitting excellent sky subtraction and proper sampling of the seeing. The MDM observations are described in Falćon-Barroso et al. (in preparation). They were reduced in the standard manner in IRAF. The photometric calibration was obtained by observing photometric standard stars from Landolt (1992) over a range of air masses, resulting in a systematic calibration uncertainty of 0.03 mag. Like the FUV data, the MDM images were convolved to the spatial resolution of the NUV data before any analysis.

Again like the FUV data, the surface brightness profiles for the MDM data were measured only after imposing the NUV ellipses, ensuring accurate UV–optical colours. Magnitudes integrated within elliptical apertures of semi-major axis  $R_e/2$  (identical to the UV apertures) were then calculated and corrected for Galactic extinction. The integrated and corrected V magnitudes (and errors) are listed in Table 1.

#### 2.3 SAURON linestrengths and kinematics

All linestrength measurements come from the SAURON data published in Paper VI, updated in Paper XVII. The observations and data reduction were thoroughly discussed there and will not be repeated here. While BBBFL88 strived to derive integrated V magnitudes within apertures equivalent to the roughly  $11'' \times 22''$  rectangular aperture of the International Ultraviolet Observer (IUE), they had no choice but to use essentially central measurements from slit spectroscopy for Mg<sub>2</sub> and  $\sigma$ . A significant improvement in the current work is that integral-field spectroscopy allows us to measure linestrength indices over exactly the same apertures as the UV and optical photometry.

Paper XVII lists linestrength values integrated within circular apertures of radii  $R_e/8$  and  $R_e$ , but we again use here NUV-defined elliptical apertures of semi-major axes  $R_e/2$ , as done in Sections 2.1 and 2.2, to avoid potential aperture mismatch problems. We use simple luminosity-weighted linestrength averages of all the bins within the apertures. The resulting integrated linestrength values are listed in Table 1. While not formally equivalent, we show in Appendix A that these measurements are as robust as those obtained by summing the spectra of all the bins concerned and rederiving the linestrengths from scratch. We therefore adopt the same uncertainty for our integrated linestrengths as Paper XVII, a formal random error of 0.1 Å (maximum) for all measurements.

Since linestrengths are known to correlate with the central velocity dispersion of galaxies (e.g. Terlevich et al. 1981; Burstein et al. 1988; Bernardi et al. 2003), following BBBFL88 we also explore the dependence of the UVoptical colours on  $\sigma$ . For that, we do not use central velocity dispersion measurements as is usually done, but rather again use properly integrated measurements obtained by summing all the spectra within a given aperture, and remeasuring the stellar kinematics (assuming a pure Gaussian line-of-sight velocity distribution (LOSVD), i.e. no high order Gauss-Hermite terms). Such stellar velocity dispersion measurements are already available in Paper IV (updated in Paper XVII) for a circular aperture of radius  $R_{\rm e}$ , and the extrapolation required when the field-of-view of SAURON does not fully cover  $R_{\rm e}$  is detailed there. The resulting "effective" stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma_{\rm e}$  is truly the luminosityweighted second moment of the LOSVD within  $R_{\rm e}$ , and is a good approximation to the second velocity moment which appears in the virial equation. Integrated velocity dispersions only weakly depend on the aperture used, so we do not re-calculate new values for the elliptical apertures used throughout this paper, but instead simply adopt the values and uncertainties listed in Paper XVII (see Table 1).

## **3 UV RELATIONS**

When exploring possible correlations between stellar absorption linestrengths and broadband photometry, our main advantage over all previous studies, including BBBFL88, Rich et al. (2005) and Donas et al. (2007), is the availability not only of UV imaging, but most importantly of integralfield spectroscopy for all targets. All photometric and spectroscopic measurements are thus made on identical apertures, as described in Section 2.

Figure 1 shows the distance-independent correlations between the FUV-V colour and the linestrength indices Mg b, Fe5015 and H $\beta$ . Although the transformation of Mg b, Fe5015 and H $\beta$  to stellar age, metallicity and  $\alpha$ -element (over-)abundance is model dependent,  $H\beta$  is primarily a tracer of age. For the range of metallicities present in earlytype galaxies and considering various stellar population synthesis models (e.g. Thomas et al. 2003; Schiavon 2007), an  $H\beta$  linestrength of 1.8 Å corresponds to single stellar population luminosity-weighted ages of roughly 5 to 10 Gyrs (see, e.g., Figure 3 in Paper XVII). This linestrength value thus represents a good choice to separate young from old galaxies, or rather primarily old galaxies with a significant amount of recent star formation and young stars from primarily old galaxies with no or a minimal additional young stellar population. Changing this threshold value slightly does not significantly affect any of our results. To highlight the effects of recent star formation in Figure 1, galaxies with  $H\beta > 1.8$  Å, that are inconsistent with a purely old stellar population, are therefore plotted as crosses. Galaxies with no or minimal (in a luminosity-weighted sense) young stellar populations  $(H\beta \leq 1.8 \text{ Å})$  are plotted as filled circles.

There is no physical reason why a linear relation should exist between linestrengths and UV excess, but linear fits to the data with  $H\beta \leq 1.8$  Å are shown as solid lines to guide

Colour Line Slope Zero-point Scatter (mag) (Å)  $(\text{Å mag}^{-1})$ (A) (Å) FUV-V $\operatorname{Mg} b$  $6.75 \pm 0.57$ 0.15 $-0.40 \pm 0.09$ Fe 0.22 $-0.07 \pm 0.11$  $5.11\pm0.75$  $H\beta$  $0.12 \pm 0.05$  $0.83\pm0.32$ 0.08 FUV-NUV  $\operatorname{Mg} b$  $-0.64 \pm 0.12$  $4.89\pm0.14$ 0.15Fe  $-0.21 \pm 0.17$  $4.89 \pm 0.19$ 0.21Hβ  $0.20\pm0.08$  $1.40\pm0.09$ 0.07

 Table 2. Parameters of the best-fit linear UV-linestrength relations for old galaxies only

the eye. The value of the correlation coefficient is reported in the bottom right corner of each panel, and the parameters of the best-fit lines are reported in Table 2, all taking errors properly into account. Lastly, the symbols are colourcoded according to the effective stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma_{\rm e}$ , increasing from purple to red (Paper XVII).

## 3.1 Burstein relation: FUV-V vs. Mg b

The top panel of Figure 1 shows the (FUV–V)–Mg *b* relation, analogous to the (1550–V)–Mg<sub>2</sub> relation discussed by BBBFL88, although both the FUV filter and the Mg index are slightly different and we use a common aperture of  $R_e/2$ . This is thus our own version of the Burstein relation. Reassuringly, we see a very similar trend. Most importantly, there is a clear correlation between the FUV–V colour and the Mg *b* linestrength for galaxies with no significant young stellar population (H $\beta \leq 1.8$  Å, i.e. quiescent galaxies). This is the systematic variation of the hot component of old stellar populations discovered by BBBFL88. Galaxies with a substantial young stellar population (H $\beta > 1.8$  Å) blur the relation and are found at systematically lower Mg *b* values, although over a wide range of FUV–V colour.

Given the above, is our (FUV-V)-Mg b relation merely similar to the original Burstein relation or is it identical? In Figure 2, we have strived to transform the data of BBBFL88 to our own parameters. First, using the IUE spectra of BBBFL88, we calculated the 1550-FUV colour of NGC 221 and NGC 4649. To calculate the GALEX FUV magnitude of every galaxy in BBBFL88's sample, we then simply assumed that each galaxy had one of two spectral shapes: either a normal early-type galaxy spectrum like NGC 221, or a UV upturn spectrum like that of NGC 4649. The GALEX FUV magnitudes were then simply obtained by  $FUV=1550-(1550-FUV)_{NGC221,4649}$ , using  $(1550-\text{FUV})_{\text{NGC221}}$  for galaxies with  $(1550-V) \ge 3.4$ (normal galaxies) and (1550-FUV)<sub>NGC4649</sub> for galaxies with (1550-V) < 3.4 (UV upturn galaxies). As the *IUE* apertures of BBBFL88 are not that different from our own, we do not correct the photometry for aperture mismatch. Second, we converted BBBFL88's Mg<sub>2</sub> index measurements into Mg b using the Thomas, Maraston & Bender (2003) stellar population synthesis models, assuming an  $\alpha$ -element (over-)abundance  $\left[\alpha/\text{Fe}\right]=0.3$  for all galaxies. As those Mg<sub>2</sub> values are central, however, we also need to correct the linestrengths for aperture mismatch. To do this, we simply compared the transformed BBBFL88 Mgb values with ours for the 6 galaxies in common (NGC 2768, NGC 4278, NGC 4374,



Figure 1. UV upturn dependence on stellar absorption linestrengths. The Mg b (top), Fe5015 (middle) and H $\beta$  (bottom) linestrengths are shown as a function of the FUV-V colour, all integrated within  $R_e/2$ . The top plot is our own version of the Burstein relation. Objects inconsistent with a purely old stellar population (H $\beta$ > 1.8 Å) are plotted as crosses, others as filled circles. The location of data points lying outside the figure boundaries is indicated by arrows. Note the inverted H $\beta$  axis. Linear fits to the data with H $\beta \leq 1.8$  Å are shown as solid lines to guide the eye, and the correlation coefficient is reported in the bottom right corner of each panel. The best-fit parameters are listed in Table 2. The colour table traces the effective stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma_e$ , from purple at small dispersions through green and red at high dispersions.



Figure 2. (FUV-V)-Mg b (i.e. Burstein) relation for both our data (filled circles) and the 1550 Å and Mg<sub>2</sub> data of BBBFL88 (open squares). The latter have been transformed to FUV and Mg b as discussed in the text. Galaxies in common between the two samples are connected by a solid line. Error bars have been omitted for clarity. The two datasets are consistent, with similar scatter.

NGC 4486, NGC 4552, NGC 5846), and then applied an average offset to all the galaxies ( $\Delta Mg b = 0.52$  Å).

The conversion of BBBFL88's data to our own parameters is necessarily very approximate, but Figure 2 shows that our results are consistent with those of BBBFL88. Using much improved data and measuring methods, we have thus recovered the original Burstein relation. Somewhat disappointingly, however, the scatter of our data is about the same. The scatter is also larger than our measurement errors, which suggests that it is real. We explore possible reasons why other studies did not recover the Burstein relation in Sections 4 and 5.

## 3.2 FUV-V vs. Fe5015

The middle panel of Figure 1 shows the relation of FUV–V with Fe5015. The scatter is very large and a correlation analogous to that of FUV–V vs. Mg b is not obviously present. This is perhaps not surprising, since the correlation of Mg b with the stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma$  is also much stronger than that of Fe5015. Equally unsurprising then, to our knowledge this correlation has not been discussed much if at all in the literature. Interestingly, however, galaxies with a young population now appear both below and slightly above the best fit to the purely old objects (especially for the smaller  $R_{\rm e}/8$  apertures that are not shown here).

## 3.3 FUV–V vs. $H\beta$

The bottom panel of Figure 1 shows the relation of FUV–V with H $\beta$ , which is primarily influenced by age. Separating young and old galaxies in this diagram is somewhat artificial, since we use H $\beta$  itself as our age tracer, but it is striking that a tight correlation is again only present amongst the galaxies with an old stellar population.

Having said that, the observed variation of  $H\beta$  with FUV-V is expected from the metallicity dependence of the latter (as traced e.g. by the (FUV-V)-Mg b correlation). It thus does not automatically imply an age dependence.

## 3.4 NUV-V

Similarly to Figure 1, Figure 3 shows the correlations between the NUV-V colour and the Mg b, Fe5015 and H $\beta$ linestrength indices. The contrast between Figure 1 and 3 is however striking. First, the NUV-V colour range is only about half that in FUV-V for old galaxies. Second, even when considering only old galaxies, the UV-linestrength correlations have essentially disappeared. Third, the galaxies with a young stellar component are much more discrepant. We also point out that nearly all galaxies with very blue NUV-V colours also have high H $\beta$  linestrengths (as expected from star formation), although the opposite is not always true.

Although present across the NUV filter, the UV upturn phenomenon usually clearly dominates the spectral energy distribution only at wavelengths blueward of 2000 Å. It thus cannot by itself entirely explain the NUV properties observed. As suggested for example by Donas et al. (2007; but see also Dorman et al. 1995), who explored slightly different NUV-V correlations, we believe that the above trends result from two main competing effects. On the one hand, young stars have a greater effect in the NUV than the FUV, as only very young stars ( $t \lesssim 0.1$  Gyr) can make contributions to the FUV light, while young stars in a much large age range  $(t \leq 1 \text{ Gyr})$  contribute to the NUV. Young stars can also influence H $\beta$  for even longer periods ( $t \gtrsim 1$  Gyr). As testified by the  $(NUV-V)-H\beta$  plot, this is without doubt the reason why the galaxies with a young stellar population are so discrepant. On the other hand, line blanketing (the suppression of the continuum due to a large number of partially overlapping absorption lines) is substantial in the NUV and increases with metallicity. This is probably why the FUV correlations largely disappear in the NUV even for galaxies with no sign of significant recent star formation, as earlytype galaxies generally have a high metallicity. Those combined effects probably also explain the lack of correlations in studies which lack a true FUV filter (e.g. Deharveng et al. 2002).

#### 3.5 FUV-NUV

Analogous to Figures 1 and 3, Figure 4 shows the correlations between the FUV–NUV colour and the Mg b, Fe5015 and H $\beta$  linestrength indices. Interestingly, as pointed out especially by Donas et al. (2007), the UV–linestrength correlations are actually much more pronouced and show less scatter when the UV–optical colour FUV–V is replaced by the pure UV colour FUV–NUV. As the metallicity increases, this is most likely due to an increase in the FUV upturn conspiring with increasing line blanketing in the NUV. However, given that the NUV atmospheric opacity is dominated by iron-peak elements, it is surprising that the correlation with Fe5015 is poorer than that with Mg b. As expected, however, the FUV–NUV colours of galaxies with a significant young stellar population are largely uncorrelated with the



**Figure 3.** Same as Figure 1 but for the optical–UV colour (NUV-V).

 $AIS (R_e/2)$ 5.0 4.5 (v) 4.0 qBM 3.5 3.0 2.5 (-0.85)+1 2.0 5.0 Fe5015 (Å) 4.5 4.0 3.5 (-0.26)1.2 ● Hβ≦1.8 + Hβ>1.8 1.4 1.6 Hβ (Å) 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.4 (0.72)0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 FUV-NUV (mag)

5.5

 $\bullet$  NGS (R<sub>e</sub>/2)

**Figure 4.** Same as Figure 1 but for the pure UV colour (FUV–NUV).

linestrengths. The parameters of the best-fit linear relations are again listed in Table 2.

We stress here that the (FUV-NUV)-Mg b correlation is tighter than the Burstein relation, which uses FUV-V. Although the Burstein relation has traditionally been used in most work, presumably for historical reasons dating back to BBBFL88, and it remains important, our results suggest that (FUV–NUV)–Mg b should instead be the correlation of choice. As FUV and NUV are generally measured with the same instrument, using FUV–NUV rather than FUV–Valso avoids potential uncertainties in the UV to optical flux calibration.



Figure 5. Same as Figure 1 but for the FUV-V, NUV-V and FUV-NUV colours as a function of the effective stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma_{e}$ .

#### 3.6 Stellar velocity dispersion

The Burstein relation and other correlations highlighted in this paper, combined with the well-known Mg $-\sigma$  relation (e.g. Terlevich et al. 1981; Bender, Burstein & Faber 1993; Colless et al. 1999; Bernardi et al. 2003), imply a correlation of the UV-upturn phenomenon with the stellar velocity dispersion, which is a good measure of a galaxy's gravitational potential depth and total mass. The colour scheme in Figures 1, 3 and 4 allows us to gauge this dependence, but only very roughly. It is thus shown explicitly in Figure 5. The corresponding figure showing the dependence of the UV-upturn on the dynamical mass  $M_{\rm dyn} \equiv 5.0 \, G^{-1} R_{\rm e} \, \sigma_{\rm e}^2$  (see Paper IV) is essentially identical. This mass represents  $M_{\rm dyn} \approx 2 \, M_{1/2}$ , where  $M_{1/2}$  is the total mass within a sphere containing half of the galaxy light.

Comparing Figures 1 and 5, one can see that the scatter in the Burstein relation is smaller than that in the (FUV-V)- $\sigma_{\rm e}$  relation. This effect first pointed out by BBBFL88 lead them to suggest that the UV upturn is primarily driven by stellar population properties rather than structural or dynamical ones. We confirm this trend here. The effect is however much more pronounced when considering the FUV-NUV colour, where the correlation with Mg b is very tight but that with  $\sigma_{\rm e}$  is much looser. Just as for Mg b, NUV-V does not show any clear dependence on  $\sigma_{\rm e}$ .

As expected from cosmic downsizing (e.g. Cowie et al. 1996; Treu et al. 2005; Thomas et al. 2005), all galaxies with evidence of recent star formation (high H $\beta$ ) are in the low mass half of our sample ( $\sigma_{\rm e} \lesssim 180$  km s<sup>-1</sup>; see also Paper XV).

#### 3.7 Aperture effects

Analogous UV-linestrength correlations to those discussed in this section are also present when using matching elliptical apertures of  $R_e/8$  and  $R_e$ . The linestrengths and broadband colours are simply slightly offset, as expected from the radial stellar populations gradients present in early-type galaxies. However, some correlations are clearer for smaller apertures. This is most likely because stellar age gradients are generally most pronounced in galaxy centres (e.g. Paper VI; McDermid et al. 2006, hereafter Paper VIII; Paper XVII). The integrated UV-V colours and linestrengths thus have much broader ranges of values for small apertures.

#### 3.8 Multi-dimensional relations

The various correlations shown in Figures 1–5 suggest that Mgb is the dominant parameter affecting the UV flux, but we now consider relations involving three parameters, analogously to the Fundamental Plane for stellar dynamics (relating the stellar luminosity, surface brightness and central velocity dispersion of galaxies; see Djorgovski & Davis 1987; Dressler et al. 1987). Without an a priori physical foundation, such as the virial theorem for the Fundamental Plane, it is however not clear what correlations one should expect. To minimise biases arising from the very different definitions and thus dynamic ranges of the parameters considered (e.g. colours, velocity dispersion and linestrengths), we normalize each parameter to yield an observed range of 1.0. For example, the measured values of FUV-V extend from roughly 5.4 to 7.2 mag (vielding an observed range of 1.8 mag), so in the fits below N(FUV-V) = 0.0 mag is actually 1.8 mag bluer (UV stronger) than N(FUV-V) = 1.0 mag, where the prefix N denotes a normalised quantity. Likewise for the other quantities (FUV-NUV= 0.4-1.8 mag,  $\log(\sigma_e/\text{km s}^{-1})$  = 1.9-2.4, Mg b = 3.4-4.7 Å, Fe5015 = 3.8-5.1 Å).



**Figure 6.** Edge-on views of the best-fit planes for selected three-prameter relations (see text). Top-left: Plane relating FUV–V, Mg b and  $\sigma_{e}$ . Bottom-left: Plane relating FUV–V, Mg b and Fe5015. Top-right: Plane relating FUV–NUV, Mg b and  $\sigma_{e}$ . Bottom-right: Plane relating FUV–NUV, Mg b and Fe5015. The solid lines are shown to guide the eye and all have slope unity and go through the origin. The correlation coefficient is reported in the bottom-right corner of each panel. The three-parameter correlations shown are not tighter than the two-parameter correlations shown in Figures 1 and 4.

We have explored a number of parameter combinations, but we present only the most promising ones below and in Figure 6, in the sense that the resulting planes (in their respective three-dimensional spaces) are the tightest. The planes were obtained by minimising the square of the residuals in the direction perpendicular the planes, but we warn that the coefficients obtained do depend sensitively on the exact quantity that is minimised. The edge-on projections of the planes are shown in Figure 6. By construction, the solid lines shown (of slope unity and going through the origin) should go through the data points (they do). As before, the fits are performed to the quiescent galaxies only (i.e.  $H\beta \leq 1.8$  Å), yielding

$$N(FUV - V) = -3.30 N(Mg b) + 2.00 N(\log \sigma_e) + 1.19 , (1)$$

N(FUV - V) = -1.95 N(Mg b) + 0.85 N(Fe5015) + 1.21, (2)

 $N(FUV - NUV) = -2.46 N(Mg b) + 1.25 N(\log \sigma_e) + 1.07 , (3)$ 

$$N(FUV-NUV) = -1.55 N(Mgb) + 0.47 N(Fe5015) + 1.10$$
 (4)

The correlation coefficients reported in the bottom right corner of each panel of Figure 6 are no better than those of the good two-parameter fits shown in Figures 1 and 4. None is better than the (FUV-NUV)-Mgb correlation. This implies that the FUV excess is not particularly better described by employing an additional parameter. This is in agreement with our finding of § 3.6, that it is stellar population rather than dynamical properties that govern the UV strength.

#### 4 STAR FORMATION

In the (FUV-V)-linestrength and (FUV-NUV)linestrength relations discussed in Section 3, the apparent correlations defined by the bulk of the observations are weakened by the presence of a number of outlying data points. However, the outliers systematically have  $H\beta > 1.8$  Å, which suggests that the deviations from the bulk are due to the presence of a young stellar component in these galaxies. Indeed, while often negligible in the optical, even a small amount of recent star formation can have a dramatic effect in the UV. We test this hypothesis thoroughly in this section.

Figure 7 shows how the (FUV-NUV)-Mgb correlation measured within  $R_{\rm e}/2$  elliptical apertures depends on a number of star formation tracers, none of which is however perfect. Our best-fit (FUV-NUV)-Mgb relation from Section 3.5 is overplotted as a dashed line for reference. The H $\alpha$  emission line and the mid-infrared (MIR) emission from dust and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are normally considered good tracers of respectively unobscured and obscured ongoing star formation, while the luminosityweighted mean stellar age traces recent and older star formation events. The molecular gas mass  $M_{\rm H_2}$  measures the fuel reserve for current and future star formation. As we must rely on external sources for those quantities, they are not measured within our standard  $R_{\rm e}/2$  aperture, but this is unimportant for the argument. We only use those quantities to gauge the likelyhood of young stars; the data plotted remain measured within identical apertures. The H $\alpha$  lu-



Figure 7. Dependence of the (FUV-NUV)-Mg *b* correlation on recent and ongoing star formation. The top panel shows the correlation for all galaxies using elliptical apertures of  $R_e/2$ . Lower panels show the correlation as a function of the integrated H $\alpha$  luminosity, the type of 8.0  $\mu$ m non-stellar emission if present, the luminosity-weighted mean stellar age and the molecular gas mass normalised by the *B*-band luminosity. From left-to-right, the columns respectively show galaxies with no, mild or ambiguous, and strong evidence for ongoing or recent star formation. A clear correlation with no outlier is only observed for quiescent galaxies. Filled symbols indicate galaxies with at least one orbit of *GALEX* integration time, while open symbols indicate the shorter exposure AIS data. Error bars have been omitted for clarity and our best-fit (FUV-NUV)-Mg *b* relation is overplotted as a dashed line for reference.

minosity is integrated within the SAURON FOV and comes from Paper V. In the MIR, we use the *Spitzer*/Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) 8.0  $\mu$ m non-stellar emission calculated and discussed in Paper XV. Considering the emission morphology, the radial gradient of the 8.0-to-3.6  $\mu$ m flux ratio, and the *Spitzer*/Infrared Spectrograph (IRS) spectrum when available, Paper XV very carefully separated the 8.0  $\mu$ m nonstellar emission originating from star formation and other processes. The mean stellar age is derived through single stellar population synthesis models from linestrengths integrated within a circular aperture of  $R_e/8$  (Paper XVII). The distance-independent  $M_{\rm H_2}/L_B$  ratios are global values and come from the CO measurements of Combes et al. (2007) and Young et al. (2010) combined with the RC3 optical catalogue (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991).

The main conclusion from Figure 7 is that a clear, tight (FUV–NUV)–Mg b correlation without outliers is only present for galaxies which show no sign of current or recent star formation. The scatter is much increased for galaxies with even mild evidence of star formation, and essentially no correlation is present for galaxies with strong evidence. This is particularly nicely illustrated by the mean luminosity-weighted stellar age panels, which show increasing scatter with decreasing mean age.

Other star formation tracers not shown in Figure 7 display analogous behaviours, such as the H $\beta$  absorption linestrength and NUV-V colour discussed in this paper, the B-V colour, and the 8.0  $\mu$ m non-stellar luminosity and associated star formation rate. More importantly, similar results are obtained for other apertures  $(R_e/8 \text{ and } R_e)$ , FUV-V and NUV-V colours, and Fe5015 and H $\beta$  linestrengths. In addition, the (FUV-V)-(FUV-NUV) and (FUV-V)-(NUV-V) colour-colour relations clearly show that, while the quiescent galaxies (H $\beta \leq 1.8$  Å) do define clear correlations (see, e.g., Boselli et al. 2005; Donas et al. 2007), galaxies with evidence of young stars (H $\beta > 1.8$  Å) systematically depart from them. This shows beyond doubt that most deviations from the correlations described in Section 3 are due to galaxies with either current or recent star formation, and that the correlations themselves are essentially due to quiescent galaxies (i.e. purely old stellar populations).

One should not be overly concerned that, occasionally, galaxies with apparent signs of current or recent star formation in Figure 7 do fall within the region expected of our best-fit (FUV-NUV)-Mgb relation. As mentioned above, none of the tracers is perfect, especially in early-type galaxies. In particular, as shown in Paper XVI, emission from Balmer lines such as  $H\alpha$  in early-type galaxies is normallly dominated by non-star-formation processes, specifically hot evolved stellar populations (PAGB stars). Absence of  $H\alpha$  emission thus guarantees absence of star formation, but the presence of  $H\alpha$  emission does not imply the presence of star formation. Dust and PAHs can also be heated/ionised by a variety of processes, including these same evolved stellar populations (see Paper XV). A (FUV-NUV)-Mgb correlation is only present for galaxies with 8.0  $\mu$ m non-stellar emission if that emission has a non-star formation origin.

We also note that the scatter in our correlations is not caused by the presence of active galactic nuclei (AGN). First, our measurements are global rather than nuclear. Second, there are only four strong radio continuum sources in the SAURON sample: two FR I sources (NGC 4374 and NGC 4486), NGC 4278 and NGC 4552. None is particularly discrepant in our correlations. It is clear however that AGN could contribute to the scatter in samples of galaxies constructed differently.

## 5 SDSS SAMPLE

#### 5.1 Sample selection and data

We now place our findings based on observations of SAURON early-type galaxies in the context of previous work on SDSS galaxies, such as that of Rich et al. (2005). UV and linestrength measurements of SDSS early-type galaxies present a number of challenges. First, most galaxies are invariably unresolved by *GALEX* but the spectral information is derived from SDSS fibre spectra with a 3" diameter, leading to aperture mismatch. Second, except at the highest luminosities, many early-type galaxies in the low-redshift regime ( $z \approx 0.05$ –0.1) are either undetected or barely detected at the depth of the *GALEX* Medium Imaging Survey (MIS; Martin et al. 2005; Morrissey et al. 2005). Third, a reliable morphological classification is far more challenging. Fourth, disentangling the UV upturn from residual star formation is very difficult.

Given that we do find a correlation between UV-optical colours and Mg b in SAURON galaxies, we nevertheless attempt here to overcome these hurdles and show that the Burstein relation pioneered by BBBFL88 can also be found in more distant early-type galaxies, as can other UV-linestrength relations. We use for this purpose the Morphologically Selected Ellipticals in SDSS sample (MOSES; Schawinski et al. 2007), which includes all spectroscopic SDSS galaxies with r < 16.8 in the redshift range 0.05 < z < 0.1 and provides reliable visual morphologies.

The SDSS spectra were first processed with the software GANDALF to remove nebular emission lines (see Paper V), while using pPXF to fit the stellar kinematics (Cappellari & Emsellem 2004). The cleaned spectra were then degraded to the spectral resolution of the Lick indices and stellar absorption linestrengths were measured, including Mg b and H $\beta$ . For details, see Schawinski et al. (2007) and Thomas et al. (2008). With those data in hand, we now address the four challenges listed above.

First, there is no reasonable correction we can apply to the issue of aperture mismatch, so this necessarily remains a source of systematic error for *GALEX*-SDSS galaxies.

Second, since the slope of the Burstein relation is not particularly steep, there is a danger that it could be washed out by large errors in the UV photometry. Even though these requirements substantially reduce the sample size, we thus only consider here those MOSES early-type galaxies that are detected by *GALEX* in *both* NUV and FUV filters, and we further require that the photometric error in each be less than 0.3 mag. These two requirements reduce the sample from 12 828 galaxies for MOSES to 535. Perhaps more importantly, these requirements strongly bias our sample in favour of UV-blue galaxies, particularly compared to the **SAURON** galaxies discussed in this paper. UV-red galaxies are largely excluded.

Third, it is clear that any automated early-type galaxy selection criteria based on structural parameters leads to the inclusion of many disc-dominated galaxies, Sa galaxies being a particularly prevalent source of contamination (see, e.g., Schawinski et al. 2007). As galaxies with discs are likely forming stars, they will contaminate the Burstein and other similar relations with UV flux from young stars. The most reliable method for excluding such non-early-type interlopers is visual inspection, which has been carefully performed for the MOSES sample using SDSS images. Short of obtaining space-based data for a substantial number of these galaxies, this is thus the best morphological classification possible.

Fourth, even after removing intermediate and latetype interlopers, residual star formation is still common in low-redshift early-type galaxies (e.g. Yi et al. 2005; Schawinski et al. 2007; Kaviraj et al. 2007), and this residual star formation will dilute the Burstein and other relations. To remove these objects from the sample, it is possible to reject all galaxies with high H $\beta$  linestrengths. Specifically, we investigate here rejecting objects with H $\beta$  + H $\beta_{\rm err}$  > 1.8 Å (see below).

## 5.2 Burstein relation: FUV-V vs. Mg b

We plot in the top panel of Figure 8 the Mg *b* linestrength against FUV-*g* colour for the SDSS early-type galaxies selected. Overplotted is the best-fit Burstein relation derived in Section 3.1, transformed from *V* to *g*. The latter correction was obtained using a large sample of early-type galaxies with *GALEX* data selected visually from SDSS. As the g - V colour effectively does not vary with FUV-NUV, we adopted a fixed correction g - V = 0.53 mag.

Ignoring the cut on  $H\beta$  linestrength, the data in the top panel of Figure 8 only show a loose correlation in a sense opposite to the Burstein relation discussed in Section 3.1. The immense scatter introduced by residual star formation largely washes out the signal in the UV-optical colour. If we however separate the sample according to  $H\beta$ linestrength, the picture changes dramatically. Quiescent early-type galaxies with low  $H\beta$  values (161 objects with  $H\beta + H\beta_{err} \leq 1.8$  Å; black circles) cluster at redder colours and are located roughly within the region expected from the original Burstein relation, with few outliers. Galaxies with a young population with high H $\beta$  values (144 objects with  $H\beta-H\beta_{err} > 1.8$  Å; grey circles) do not and have an entirely different distribution. This selection on  $H\beta$  does exclude galaxies whose error bars bestride the  $H\beta = 1.8$  Å threshold between recently star-forming and non-recently starforming galaxies (i.e. galaxies for which  $H\beta$ - $H\beta_{err} \leq 1.8$  Å < H $\beta$ +H $\beta_{err}$ ), but we believe this is best suited to test our hypothesis that star formation (i.e. the presence of young stars) is mainly responsible for the outliers in the Burstein relation. Galaxies that bridge the threshold may or may not contain young stars and will blur any dichotomy.

Our H $\beta$  criteria are however not perfect discriminants between entirely passive early-type galaxies and those with a low level of residual star formation in the last gigayear. In any case, residual star formation can occur at large radii (see Paper XIII), and this will not be picked up by the SDSS fibres. If we use a blunter selection criterion and restrict ourselves further to early-type galaxies with a high velocity dispersion ( $\sigma > 200 \text{ kms}^{-1}$ ; 169 of 535 galaxies), where residual star formation is virtually completely suppressed (Schawinski et al. 2006, see, e.g.,), then we can see



**Figure 8.** Mg–(FUV–g) relation for the MOSES sample earlytype galaxies with good UV photometry. *Top:* Entire sample meeting the H $\beta$  selection criteria. *Bottom:* Only galaxies with a velocity dispersion  $\sigma$  larger than 200 km s<sup>-1</sup>. Quiescent galaxies with H $\beta$ +H $\beta_{\rm err} \leq 1.8$  Å are shown as black circles, while galaxies consistent with harbouring a young stellar population (H $\beta$ -H $\beta_{\rm err} > 1.8$  Å) are shown in grey. Characteristic error bars are shown in the top-left of each plot. Our best-fit Burstein relation is overplotted as a dashed line for reference, taking into acount the small g - V colour transformation described in the text.

that all early-type galaxies cluster within the same locus regardless of the H $\beta$  criteria (see Fig. 8, bottom panel). And indeed, there are very few galaxies with H $\beta$ -H $\beta_{\rm err} > 1.8$  Å left (5 objects compared to 97 for H $\beta$ +H $\beta_{\rm err} \leq 1.8$  Å). The large errors in both Mg *b* and FUV-*g*, in addition to aperture mismatch, result in sufficient scatter to largely hide the (FUV-*g*)-Mg *b* relation, but the locus is consistent with the slope and zero-point found for the SAURON galaxies.

Our treatment of the SDSS sample thus supports the presence of (UV-optical)-linestrength relations as described in Section 3.1, but again only for quiescent galaxies. This is true using both an H $\beta$  linestrength (i.e. age) cut and a stellar velocity dispersion (i.e. total mass) cut. Our treatment also illustrates how challenging weeding out galaxies with low levels of residual star formation can be with the data quality typical of large surveys. Here, the sheer number of galaxies does not trump the need for high quality data.

## 6 DISCUSSION

## 6.1 Origin of the UV upturn

A main result of our work illustrated in Figures 1–4 is that we recover the Burstein relation for early-type galaxies originally discussed in BBBFL88, as well as the (NUV–V)–Mg *b* and (FUV–NUV)–Mg *b* correlations discussed in later papers (e.g. Dorman et al. 1995; Rich et al. 2005; Boselli et al. 2005; Donas et al. 2007). However, contrary to these authors, we use identical apertures for all quantities. We have also clearly showed that correlations with the H $\beta$ linestrength index also exist, albeit usually with larger scatter. Correlations with Fe5015 are at best very weak. These additional correlations are crucial, as once compared to stellar population synthesis models they will allow us to roughly separate the effects of  $\alpha$ -element enhancement, metallicity and age on the UV-upturn phenomenon (see, e.g., Paper XVII).

Lacking other elements, previous works considered Mg as a metallicity tracer (e.g. BBBFL88; Rich et al. 2005; Donas et al. 2007). But given that early-type galaxies and bulges are known to be over-abundant in  $\alpha$  elements (e.g. Rich 1988; Gorgas, Efstathiou & Aragon Salamanca 1990; Worthey, Faber & Gonzalez 1992), one might now naively be tempted to treat Mg primarily as a tracer of  $\alpha$ -elements, and take Fe5015 as the prime metallicity tracer. A high  $\left[\alpha/\text{Fe}\right]$  ratio is usually interpreted as implying a short star formation timescale (i.e. a burst), as stars are enriched in  $\alpha$  elements produced by early Type II supernovae but are not enriched in Fe produced by longer timescale Type Ia supernovae (e.g. Edvardsson et al. 1993; Fuhrmann 1998; Thomas, Greggio & Bender 1998). Taken at face-value, the tight (FUV-V)-Mg b correlation (i.e. the Burstein relation) would thus suggest that the UV upturn in early-types is primarily due to stars formed in a rapid burst and enriched in  $\alpha$  elements. The extremely loose (FUV-V)-Fe5015 correlation would further suggest that enrichment in Fe produced over longer timescales is not the primary driver of the correlations. This would then presumably pose challenges to UV upturn theoretical models with strong metallicity dependences (e.g. EHB models), and equally spell bad news for scenarios relying on binary star evolution more generally (e.g. Maxted et al. 2001; Han et al. 2003, 2007).

However, are we really justified to make this simplistic association of Mg b with  $\alpha$  elements and Fe5015 with metallicity? The short answer is (un)fortunately no. Although Mg is an  $\alpha$  element, the Mg b index is still primarily driven by metallicity, while the Fe5015 index includes a number of poorly understood absorption lines. Furthermore, while they slightly affect both,  $\alpha$  elements dominate neither the energy generation (He, CNO) nor the atmospheric opacity (iron-peak elements) in metal-rich galaxies (e.g. Guo et al. 2010). Beside specific spectral features, their effect on UV light should thus be limited (see also Yi 2008). A proper unraveling of the individual effects of  $\alpha$ -element enhancement and metallicity on the UV upturn is thus carried out in the companion paper by Jeong et al. (in preparation), with the help of the stellar population synthesis models presented in Paper XVII. This is not only the case for the primary dependence of the UV upturn on metallicity, but also for its dependence on H $\beta$  for old galaxies (H $\beta \leq 1.8$  Å). A priori,



Figure 9. Same as Figure 1 but for the FUV–NUV colour as a function of the specific angular momentum of the galaxies  $\lambda_{\rm R}$ . The normalised number distribution of slow-rotator (red) and fast-rotator (blue) galaxies as a function of the FUV–NUV colour is shown on the right for quiescent galaxies only (i.e. cirles and triangles).

this may well be explained entirely by metallicity effects, but it could also hide a residual age dependence.

Despite having failed to find a dominant dependence of the UV upturn on  $\sigma_{\rm e}$ , we can test if other parameters might better reveal a structural or dynamical influence on the UV upturn. We find no or weak dependences of the FUV-V, NUV-V and FUV-NUV colours on the ellipticity  $\epsilon$ , boxiness of the isophotes  $a_4$  and the ratio of rotational to pressure support  $V/\sigma$ . In the spirit of recent results of the SAURON survey, we plot in Figure 9 the dependence of the UV upturn on  $\lambda_{R_{\rm e}}$ , the specific angular momentum of a galaxy measured within  $R_{\rm e}$  (see Paper IX for details). Figure 9 also shows the normalised number distribution of slow-rotator  $(\lambda_{R_{\rm e}} < 0.1)$  and fast-rotator  $(\lambda_{R_{\rm e}} \ge 0.1)$  galaxies as a function of the FUV-NUV colour, for quiescent galaxies only. Although there is much scatter, we see that among quiescent galaxies very blue FUV-NUV colours are more commonly observed among slow rotators than fast rotators. Clearly, however, more extensive investigations are necessary to substantiate any dynamical dependence of the UV upturn.

Lastly, we point out that the scatter in the UV– linestrength relations presented here (e.g. the Burstein relation) is larger than our measurement errors. It is thus real, implying that there is not a one-to-one correlation between the UV excess and absorption linestrengths. This is an important result, but stellar evolution models of course do not depend on linestrengths but rather on age, metallicity and  $\alpha$ -element abundance.

## 6.2 Star formation

Our work shows that star formation is responsible for most outliers in the UV-linestrength correlations. Indeed, with the extensive ancillary data available for the SAURON sample, and the exquisite sensitivity reached for nearby objects, we were able to systematically identify all outliers with galaxies showing signs of recent or current star formation. This could also be done in an analogous manner for a much larger (and more distant) sample of galaxies from SDSS. The fact that clear correlations are then only recovered for massive galaxies with high quality data can probably be explained by the relative poor sensitivity of SDSS to the presence of recent and/or current star formation (more likely present in low-mass systems).

The same reason probably explains the results of Rich et al. (2005), which are most at odds with those of BBBFL88. We support here the results of Donas et al. (2007), who argued in favour of contamination from lowlevel star formation in their own sample, especially for the lenticulars. Requiring  $S/N \ge 10$  for the SDSS spectra (although they quote a final  $S/N=23\pm7$  for their quiescent sample), Rich et al. (2005) were probably not as sensitive to weak emission lines and thus star formation as we are here. It would be interesting to see the UV-optical colour corresponding to their H $\alpha$  emission (and thus star formation) upper limits. We require here  $S/N \ge 60$  per spatial bin (and spectral element) for SAURON and simultaneously fit both absorption and emission lines, yielding an equivalent width sensitivity of 0.1 Å (see Paper V). We also have much ancillary data, including the age-sensitive  $H\beta$  absorption line index.

We also stress here a fact that has generally been overlooked in previous UV-upturn observational studies. All galaxies which are off the relations, and which we identify as having current or recent star formation in Sections 4 and 5, are systematically below the correlations. That is, they systematically have Mg *b* and Fe5015 linestrengths which are lower than those predicted by the correlations for purely old galaxies. None has significantly higher Mg *b* or Fe5015, and the effect is particularly pronounced for Mg *b*. Considering the predictions of stellar population synthesis models (e.g. Thomas et al. 2003), this is entirely consistent with the outliers being of systematically lower mean ages.

## 7 CONCLUSIONS

We have used space-based UV ultraviolet photometry from *GALEX*, ground-based optical photometry from MDM and ground-based optical integral-field spectroscopy from **SAURON** to study the UV-linestrength relations of early-type galaxies. Focusing on the **SAURON** sample, those data represent significant improvements over previous work. In particular, identical apertures could be used for all datasets, eliminating the aperture mismatch issues that have affected previous studies.

We have shown that early-type galaxies follow fairly tight correlations between the integrated FUV-V and FUV-NUV colours and the integrated Mg b and H $\beta$  linestrength indices, less so for the NUV-V colour, Fe5015 index and stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma$ . Through stellar population synthesis models, these correlations constrain the dependence of the UV-upturn phenomenon in early-type galaxies on age, metallicity and  $\alpha$ -element abundance. In particular, despite some recent controversy stemming from the analysis of large survey datasets, we have recovered a (FUV-V)-Mg b correlation that is analogous and entirely consistent with that first proposed by BBBFL88. We refer to this and the original relation as the Burstein relation. This relation clearly suggests a positive dependence of the UV-upturn on metallicity and is stronger when the pure UV

colour (FUV-NUV) is used, although any possible dependence on age must await a better comparison with models. The scatter in the correlations appears to be real and there is mild evidence that a strong UV excess is preferentially present in slow-rotating galaxies, although this last point deserves further study.

Using ancillary data constraining past and current star formation in our sample galaxies, we have shown that most data that do not follow the main correlations can be attributed to galaxies with evidence for recent star formation, even if weak. The UV–linestrength correlations discussed thus appear to hold exclusively for old stellar populations. A large sample of more distant early-type galaxies selected from SDSS, and thus easier to relate to current large surveys, supports this view. The same can be said of the correlations outliers themselves, which have systematically lower Mg b, Fe5015 and higher H $\beta$  linestrength indices.

Given that galaxies show internal colour and linestrength gradients, it is natural to ask whether the global correlations observed here also apply locally within galaxies. Using the full capabilities accorded to us by integral-field data, this issue is the focus of a companion paper (Jeong et al., in preparation).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

# [Dedication more exhaustive than that accepted by MNRAS]

We dedicate this paper to the memory of David Burstein, who passed away in December 2009. David was the leading author on the paper that triggered our investigation, concerning the relationship between magnesium linestrength and ultraviolet colours in galaxies, a subject that remains enigmatic and the focus of active research today. During his distinguised career, David made major contributions to several fields of astronomy. His most cited work is on reddening estimates determined from galaxy counts and HI column densities, published with Carl Heiles in 1982. That work was the primary source of reddening estimates for over 15 years. David's impact was rooted in a deep understanding of the astronomical techniques in which he was expert. His skill with photometry made him an invaluable member of the 'seven samurai' team that discovered large-scale motions of galaxies and the 'Great Attractor' in the 1980s. Equally, with colleagues at the Carnegie Institution in Washington, David applied his expertise in rotation curves to the understanding of spiral galaxies, work that was pivotal in establishing the existence of dark matter haloes around spirals. Throughout his career David worked on the subject of stellar populations, making several groundbreaking advances as part of the Lick team he first joined as a graduate student. In addition to being a forefront researcher, David Burstein was a dedicated member of the faculty at Arizona State University where he worked for 26 years, serving a term as President of the Academic Assembly. It is a priviledge for us to have been able to contribute to the advancement of knowledge in one area in which David worked, and which is now commonly referred to as the 'Burstein relation'. Ours will surely be only one of many papers that build on David Burstein's scientific legacy.

We thank the staff of the *GALEX* project, MDM Observatory and Isaac Newton Group for their assistance during

and after the observations. We would also like to thank S. Kaviraj and S. Trager for useful discussions. The SAURON project is made possible through grants from NWO and financial contributions from the Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers, the Université Lyon I, the Universities of Durham, Leiden and Oxford, the Programme National Galaxies, the British Council, STFC grant 'Observational Astrophysics at Oxford' and support from Christ Church Oxford and the Netherlands Research School for Astronomy NOVA. MB acknowledges support from NASA through GALEX Guest Investigator program GALEXGI04-0000-0109. SKY acknowledges support to the Center for Galaxy Evolution Research from the National Research Foundation of Korea, from a Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-C00156) and from a Doyak grant (No. 20090078756). MB and RLD are grateful for postdoctoral support through STFC rolling grant PP/E001114/1. MB and SKY are also grateful to the Royal Society for an International Joint Project award (2007/R2) supporting this work. The STFC Visitors grant to Oxford also supported joint visits. KS was supported by NASA through Einstein Postdoctoral Fellowship grant number PF9-00069 issued by the Chandra Xray Observatory Center, which is operated by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory for and on behalf of NASA under contract NAS8-03060. KS also gratefully acknowledges previous support from Yale University and a Henry Skynner Junior Research Fellowship. MC acknowledges support from a STFC Advanced Fellowship (PP/D005574/1). JFB acknowledges support from the Ramón y Cajal Program financed by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation. DK acknowledges support from Queen's College Oxford and the hospitality of Centre for Astrophysics Research at the University of Hertfordshire. Based on observations made with the NASA Galaxy Evolution Explorer. GALEX is operated for NASA by the California Institute of Technology under NASA contract NAS5-98034. Photometric data were also obtained using the 1.3m McGraw-Hill Telescope of the MDM Observatory, and spectroscopic data are based on observations obtained at the William Herschel Telescope, operated by the Isaac Newton Group in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofsica de Canarias. Part of this work relied on data obtained from the ESO/ST-ECF Science Archive Facility. We acknowledge the usage of the HyperLeda database (http://leda.univ-lyon1.fr). This project also made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

## REFERENCES

- Bender R., Burstein D., Faber S. M., 1993, ApJ, 411, 153 Bernardi M., et al., 2003, AJ, 125, 1882
- Bertola F., Capaccioli M., Holm A. V., Oke J. B., 1980, ApJL, 237, L65
- Boselli A., et al., 2005, ApJ, 629, L29
- Bressan A., Chiosi C., Fagotto F., 1994, ApJS, 94, 63
- Brown T. M., 2004, Ap&SS, 291, 215
- Brown T. M., Ferguson H. C., Davidsen A. F., Doorman B., 1997, ApJ, 482, 685

- Burstein D., Bertola F., Buson L. M., Faber S. M., Lauer T. R., 1988, ApJ, 328, 440 [BBBFL88]
- Burstein D., Davies R. L., Dressler A., Faber S. M., Lynden-Bell D., 1988, in Towards understanding galaxies at large redshift (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers), p. 17
  Buzzoni A., González-Lópezlira R. A., 2008, ApJ, 686, 1007
  Congraduati M., Eusellan, E., 2004, BASD, 112
- Cappellari M., Emsellem E., 2004, PASP, 116, 138
- Cappellari M., et al., 2006, MNRAS, 366, 1126 [Paper IV] Cappellari M., et al., 2007, MNRAS, 379, 418 [Paper X]
- Cappenan M., et al., 2007, MINRAS, 579, 416 [Faper A]
- Cardelli J. A., Clayton G. C., Mathis J. S., 1989, ApJ, 345, 245
- Code A. D., Welch G. A., Page T., 1972, in Code A. D., ed., Scientific Results from the Orbiting Astronomical Observatory (OAO-2). NASA SP-310, p. 559
- Code A. D., Welch G. A., 1979, ApJ, 228, 95
- Colless M., Burstein D., Davies R. L., McMahan R. K., Saglia R. P., Wegner G., 1999, MNRAS, 303, 813
- Combes F., Young L. M., Bureau M., 2007, MNRAS, 377, 1795
- Cowie L. L., Songaila A., Hu E. M., Cohen J. G., 1996, AJ, 112, 839
- Crocker A. F., Bureau M., Young L. M., Combes F., 2010, MNRAS, in press
- Djorgovski S., Davis M., 1987, ApJ, 313, 59
- Deharveng J.-M., Boselli A., Donas J., 2002, A&A, 393, 843
- de Vaucouleurs G., de Vaucouleurs A., Corwin H. G., Buta R. J., Paturel G., Fouque P., 1991, *Third Reference Cataloque of Bright Galaxies* (Springer-Verlag: New York)
- de Zeeuw P. T., et al., 2002, MNRAS, 329, 513 [Paper II] Donas J., et al., 2007, ApJS, 173, 597
- Dorman B., O'Connell R. W., Rood R. T., 1995, ApJ, 442, 105
- Dressler A., Lynden-Bell D., Burstein D., Davies R. L., Faber S. M., Terlevich R., Wegner G., 1987, ApJ, 313, 42
- Edvardsson B., Andersen J., Gustafsson B., Lambert D. L., Nissen P. E., Tomkin J., 1993, A&A, 275, 101
- Emsellem E., et al., 2004, MNRAS, 352, 721 [Paper III]
- Emsellem E., et al., 2007, MNRAS, 379, 401 [Paper IX]
- Faber S. M., 1983, Highlights of Astronomy, 6, 165
- Faber S. M., Friel E. D., Burstein D., Gaskell C. M., 1985, ApJS, 57, 711
- Ferreras I., Silk J., 2000, ApJ, 541, L37
- Fuhrmann K., 1998, A&A, 338, 161
- Gil de Paz A., et al., 2007, ApJS, 173, 185
- Gorgas J., Efstathiou G., Aragon Salamanca A., 1990, MN-RAS, 245, 217
- Greggio L., Renzini A., 1990, ApJ, 364, 35
- Guo J., Zhang F., Zhang X., Han Z., 2010, Ap&SS, 51, in press
- Han Z., Podsiadlowski Ph., Lynas-Gray A. E., 2007, MN-RAS, 380, 1098
- Heber U., 2009, ARA&A, 47, 211
- Han Z., Podsiadlowski Ph., Maxted P. F. L., Marsh T. R., 2003, MNRAS, 341, 669
- Horch E., Demarque P., Pinsonneault M., 1992, ApJ, 388, L53
- Jeong H., et al., 2009, MNRAS, 398, 2028 [Paper XIII]
- Kaviraj S., Sohn S. T., O'Connell R. W., Yoon S.-J., Lee Y.-W., Yi S. K., 2007, MNRAS, 377, 987
- Kaviraj S., et al., 2007, ApJS, 173, 619
- Kuntschner H., et al., 2006, MNRAS, 369, 497 [Paper VI]

- Kuntschner H., et al., 2010, MNRAS, 408, 97 [Paper XVII] Landolt A. U., 1992, AJ, 104, 340
- Lee Y.-W., Demarque P., Zinn R., 1994, ApJ, 423, 248
- Lee Y.-W., Gim H. B., Casetti-Dinescu D. I., 2007, ApJ,  $661,\,\mathrm{L49}$
- Lee Y.-W., et al., 2005a, ApJ, 619, L103
- Lee Y.-W., et al., 2005b, ApJ, 621, L57  $\,$
- Martin D. C., et al., 2005, ApJ, 619, L1
- Maxted P. F. L., Heber U., Marsh T. R., North R. C., 2001, MNRAS, 326, 1391
- McDermid R. M., et al., 2006, MNRAS, 373, 906 [Paper VIII]
- Morrissey P., et al., 2005, ApJ, 619, L7
- O'Connell R. W., 1999, ARA&A, 37, 603
- Park J.-H., Lee Y.-W., 1997, ApJ, 476, 28
- Ree C. H., et al., 2007, ApJS, 173, 607
- Rich R. M., 1988, AJ, 95, 828
- Rich R. M., et al., 2005, ApJL, 619, L107
- Sarzi M., et al., 2006, MNRAS, 366, 1151 [Paper V]
- Sarzi M., et al., 2010, MNRAS, 402, 2187 [Paper XVI]
- Schawinski K., Thomas D., Sarzi M., Maraston C., Kaviraj S., Joo S.-J., Yi S. K., Silk J., 2007, MNRAS, 382, 1415
- Schawinski K., et al., 2006, Nature, 442, 888
- Schawinski K., et al., 2007, ApJS, 173, 512
- Schiavon R. P., 2007, ApJS, 171, 146
- Schlegel D. J., Finkbeiner D. P., Davis M., 1998, ApJ, 500, 525
- Scott N., et al., MNRAS, 2009, 398, 1835 [Paper XIV]
- Shapiro K. L., et al., 2010, MNRAS, 402, 2140 [Paper XV]
- Sohn S. T., O'Connell R. W., Kundu A., Landsman W. B., Burstein D., Bohlin R. C., Frogel J. A., Rose J. A., 2006, AJ, 131, 866
- Temi P., Brighenti F., Mathews W. G., 2009, ApJ, 695, 1
- Terlevich R., Davies R. L., Faber S. M., Burstein D., 1981, MNRAS, 196, 381
- Thomas D., Greggio L., Bender R., 1998, MNRAS, 296, 119
- Thomas D., Maraston C., Bender R., 2003, MNRAS, 339, 897
- Thomas D., Maraston C., Bender R., Mendes de Oliveira C., ApJ, 621, 673
- Thomas D., Maraston C., Schawinski K., Sarzi M., Joo S.-J., Kaviraj S., Yi S. K., Silk J., 2009, MNRAS, submitted
- Treu T., Ellis R. S., Liao T. X., van Dokkum P. G., 2005, ApJL, 622, L5
- Worthey G., Faber S. M., Gonzalez J. J., 1992, ApJ, 398, 69
- Yi S. K., 2003, ApJ, 582, 202
- Yi S. K., 2008, in Heber U., Jeffery C. S., Napiwotzki R., eds., Hot Subdwarf Stars and Related Objects, ASP: San Francisco, 3
- Yi S., Demarque P., Kim Y.-C., 1997, ApJ, 482, 677
- Yi S., Lee Y.-W., Woo J.-H., Park J.-H., Demarque P., Oemler A. Jr., 1999, ApJ, 513, 128
- Yi S. K., Yoon S.-J., 2004, Ap&SS, 291, 205
- Yi S. K., et al., 2005, ApJL, 619, 111
- Young L. M., et al., 2010, MNRAS, submitted

## APPENDIX A: AVERAGE LINESTRENGTH MEASUREMENTS

Formally, to measure the average linestrength within a SAURON aperture containing multiple bins, we should sum the (fully calibrated) spectra of all the bins within that aperture and re-measure the linestrength. However, recalculating the linestrength implies re-deriving the stellar kinematics, re-subtracting the ionised gas emission, re-correcting the linestrength for velocity broadening, etc, as done in Paper VI. It is thus a time-consuming task. Furthermore, in a companion paper exploring the UV-linestrength relations as a function of radius within individual galaxies (Jeong et al., in preparation), we integrate the linestrengths over elliptical annuli (rather than elliptical apertures). The resulting LOSVDs can then be significantly non-Gaussian, which makes the stellar kinematic and velocity dispersion correction calculations problematic. It is thus preferable to find a method to integrate (i.e. average) the linestrengths in an aperture using the linestrength measurements in individual bins themselves.

In Figure A1, we show the properly averaged linestrength measurements of Paper XVII within a circular aperture of radius  $R_{\rm e}$ , compared to measurements obtained by simply averaging the linestrength values of the individual bins within that same aperture, weighted by the luminosity of each bin. While there is some scatter, it is much smaller than the range of values covered, the difference between the two measurements is always small, typically less than the error on each measurement (Paper XVII quotes a formal random uncertainty on integrated linestrengths of at most 0.1 Å, and we have adopted the same uncertainty on our measurements here), and the few outliers systematically have very large effective radii. Most importantly, there is no significant trend with the linestrength values themselves. An aperture of  $R_{\rm e}$  is also the worst case scenario, and even smaller differences are obtained for smaller apertures involving fewer bins. It thus seems that, although not formally equivalent, simple luminosity-weighted linestrength averages do yield accurate estimates. We have thus adopted this averaging method for SAURON papers requiring integrated linestrength values (e.g., Scott et al. 2009, hereafter Paper XIV; Jeong et al., in preparation).



**Figure A1.** Comparison of integrated linestrength values obtained by properly summing the spectra (labeled "sum") and simply luminosity-weighting the linestrength values themselves (labeled "lwa") within circular apertures of radii  $R_{\rm e}$ . Error bars are omitted for clarity, but the adopted formal random error on either quantity is 0.1 Å. *Top:* Mgb. *Middle:* Fe5015. *Bottom:* H $\beta$ . The scatter is always much smaller than the range of values covered and there is no significant systematic trend. The dotted lines show the 0.14 Å formal random error on the difference.