

The multiphase biconical outflow in the local infrared-luminous merger IRAS F01364-1042

Y. Song^{1,2,3,*}, V. U^{4,5}, J. Kader⁵, M. Bianchin^{5,6,7,★}, J. Agostino⁸, L. Barcos-Muñoz^{9,10},
 N. Torres-Albà¹⁰, A. Medling⁸, C. Ricci^{11,12}, L. Armus⁴, T. S.-Y. Lai⁴, L. Ghodsi¹³, A. Evans^{9,10},
 H. Inami¹⁴, S. Stierwalt¹⁵, T. Bohn¹⁴, K. L. Emig⁹, V. Buiten¹⁶, G. Donnelly⁸, E. Treister¹⁷,
 A. Jimenez-Gallardo¹, T. Böker¹⁸, D. Kakkad¹⁹, S. T. Linden²⁰, P. van der Werf¹⁶, V. Charmandaris^{21,22,23},
 R. Remigio⁵, L. Lenkić⁴, C. Finlez^{1,2}, M. Sánchez-García^{22,23}, C. Lofaro^{22,23}, A. Saravia^{9,10}, I. Yoon⁹,
 G. C. Privon^{9,10,24}, T. Gao^{25,26}, H. Aziz⁵, R. McGurk²⁷, T. Díaz-Santos^{22,23},
 D. Kunneriath⁹, and David B. Sanders²⁸

(Affiliations can be found after the references)

Received 5 November 2025 / Accepted 3 June 2026

ABSTRACT

Aims. We investigate the spatially resolved (interstellar medium) ISM properties of the local ($z = 0.048$), infrared-luminous ($L_{\text{IR}} = 10^{11.87} L_{\odot}$), late-stage galaxy merger IRAS F01364-1042. We combined multiwavelength IFU observations from JWST/MIRI-MRS, ALMA, and Keck/KCWI. The system exhibits excess emission from mid-infrared (MIR) H_2 lines relative to dust, as identified in a previous Spitzer/IRS survey of local IR-luminous galaxies (LIRGs). It has been speculated that the bright H_2 emission could arise from widespread shocks associated with a powerful outflow driven by starburst and/or active galactic nucleus (AGN) activity.

Methods. Using our multiwavelength datasets, we assessed and compared the resolved morphology and kinematics of multiphase gas tracers on subkiloparsec (subkpc) scales. We constructed emission line maps of several key tracers of the ionized, warm molecular, and cold molecular gas, such as [Ne II] $12.8\mu\text{m}$, [O III] 45007 , H_2 $0-0\text{S}(3)$, and CO ($J = 2-1$), respectively. We performed a detailed decomposition of spectra extracted in resolved regions across the areas of emission, with a focus on the central ~ 3 kpc of the system covered by all our datasets.

Results. We confirm the presence of a multiphase galactic biconical outflow along the minor axis of a highly inclined rotating disk, based on the multiphase gas morphology and prominent line broadening ($\text{FWHM} > 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) in the ionized gas tracers detected out to ~ 5 kpc from the nucleus. The molecular gas tracers are detected out to ~ 2 kpc, exhibiting a distinct X-shaped morphology and different velocity fields compared to the ionized gas, which we attribute to the higher concentration of molecular gas near the disk. We adopted a simple model to interpret the observed multiphase gas kinematics and infer an outflow velocity of $\sim 500\text{--}600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $\sim 350 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and $\sim 200\text{--}300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, in the ionized, warm, and cold molecular phases, respectively. The corresponding mass outflow rates are $\sim 0.3\text{--}2.3$, ~ 31 , and $\sim 38\text{--}240 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The cold molecular phase dominates both the total mass outflow rate and the associated kinetic energy ($\sim 2\text{--}8 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$). Via the JWST/MIRI-MRS detection of the [Ne V] $14.3\mu\text{m}$ line, we identified, for the first time, a dust-obscured AGN in IRAS F01364-1042. The low inferred AGN bolometric luminosity ($1.2\text{--}1.8 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$) suggests that the nuclear starburst alone, with a star formation rate of $\sim 40\text{--}60 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, can account for the energy required to drive the outflow, although a more active AGN phase in the recent past might also have played a role.

Conclusions. Our work showcases the need for multiwavelength observations in interpreting the gas dynamics in merger-driven dusty starbursts, as well as the capability of JWST/MIRI-MRS in uncovering obscured low-luminosity AGNs that could prove common in these systems.

Key words. galaxies: ISM – galaxies: individual: IRASF01364-1042 – galaxies: nuclei – galaxies: starburst

1. Introduction

Gas-rich galaxy mergers represent a crucial transformative phase in the formation and evolution of massive galaxies. Simulations have predicted that nuclear gas inflows attributed to strong gravitational torque during a merger would trigger both a powerful nuclear starburst and accretion onto the central supermassive black hole (SMBH) (e.g., Di Matteo et al. 2005; Springel et al. 2005a; Hopkins et al. 2006). During this phase, the rapid, simultaneous growth of the galaxy's stellar content and the SMBH takes place behind thick layers of dust and gas (e.g., Blecha et al. 2018), until the energy released by the accreting SMBH (i.e., an active galactic nuclei, AGN) disperses the obscuring materials, revealing the bright and powerful central engine as a quasar (Springel et al. 2005b; Hopkins et al. 2005). This clearing process, known as AGN feedback and taking the form of winds and outflows, is thought to be respon-

sible for shutting down galaxy star formation (SF) and further SMBH growth, which eventually leads to the formation of massive quiescent galaxies (e.g., Springel et al. 2005b; Khalatyan et al. 2008; Hopkins et al. 2013). Throughout this transformation, the SMBH and its host galaxy would evolve in tandem (e.g., Silk & Rees 1998; Kauffmann & Haehnelt 2000; Wyithe & Loeb 2003), which could explain the observed cut-off at the bright end of the local galaxy luminosity distribution (e.g., Bower et al. 2006), and the widely observed tight relations between the masses of SMBHs and various properties of their host galaxies (i.e., stellar velocity dispersion, bulge mass, and luminosity; e.g., Magorrian et al. 1998; Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; McConnell & Ma 2013).

Luminous and ultraluminous infrared galaxies (LIRGs: $L_{\text{IR}[8-1000\mu\text{m}]} > 10^{11-12} L_{\odot}$; ULIRGs: $L_{\text{IR}[8-1000\mu\text{m}]} > 10^{12} L_{\odot}$) in the Local Universe ($z < 0.1$) provide the best laboratories for performing detailed studies of the fueling and feedback processes associated with the evolutionary scenario described above. Predominantly triggered by interactions

* Corresponding author: yiqing.song@eso.org

★ IAU Gruber Fellow.

or mergers between gas-rich spirals (see review by Sanders & Mirabel 1996), these systems are known to host extremely compact ($r \lesssim 100$ pc) nuclear starbursts that reach above $1000 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$ in terms of the star formation rate (SFR) surface density (Σ_{SFR} ; e.g., Condon et al. 1991; Barcos-Muñoz et al. 2017; U et al. 2019; Larson et al. 2020; Song et al. 2022). In many cases, these starbursts are accompanied by powerful, but heavily obscured AGN ($N_{\text{H}} > 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) that are detected only in the radio, mid-IR (MIR), hard X-rays (e.g., Iwasawa et al. 2011; Stierwalt et al. 2013; Petric et al. 2011; Vardoulaki et al. 2015; Inami et al. 2018; Torres-Albà et al. 2018; Ricci et al. 2021), and can even reach quasar-level luminosity (Boksenberg et al. 1977; Sanders et al. 1988; Rupke & Veilleux 2013). These extreme nuclear activities also drive powerful outflows that have been observed ubiquitously in local LIRGs and ULIRGs across different phases of the interstellar medium (ISM) and physical scales (e.g., Heckman et al. 1990; Rupke et al. 2005b; Veilleux et al. 2005, 2013; Spoon et al. 2013; Ciccone et al. 2014; Hill & Zakamska 2014; Cazzoli et al. 2016; Barcos-Muñoz et al. 2018; Pereira-Santaella et al. 2018; U et al. 2019; Lutz et al. 2020; Fluetsch et al. 2021; Perna et al. 2021; Lamperti et al. 2022), manifesting signs of rapid galactic scale transformation as predicted by theoretical works. However, many studies associate these outflows with the nuclear starburst rather than AGN activity (e.g. Rupke et al. 2005a; Lamperti et al. 2022), the role of which remains challenging to quantify as their signatures are often attenuated by the heavy nuclear dust extinction.

The supreme spatial resolution and sensitivity of the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST; Gardner et al. 2023) have enabled a new, detailed view of the multiphase ISM in local LIRGs and ULIRGs, providing low-extinction and spatially resolved diagnostics on the properties of the interstellar dust, as well as the ionized, hot ($T \sim 1000\text{--}3000$ K), and warm ($100 \lesssim T \lesssim 1000$ K) molecular gas around their obscured nuclei on subkpc scales, as successfully demonstrated in the past with the Early Science Release program 1328 (PI: L. Armus, A. Evans; e.g., Lai et al. 2022; U et al. 2022; Lai et al. 2023; Armus et al. 2023; Rich et al. 2023; Bianchin et al. 2024; Buiten et al. 2024; Bohn et al. 2024). To this end, we have obtained new JWST/MIRI-MRS observations in Cycle-1 (1717; PI: V. U) to study the ISM properties in seven local U/LIRGs from the Great Observatories All-sky LIRG Survey (GOALS; Armus et al. 2009) selected on the basis of their extraordinarily bright MIR- and near-IR (NIR) H_2 emission. These galaxies were first highlighted by Stierwalt et al. (2013, 2014) based on their high H_2/PAH luminosity ratios measured with Spitzer/IRS on kpc scales, which were attributed to enhanced rotational H_2 emission from either AGN heating or shocked warm molecular gas, rather than weak PAH emission that traces SF. Observations with Keck/OSIRIS similarly revealed enhanced NIR ro-vibrational H_2 relative to $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission in the immediate vicinity of these galactic nuclei at spatial resolutions of $\lesssim 100$ pc (e.g. Medling et al. 2014; U et al. 2019), pointing to nuclear activity as a key driver behind the enhanced H_2 emission. These new JWST/MIRI-MRS observations, further complemented by ancillary multiwavelength IFU datasets from Keck, VLT, and ALMA, enable comprehensive multiphase diagnostics to be carried out on the nuclear power source and putative outflows to be determined for these bright H_2 -emitters at matched, sub-kpc scales. Several case studies utilizing these datasets have been presented by U et al. (2022), Bianchin et al. (2024) and Kader et al. (2026), who identified powerful multiphase outflows

driven by AGN photo-ionization and/or radio jets in the Seyfert galaxies NGC 7469 and VV 340a.

In this work, we focus on a starburst-dominated galaxy from the sample, the late-stage galaxy merger and LIRG ($L_{\text{IR}} = 10^{11.87} L_{\odot}$; Armus et al. 2009), IRAS F01364–1042 (hereafter, IRASF01364), shown in Figure 1. As noted by Stierwalt et al. (2014), IRASF01364 shows distinctly high $L_{\text{H}_2}/L_{\text{PAH}}$ and $L_{\text{H}_2}/L_{\text{IR}}$ ratios among galaxies in the GOALS sample, making it a promising target to search for shocked molecular outflows at higher spatial resolution. While its compactness and high luminosity surface density in the radio suggest an AGN presence (Vardoulaki et al. 2015; Barcos-Muñoz et al. 2017; Song et al. 2022), observations in the MIR, X-ray, and optical all indicate starburst activity as the dominant source of its bolometric luminosity (Stierwalt et al. 2013; Veilleux et al. 1995; Yuan et al. 2010; Iwasawa et al. 2011; Díaz-Santos et al. 2017). Any interpretation of these results is complicated by the relatively low spatial and spectral resolution of the datasets, as well as high dust content in the source, as evidenced by its high IR/UV ratio (~ 250 ; Howell et al. 2010) and deep $9.7 \mu\text{m}$ silicate absorption feature ($s_{9.7} = -1.27$; Stierwalt et al. 2013). High-resolution ground-based NIR IFU observations reveal a 200 pc-radius rotating nuclear gas disk in ro-vibrational H_2 and $\text{Pa}\alpha$ emission, with the former showing turbulent motion beyond the nuclear disk that potential traces a nuclear molecular outflow (Medling et al. 2014, 2015; U et al. 2019).

In this work, we report the first confirmation and characterization of a kpc-scale multiphase biconical outflow and a dust-obscured AGN in IRASF01364, combining new sensitive IFU observations from JWST/MIRI-MRS, Keck/KCWI, and ALMA. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the observations utilized in this work and the data reduction procedures. We describe the analyses performed on the multi-wavelength datasets in Section 3 and present the key results in Section 4, where we also identify the kinematic and morphological signature of the outflow, along with a characterization of the spatially resolved properties of the dust and multiphase gas in the system. We combined these results to infer the energetics of the newly confirmed outflow and its origin in Section 5, in the context of similar galactic outflows reported in the literature. We summarize our main findings in Section 6.

Throughout this paper, we assume cosmological parameters of $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_{\text{vacuum}} = 0.72$, and $\Omega_{\text{matter}} = 0.28$ based on the 5 yr Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe result (Hinshaw et al. 2009) and the three-attractor model (Mould et al. 2000). IRASF01364 has a redshift of $z = 0.04819$ determined from optical stellar absorption features (see Section 2.4), corresponding to a luminosity distance of $D_L = 214 \text{ Mpc}$, with a scale of $945 \text{ pc}''$.

2. Data and reduction

2.1. HST

To visualize the optical morphology of IRASF01364, we downloaded calibrated HST images in filters $F336W$, $F435W$ and $F814W$ (ID: 10592, 16914; PI: A. Evans; Kim et al. 2013, Evans et al., in prep.) from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes Portal (MAST)¹. The central wavelengths and widths of the HST filters, in units of $\text{\AA}$, are 3361 and 554, 4297 and 1038, and 8333 and 2511, respectively, for $F336W$, $F435W$, and $F814W$ ². The images were corrected for astrometric offsets

¹ <https://mast.stsci.edu>

² <https://hst-docs.stsci.edu>




Fig. 1. HST images of IRAS F01364-1042. (a) False color image with F336W, F435W and F814W filters, which are represented in blue, green and red, respectively. The Half-Power-Beam-Width (HPBW) of the ALMA Band 6 primary beam, and the fields-of-view (FoVs) of the calibrated data cubes from Keck/KCWI and the longest wavelength channel of JWST/MIRI-MRS (i.e., ch4) are outlined in white, cyan, and red, respectively. (b) Zoom-in on the HST F435W – F814W map in magnitude, where a darker grey shade indicates a redder (F435W – F814W) color, and thus higher dust extinction. Colored boxes outline the FoVs of the JWST/MIRI-MRS ch1-ch4 cubes. Prominent, nonuniform dust structures can be seen extending from the nucleus out to ~ 5 kpc into the galaxy. The large FoVs of the Keck/KCWI and ALMA datasets allow characterization of the ISM across the entire system, while JWST/MIRI-MRS probes the immediate vicinity of the dust-obscured nucleus.

using field stars with coordinates provided in Gaia DR3 catalogue (Gaia Collaboration 2023) as initial references. The corrections were visually fine-tuned using common features seen in the images, resulting in an average correction of $-0''.3$ in RA and $+0''.4$ in Dec. In Figure 1, we show a zoomed-in view of the HST color composite image centered on the system, with F336W (blue), F435W (green) and F814W (red) tracing emission from unobscured young massive stars, tidal features, and dusty materials, respectively. In the same figure, we further show the F435W – F814W map to highlight the heavy and nonuniform dust extinction at and around the galaxy nucleus, underlining the necessity of JWST/MIRI observations for uncovering its nature. The fields of view (FoVs) of the multiwavelength IFU datasets (see the next sections) are also visualized.

2.2. JWST/MIRI-MRS

The MIR IFU observations of IRAS F01364 were carried out with the JWST Mid-Infrared Instrument (MIRI; Rieke et al. 2015) in medium resolution spectroscopy (MRS) mode (hereafter as MRS; Wells et al. 2015) on August 23, 2023, as part of Cycle-1 GO Program 1717 (PI: V. U). Exposures across the short (A), medium (B), and long (C) subbands of each of the four wavelength channels resulted in observations that cover the full $4.9\text{--}28.8\ \mu\text{m}$ range. The SLOWR1 readout pattern and standard four-point dither pattern were adopted in each subband observation of the science target, with an integration time of 800 s per exposure. Dedicated background exposures were taken within each of the three subbands using a two-point dither pattern.

The uncalibrated frames were downloaded from MAST and reduced using the JWST Science Calibration Pipeline version 1.18.0 (Bushouse et al. 2023) and CRDS context of `jwst_1364.pmap`. We utilized the official MIRI MRS Pipeline Notebook (Law et al. 2025)³, which includes steps to apply

detector-level corrections, outlier/cosmic-ray shower detection, wavelength calibration, flux calibration, background subtraction, and co-addition of dither frames. The final calibrated cubes have FoVs of $5''.1 \times 4''.3$, $6''.3 \times 5''.3$, $7''.8 \times 7''.0$, and $9''.5 \times 9''.5$ (see Figure 1), with spaxel sizes of $0''.13$, $0''.20$, $0''.245$, and $0''.273$ for channels 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. We adopted wavelength-dependent spectral resolutions (R) characterized by Argyriou et al. (2023), which yield $R(\lambda) = 4603 - 128\lambda$, where λ is the observed wavelength in μm . We perform an astrometric correction by aligning the emission peak of the collapsed channel cubes with that of the 334 GHz dust continuum image from ALMA (see Section 2.3), both of which are dominated by the IR-bright nucleus. The average corrections are $-0''.02$ in RA and $-0''.08$ in Dec, in line with the expected pointing performance of JWST (Patapis et al. 2024). Following Lai et al. (2023), we model the point-spread-function (PSF) at each channel using MRS observations of the standard star HD 159222 (PID: 1050; PI: B. Vandenbussche), which yield Full-Width-Half-Maximum (FWHM) of $\sim 0''.27\text{--}0''.31$, $0''.38\text{--}0''.46$, $0''.53\text{--}0''.66$ and $0''.80\text{--}1''.05$ for ch1, ch2, ch3 and ch4, respectively. In Figure 2, we display maps of the median flux values at rest-frame 5, 10, 15, 25 μm to illustrate the increasing PSF size towards longer wavelengths. The full spectra extracted from all MRS cubes using two different apertures are also shown in the same figure along with the Spitzer/IRS spectrum of the entire galaxy (extracted with a $10'' \times 4''$ slit; Stierwalt et al. 2013) to showcase the high spectral resolution of the former. We describe the spectral extractions in Section 3.1.

2.3. ALMA

We utilized archival subarcsecond ALMA datasets for IRAS F01364 from programs 2017.1.01235.S, 2018.1.00279.S (Bands 3 and 7; PI: L. Barcos-Muñoz) and 2019.1.00811.S (Band 6; PI: A. Medling; Agostino et al. 2026) to compare the multifrequency continuum and bulk molecular gas emission traced by CO ($J = 1\text{--}0$, $2\text{--}1$, $3\text{--}2$) with MIR emission probed

³ <https://github.com/spacetelescope/jwst-pipeline-notebooks>




Fig. 2. MIR emission of IRAS F01364 across the MRS wavelength range. Top: Median flux density map at rest-frame 5, 10, 15, 25 μm from ch1, ch2, ch3 and ch4 cubes, respectively. All images are zoomed to the same scale, where the physical size corresponding to 1'' is indicated with the red scale bar in the lower right corner of each panel. The cyan circles, with a fixed radius of $r = 1''.5$, indicate the cylindrical aperture used to extract the integrated MIR spectrum from the central ~ 3 kpc of the galaxy that is covered by all channel cubes. The black circles indicate the expanding conical aperture, with $r = 0''.2$ at 5 μm and $r = 1''.0$ at 25 μm , used to extract the spectrum of the compact (~ 100 pc) nuclear starburst, as portrayed by the ALMA 334 GHz continuum image, shown in white contours. The red ellipse indicates the synthesized beam size of the 334 GHz continuum image (see Section 2.3). Bottom: Spitzer/IRS spectrum from Stierwalt et al. (2013) (in grey), compared to the full MRS spectra extracted with the fixed-radius, cylindrical aperture (cyan) and expanding conical aperture (black). For the latter, the total fitted spectral profile and the underlying continuum and PAH profiles from CAFE spectral decomposition are overlaid in red, dark blue (dashed) and green, respectively. See Section 3.1 for details. While recovering the emission previously detected with Spitzer/IRS, the high spectral resolution of MRS allows a much more detailed characterization of the various MIR emission and absorption features present in IRAS F01364.

by MRS. To minimize biases related to interferometric spatial filtering, from these ALMA programs, we selected only the observations that recover an emission with spatial extent larger than 1'' (i.e., the largest angular scale, $\text{LAS} > 1''$) to avoid bias introduced by interferometric spatial filtering when comparing with MRS observations. Details of these datasets are provided in Table A.1 in Appendix A. All observations are single-pointing, and we illustrate the half power beam width (HPBW) of the Primary Beam ($\sim 24''$) at Band 6 in Figure 1.

We downloaded the raw datasets from the ALMA Science Archive⁴ and recover the calibrated measurement set (MS) for each dataset by rerunning the `scriptForPI.py` script using CASA (McMullin et al. 2007; CASA Team et al. 2022) in pipeline mode using the version listed in the associated QA2 report. We then imaged the continuum emission at each band using CASA `tclean` after flagging line emission in each MS, combining MSs from multiple executions and/or array configurations, using the multiterm multifrequency deconvolver (Rau & Cornwell 2011) with `nterms` of 2 to account for the

likely nonflat continuum spectral shape. Afterwards, we recover the flagged line emission in each MS, perform continuum subtraction using `uvcontsub`, and image the CO line emission cube combining MSs covering the same CO transition, in native velocity channels of 10.5, 10.5, and 7.1 km s^{-1} , respectively, for the Band 3, 6, and 7 datasets. We adopted the MultiScale deconvolving algorithm (Cornwell 2008) with scales of 5, 10, 30 pixels to model the line emission with components of different sizes, with pixel cell sizes of $0''.05$, $0''.06$, and $0''.07$ for the Band 3, 6, and 7 images respectively. For both the continuum and line imaging, we adopted Briggs weighting with robustness of 2.0 (Briggs 1995) to emphasize the extended emission. We manually drew the clean masks iteratively until no significant residual remained down to the $1\sigma_{\text{rms}}$ threshold, where σ_{rms} is the root-mean-square (rms) noise measured from an emission-free region in the dirty image. This is reported in Table A.1 along with other properties of the final cleaned images and cubes. The synthesized beams of the final imaging products have FWHM of $\sim 0''.3$ – $0''.5$ across all three Bands. The contours from the Band 7 334 GHz continuum image, which has the smallest synthesized beam, are also shown in Figure 2.

⁴ <https://almascience.eso.org/aq/>




Fig. 3. Optical emission of IRASF01364 as observed by Keck/KCWI. Left: Map of the peak flux density of the [O II] $\lambda\lambda 3729,3726$ line, overlaid with the 5σ contour of the stellar continuum measured in the adjacent wavelength range, in yellow. For context, the cyan circle marks the aperture used to extract the integrated MRS spectrum shown in Figure 2. The same aperture is used to extract the spectra shown in the right panels. Right: KCWI spectra extracted from the final reduced and aligned BH1L, BH3L, RH1L and RH2L cubes. The fitted stellar spectra derived from ppxf are shown in red. See Section 3.3 for details. The [O II] emission map reveals extended ionized gas emission around IRASF01364 beyond the bulk of the stellar emission on 10 kpc-scale. A number of other key emission lines are also detected, allowing further characterization of the ionized gas properties.

2.4. Keck/KCWI

To complement the MRS observation of the central region of IRASF01364, we further obtained new optical wide-field IFU observations with the Keck Cosmic Wave Imager (Morrissey et al. 2018) on the Keck II telescope (2023B_K454; PI: R. McGurk). The observations were carried out on September 17, 2023 under clear sky conditions with seeing of $0''.9$ using a two-point dither pattern with a dedicated off-target sky frame. The BH1, BH3, RH1 and RH2 gratings were adopted to cover wavelength ranges of 3500–4100, 4700–5600, 5900–6485 and 6592–7297 Å, respectively, with a high spectral resolution of $R \sim 3500$ and ~ 4500 , for RH and BH gratings, respectively. Utilizing the large IFU slicer, we achieve a FoV of $33'' \times 20''.4$ per pointing with slit width-limited angular resolution ($0''.3$ sampling rate along the $1''.4$ -wide slit). The detector was spatially binned by a factor of 2×2 . Basic reduction and wavelength solutions for the spectroscopic data were performed using the official KCWI data reduction pipeline KCWI_DRP v1.0⁵, which also performs flux calibration and sky subtraction using dedicated sky pointings. The individual spectral cubes were cropped and co-added using the CWITools Python package (O’Sullivan & Chen 2020). The reduced data cubes have a common FOV of $36'' \times 32''$ in pixel scale of $0''.29$, outlined in Figure 1 in cyan, and the instrumental FWHM for the emission lines was measured to be 0.9 Å. We used the WCS-corrected HST F336W and F435W images (see Section 2.1) as reference for fine-tuning the astrometry of the KCWI cubes until the UV/optical continuum morphology traced by these datasets visually match. The average corrections are $-0''.8$ in RA and $+0''.7$ in Dec for the RH gratings and $-1''.1$ in RA and $-2''.3$ in Dec for the BH gratings.

In Figure 3, we showcase the data quality, in particular highlighting the extended ionized gas emission as traced by the [O II] $\lambda\lambda 3729,3726$ doublet covered by the BH1L cube, as well as spectra extracted from all four cubes, which we analyze in more detail in Section 3.3. As the BH1L spectrum shows

the most prominent stellar absorption features, we derived the source redshift from this spectrum by performing spectral fitting with ppxf (Cappellari 2023) and the FSPS stellar templates (Conroy et al. 2009; Conroy & Gunn 2010). The resulted redshift is $z = 0.04819 \pm 0.00012$ accounting for the uncertainties associated with the spectral resolution (~ 34 km/s). We experiment with different aperture radii (i.e., $0''.5$, $1''.0$, $2''.0$) for the spectral extraction, which yield consistent results. The derived value also agrees well with the redshift (i.e., 0.04823) reported in the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED⁶), obtained from SDSS DR13 (Albareti et al. 2017). The wavelength ranges spanned by the data cubes cover important emission lines for diagnostics of the excitation, dust extinction and density of the ionized gas, e.g., [O II] $\lambda\lambda 3729,3726$, H β , [O III] $\lambda\lambda 4959,5007$, [O I] $\lambda\lambda 6300,6366$, H α , [N II] $\lambda\lambda 6548,6584$ and [S II] $\lambda\lambda 6717,6732$, etc. Additionally, the RH1L grating covers Na I D absorptions at 5890 and 5896 Å. Due to the redshift of IRASF01364, the H α -[N II] spectral complex coincides with the atmospheric telluric O₂ B-band absorption feature. We removed this feature via an iterative process using a model O₂ B-band spectrum assuming $T = 296$ K, downloaded from the GEISA 2015 atmospheric molecular line database⁷, after applying a small (1.75 Å) shift and scaling factor to the absorption line widths, until the continuum level within and outside the H α -[N II] complex match.

3. Analysis

3.1. MIRI-MRS

3.1.1. Spectral decomposition and PAH fitting with CAFE

To conduct an initial assessment of the MIR spectral properties of IRASF01364, we utilized the spectral fitting tool CAFE⁸

⁶ <https://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/>

⁷ <https://geisa.aeris-data.fr/geisa-2015>

⁸ <https://github.com/GOALS-survey/CAFE>

⁵ <https://kcwi-drp.readthedocs.io>

(Marshall et al. 2007; Diaz-Santos et al. 2025). The program performs extraction and stitching of spectra from MRS channel cubes, using the longest-wavelength cube as reference, as well as decomposition of the stitched full spectrum into multitemperature continuum, emission lines, and PAH components. Designed to enable the flexible modeling of the complex and often blended PAH profiles, the program also computes wavelength-dependent attenuation (e.g. Lai et al. 2024) due to absorptions from silicates, water ice and hydrogenated amorphous carbon for estimating the intrinsic fluxes of individual PAH features.

As the MIR emission of IRASF01364 is dominated by the nuclear starburst, we first focus on analyzing the nuclear spectrum across all MRS channels extracted with CAFE. To isolate emission from the nuclear starburst, which spans $\sim 0''.4$ in the 334 GHz continuum (see Figure 2), we adopt a conical aperture centered on the 334 GHz emission peak (i.e., RA = 24.72029 deg, Dec = -10.45324 deg) with a radius of $0''.2$ at $5.0 \mu\text{m}$ and $1''.0$ at $25.0 \mu\text{m}$, to account for the increasing MRS PSF with wavelength (see Section 2.2). As the chosen aperture size is twice the PSF FWHM at any given wavelength, no aperture correction was performed. The aperture sizes and the extracted spectrum, along with the results of spectral decomposition with CAFE are shown in Figure 2. We later refer to this spectrum as the “nuclear” spectrum. The observed and attenuation-corrected fluxes of the PAH features obtained from CAFE are listed in Table B.1.

In Figure 2, we also show (in cyan) another spectrum extracted with a cylindrical aperture with a fixed radius of $r = 1''.5$. This is chosen to capture the total MIR emission from the central 3 kpc, which is the largest area covered by all MRS channels. This spectrum closely matches that obtained with Spitzer/IRS with a slit size of $\sim 10'' \times 4''$ (Stierwalt et al. 2013, 2014), shown in grey, confirming that the bulk of the mid-IR (MIR) emission from the system is concentrated in the central few kpc. We later refer to the area defined by this cylindrical aperture as the “central” region or region C, for which we also extract profiles of the submillimeter (submm) CO lines from ALMA datasets (Section 3.2) and the optical emission lines from Keck/KCWI cubes (Section 3.3) to perform a direct comparison between multiphase gas tracers observed within the central ~ 3 kpc of the galaxy. The PAH fluxes obtained with CAFE from this central region are also reported in Table B.1.

3.1.2. Multicomponent fitting of emission lines

As CAFE is designed to model the full MIR spectrum and PAH features rather than individual emission lines (Marshall et al. 2007), we further perform more detailed multicomponent Gaussian fitting with `lmfit`⁹ (Newville et al. 2025) of each emission line to more robustly characterize their flux and kinematics. For each line, we first model and subtract the local continuum in adjacent line-free regions between 0.02 to $0.06 \mu\text{m}$ away from the expected central wavelength, using a third-degree Legendre polynomial. Several atomic lines exhibit double-peaked profiles and broad line wings, indicating the presence of multiple kinematic components. Hence, we model the continuum-subtracted line profile three times, with one, two and three Gaussian components, respectively. Each component is restricted to have a central velocity within $[-500, 500] \text{ km s}^{-1}$ of the line center, and a line width larger than the instrumental line width at the observed wavelength (see Section 2.2). We adopt the default Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm for the least-




Fig. 4. Spectral profiles of atomic (left) and rotational H_2 lines (right) detected in the MRS nuclear spectrum, defined in Section 3.1.1 and shown in Figure 2 (in black). All profiles are normalized by the peak line flux density, displayed in velocity space relative to the expected line center based on the redshift of the galaxy (i.e., $z = 0.04819$) and offset vertically for visualization. From top to bottom, the atomic lines are arranged in order of increasing ionizing potential, and the H_2 lines are ordered from H_2 0-0 S(1) to H_2 0-0 S(9). The best-fit models are displayed in solid lines, with individual fitted Gaussian components shown in dashed lines. The best-fit parameters are presented in Table D.1. See Section 3.1.2 for details. Most ionized gas tracers and some H_2 lines show signs of multiple kinematic components, while broad components with $\text{FWHM} > 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are only detected in the former.

square minimization, accounting for the flux uncertainties associated with the spectrum by providing its reciprocal as weights to the residual. We then choose among the three different models the one with the lowest value of the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) as the best-fit that sufficiently characterizes the line profile without overfitting. We show the fitting results for $\text{H}\alpha$, H_2 0-0 S(2) and $[\text{Ne III}]$ $15.6 \mu\text{m}$ in Appendix C as examples to illustrate the model selection process.

Besides the lines already identified by CAFE (see Figure 2), we also search for highly ionized coronal lines from Satyapal et al. (2021) that may reveal elusive AGN activity in IRASF01364. Notably, the $[\text{Ne V}]$ $14.3 \mu\text{m}$ line with ionizing potentials (IP) of 97 eV is detected at $\text{SNR} > 5$ in both the nuclear and central spectra, while other coronal lines are either not detected or heavily blended with weak PAH or molecular features that prevents reliable identification (e.g., $[\text{Mg V}]$ $13.5 \mu\text{m}$; Buiten et al., in prep.). We leave more comprehensive coronal line identification and characterization to future work (U et al., in prep.) and focus only on the $[\text{Ne V}]$ $14 \mu\text{m}$ line in further analysis (see Section 4.3). In Figure 4 we show the line profiles and best-fit models of several emission lines from the nuclear spectrum, i.e., extracted from the conical aperture as defined in Section 3.1.1. The best-fit parameters and the corresponding uncertainties from `lmfit` for all emission lines identified in the nuclear and central spectra are listed in Table D.1. Most ionized gas tracers show clear double-peaked profiles, with many showing a third broad component with $\text{FWHM} > 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. While some

⁹ <https://lmfit.github.io/lmfit-py>




Fig. 5. MRS maps of the integrated line flux, velocity, and FWHM line width for [Ne III], [Ne II], and H₂ 0-0 S(3) lines (see Section 3.1.3). Spaxels with SNR < 3 in each line are masked. ALMA 334 GHz continuum contours from Figure 2 are overlaid in the [Ne III] maps, and the spectral extraction regions defined in Section 3.1.3 are overlaid on the H₂ 0-0 S(3) maps. While ionized gas tracers ([Ne II], [Ne III]) show compact emission at the nucleus, molecular gas traced by the H₂ line shows extended X-shaped morphology on ~3 kpc scales. Ionized and molecular gas both show enhanced gas dispersion along the SE-NW direction.

H₂ lines also show signs of multiple kinematic components, they generally lie close to the expected velocity and have relatively narrow line widths with FWHM $\lesssim 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. We note that, unless otherwise specified, we do not apply the MIR attenuation derived from CAFE to the MIR line fluxes reported throughout this work, given that PAH, ionized, and molecular gas have different physical origins and spatial distributions as shown in spatially resolved multiwavelength studies of nearby galaxies (e.g., Tielens 2008; Egorov et al. 2025).

3.1.3. Emission line maps and additional spectral fits

To visualize the spatially resolved gas distribution and kinematics, we further generated the flux, velocity and FWHM line-width maps of the emission lines via performing, on each spaxel, the same line fitting procedure as described in Section 3.1.2 with `lmfit`. Even for the brightest lines, the per-spaxel level signals are insufficient for robust characterization with more than one Gaussian component across the FoV of the MRS channel cubes; therefore, we show examples of the resulting maps from single-Gaussian fitting, for [Ne III], [Ne II] and H₂ 0-0 S(3) in Figure 5. The warm molecular gas as traced by the H₂ 0-0 S(3) line, shows extended “X”-shaped structures on ~5 kpc scales, while the ionized gas traced by the neon lines appear mostly concentrated around the nucleus. The ionized and warm molecular gas also appear to move along perpendicular directions, as suggested by the velocity maps shown in the center column, while all gas tracers show broadened linewidths along the SE-NW direction. We elaborate on these findings in Sections 4 and 5.

To investigate variations in the MIR spectral properties along the extended molecular emission, we performed additional spectral extractions and decomposition with CAFE in nine nonoverlapping cylindrical apertures that cover the spatial extent of the

H₂ 0-0 S(3) emission. We set a uniform radius of 0''.5 for these apertures to ensure sufficient SNR for detailed spectral fitting of the emission line profiles while fully encompassing the PSF at the wavelength of the [S III] 18.7 μm line (FWHM $\sim 0''.86$), which is the longest-wavelength emission line of interest. The regions defined by these apertures are also visualized in Figure 5, and are later referred to as regions 1 to 9, where region 5 is centered on the nucleus. To further compare the resolved kinematics between the ionized and warm molecular gas, in Figure 6 we show the line profiles (normalized by the peak flux density) and best-fit models for [Ne II], [Ne III] and H₂ 0-0 S(3). We present the best-fit parameters of these emission line profiles in Table D.2, and the decomposed fluxes of the brightest PAH features from CAFE in Table B.2. Across regions 1–9, the H₂ 0-0 S(3) line can be sufficiently modeled with two narrow components while the neon lines consistently show a broad component in region 2, 3, 5, 7, and 8, corresponding to the areas of enhanced gas dispersion from the emission line maps in Figure 5.

3.2. ALMA

To visualize the distribution and kinematics of the cold molecular gas, we generated moment 0, 1, and 2 maps from the calibrated ALMA CO cubes from Section 2.3, using the 3D source-finding tool `Duchamp` (Whiting 2012). As an example, in Figure 7 we show the maps for the CO ($J = 2-1$) emission at rest-frame frequency (ν_{rest}) of 230.538 GHz, which exhibits extended structures similar to those of H₂ 0-0 S(3) emission (see Figure 5). To study the spatial variation of the cold molecular gas kinematics, we repeated the analysis described in Section 3.1.3 and then extracted and modeled the multi-J CO line profiles across regions 1 to 9. The results are also visualized in Figure 7, and best-fit values are presented in Table D.3, along with those




Fig. 6. Line profiles of [Ne III], [Ne II] and H_2 0-0 S(3) extracted from the nine regions defined in Section 3.1.3 and shown in Figure 5. Profiles are normalized by the peak flux density and offset vertically for better visual distinction, with best-fit model and components shown in solid and dashed lines. Broad wings are seen across most regions in the neon lines but not in H_2 0-0 S(3), which also has overall narrower profiles.

obtained for region C, as defined in Section 3.1.1. The corresponding maps for CO ($J = 1-0$) at $\nu_{\text{rest}} = 115.271$ GHz, and CO ($J = 3-2$) at $\nu_{\text{rest}} = 345.796$ GHz, which are detected with overall lower SNR, are shown in Appendix A. All three CO lines are sufficiently modeled by a single Gaussian in most regions except for region 5.

3.3. KCWI

To properly recover the flux distribution and kinematics of the emission lines detected in each KCWI cube over the large FoV (e.g., Figure 3), we performed simultaneous modeling of the emission line profile and the underlying stellar continuum at each spaxel with `ppxf`. This is done in two stages: First, Voronoi binning is performed on each cube so that each bin reaches an S/N of 60 for the brightest line detected within the wavelength range covered by the cube. We then fit the stellar spectrum at each bin after masking the emission lines. Afterwards, we repeat the fitting at each spaxel including both stellar and gas components, where the former is set by rescaling the best-fit stellar template derived for the corresponding Voronoi bin in the first stage, and the latter is modeled by a single Gaussian. At this stage, we set the initial guess for the gas velocities to the stellar velocity derived at each spaxel, and tie the kinematics of emission lines together to further reduce degeneracy, given that some lines are blended (e.g., [O II], [S II] doublets). In Figure 8 we show the stellar velocity field derived from the BH1L cube where the stellar features are most prominent. In Figure 9, we show the emission line maps for [O III] $\lambda 5007$, which best showcase the large-scale kinematics of the extended ionized gas emission detected out to ~ 10 kpc from the nucleus.

To investigate the ionized gas kinematics in more detail, we extracted spectra from seven regions covering different parts of the ionized gas emission, which are respectively referred to

by their locations as the “exS”, “SE”, “SW”, “C”, “NE”, “NW”, and “exN” regions, as illustrated in Figure 9. The “C” region is defined in Section 3.1.1. Region SE, SW, C, NE and NW are circular with radii of $r = 1''.5$ for matched-scale comparisons across area of bright [O III] $\lambda 5007$ emission, while region exS and exN are elliptical and larger, with semi-axes of $\sim 2''.5 \times 5''$, to encompass the fainter extended emission. We then conduct multicomponent Gaussian fitting of the brightest emission lines detected in each spectrum following the same procedures described in Section 3.1.2, after fitting and subtracting the underlying stellar component with `ppxf` (see Figure 3 for examples). We set the line flux ratios of the [O III] $\lambda 5007/\lambda 4959$ and [N II] $\lambda 6584/\lambda 6548$ to the theoretical value of 3, and restrict those of the [O II] $\lambda 3729/\lambda 3726$ and [S II] $\lambda 6716/\lambda 6731$ to vary only between the theoretical limits of 0.38–1.45 and 0.44–1.45 (Sanders et al. 2016), respectively. The line profiles of [O III] $\lambda 5007$ and $\text{H}\beta$ extracted from all seven regions are shown in the right panels of Figure 9. We report the best-fit parameters of individual components for the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ and $\text{H}\beta$ lines in Table D.4. Both lines show prominent broad components with $\text{FWHM} \gtrsim 600$ km/s in region C, SE and NW. Detailed modeling of the kinematics of other key diagnostic lines is challenging given either the much fainter emission (e.g., [O I] $\lambda 6300$, [S II] $\lambda \lambda 6716, 31$) or heavily blended profiles ($\text{H}\alpha$ and [N II] $\lambda \lambda 6548, 84$, [O II] $\lambda \lambda 3726, 29$); therefore, we present only the total flux of these other lines in Table D.5.

4. Results

4.1. A multiphase biconical outflow

Despite the different gas phases and spatial scales probed by our multiwavelength datasets, the emission line maps separately presented in Figures 5, 7 and 9 all exhibit consistent, prominent line-width enhancement along the SE-NW direction, which is the kinematic minor axis of the stellar rotation shown in Figure 8. We model the observed stellar velocity field using ^{3D}Barolo (Di Teodoro & Fraternali 2015), and overlay the best-fit results in Figure 8, which yield disk inclination angle of $i \sim 60^\circ$ and position angle $\text{PA} \sim 220^\circ$. This indicates a highly inclined stellar disk with a minor axis along SE-NW direction, which may also produce broadened line widths in the gas tracers due to the large velocity gradient along the line-of-sight. However, the spectral analyses presented in Figures 6 and 9 reveal that the line-width enhancement arises from the superposition of at least two distinct kinematic components at and beyond the nucleus. In particular, Figure 9 shows that, in regions SE and NW, both the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ and $\text{H}\beta$ lines exhibit a prominent, broad component with FWHM of $\gtrsim 700$ km s⁻¹ (see Table D.4), indicating highly turbulent ionized gas motion at ~ 5 kpc away from the nucleus and the bulk of the stellar content. This, combined with the biconical shape of the line width-enhanced regions from the multiwavelength emission line maps, signifies the presence of a multiphase biconical outflow along the SE-NW direction.

In the left panel of Figure 10, we show the line profiles of several gas tracers extracted from region C, which is covered by all our datasets. Similar to what is seen in the nuclear MRS spectrum (Figure 4), broad line wings indicative of outflowing gas are present in most ionized gas tracers but not the molecular ones, and the high-ionization [Ne V] $14\mu\text{m}$ line shows a distinct, red-shifted profile. These differences imply that they do not necessarily trace the same kinematic structure(s), despite being measured over the same spatial scale. We leave a more in-depth discussion of the origins of the observed line profiles in the




Fig. 7. Spectral analysis of the ALMA datasets. Left: Moment maps of integrated flux, velocity and line width FWHM of the CO ($J = 2-1$) emission, with the spectral extraction regions defined in Section 3.1.3 (same as in Figure 5) overlaid on the velocity map. The synthesized beam is indicated with a red ellipse in the lower left corner of the flux map. Right: Extracted line profiles of multi- J CO lines. Profiles are normalized by the peak flux density and offset vertically for better visual distinction, with best-fit model and components shown in solid and dashed lines. The cold molecular gas traced by CO (2-1) shows similar morphology and large-scale velocity gradient along E-W direction as the warm molecular gas (Figure 5). Line profiles are sufficiently characterized by a single component across most regions except for in region 5, where all three transitions show consistent, double-peaked profiles.




Fig. 8. Line-of-sight stellar velocity map derived from Keck/KCWI BH1L cube using ppxf. Only spaxels with stellar emission stronger than $\text{SNR} > 5$ (i.e., within the yellow contour in Figure 3) are shown. See Section 3.3 for details. ALMA 334 GHz continuum contours from Figure 2 are shown in black. The velocity field is reproduced by model of a rotating disk at inclination $i \sim 60^\circ$ and position angle $\text{PA} \sim 220^\circ$, shown in yellow contours, in levels of [40, 70, 90] km s^{-1} , with blue-shifted velocities shown in dashed lines (see Section 4.1).

central region to Section 5, where we further infer the energetics of the multiphase gas involved in the biconical outflow.

In the central panel of Figure 10 we visualize the morphology of the multiphase gas derived from the multiwavelength

datasets. The extended [O III] $\lambda 5007$ emission unambiguously traces the ionized bicone along the SE-NW direction beyond the bulk of the stellar emission probed by HST. The warm and cold molecular gas traced by H_2 and CO both exhibit “X”-shaped morphology and outline the inner edges of the ionized bicone. Given that ALMA observations have a much larger FoV than those from MRS, the close spatial correspondence between H_2 and CO indicates that molecular gas is mostly concentrated at the base of the bicone, similar to what was found in the outflows of nearby starbursts (e.g., Leroy et al. 2015; Beirão et al. 2015; Bolatto et al. 2021). The brighter and more extended molecular emission in the NW indicates that it occupies the near-side. This is supported by the line profiles presented in Figures 6 and 9, where the ionized gas tracers consistently present blue-shifted broad wings in region 8 and NW, and red-shifted ones in region 2 and SE. We provide a sketch of the inferred outflow configuration in the right panel of Figure 10, where we also highlight the fact that dust is present in the bicone based on the prominent obscuration along the NW cone observed in the HST data shown in Figure 1. In the following Sections we present key results drawn from our analyses in Section 3 to characterize the spatially resolved and integrated multiphase ISM properties across IRASF01364 in the context of this newly discovered galactic outflow.

4.2. Dust extinction

The nonuniform and extended distribution of dust and heavy nuclear obscuration are among the most defining features of the optical morphology of IRASF01364, as shown in Figure 1.




Fig. 9. Spectral analysis of the Keck/KCWI datasets. Left: Maps of integrated flux, velocity, and line-width FWHM of [O III]λ5007 emission, with the spectral extraction regions defined in Section 3.3 overlaid on the integrated flux map. Right: Extracted line profiles of H β and [O III]λ5007, normalized by the peak flux density and offset vertically for better visual distinction, with best-fit model and components shown in solid and dashed lines. The ionized gas as traced by [O III]λ5007 extends beyond ~ 10 kpc from the nucleus along the SE-NW direction, with no clear sign of rotation in the central region as seen in the stellar kinematics (Figure 9). Line profiles of both H β and [O III]λ5007 exhibit broad wings along the SE-NW direction, i.e., kinematic minor axis of the central stellar disk.

We quantify the spatially resolved variation in visual extinction using the H α and H β line flux measured with the KCWI dataset (Table D.5) across the regions defined in Section 3.3 and shown in Figure 9. Following Fluetsch et al. (2021), we adopt the extinction law for actively star-forming galaxies from Calzetti et al. (2000) (i.e., $R_V = 4.05$), and assume the intrinsic H α /H β ratio of 2.86 for Case B recombination with temperature of $T = 10^4$ K (Hummer & Storey 1987).

The resulting values are highest in region SW, covering a dusty tidal tail of the merger, with $A_V \sim 3.0$. Regions SE and C have consistent $A_V \sim 2.1$ – 2.4 , while regions NW and exN have significantly lower values, with $A_V \sim 0.75$ – 0.8 . Region exS has a high derived A_V of 2.67 ± 0.40 , but the faint and highly blended H α and [N II] doublet emission here may have biased the measured line ratio. These values further lend support to the picture presented Figure 10 where the NW cone lies on the near-side, and the SE cone on the far-side. The latter is much fainter due to heavier obscuration by the disk and/or other foreground materials associated with the tidal tail (Fluetsch et al. 2021). The high extinction in the SW region likely comes from a combination of pre-existing dusty gas in the tidal tail and partial obscuration by dust along the edges of the bicone.

To further quantify the level of dust obscuration at the nucleus, we measure the apparent depth of the silicate feature at $9.7 \mu\text{m}$ from the MRS spectra, defined as $s_{9.7} = \ln(f_{9.7}/C_{9.7})$,

where $f_{9.7}$ is the flux density measured at $9.7 \mu\text{m}$, and $C_{9.7}$ is the flux density of the underlying continuum at $9.7 \mu\text{m}$, extrapolated with a power-law from 5 to $14 \mu\text{m}$, following a method commonly adopted in the literature (e.g. Spoon et al. 2007; Stierwalt et al. 2013; Rich et al. 2023). The resulting $s_{9.7}$ values are -2.35 , -2.12 , and -1.66 , in the expanding cone aperture, regions 5 and C, respectively. Compared to Spitzer/IRS measurements made with a $\sim 10'' \times 4''$ slit, which yield $s_{9.7} = -1.27$ for IRASF01364, and $s_{9.7} > -1.0$ for more than 60% of local LIRGs (e.g. Stierwalt et al. 2013), these values indicate highly concentrated dusty materials at the nucleus of IRASF01364. Following Chiar et al. (2007) and Güver & Özel (2009), these values indicate $A_V \gtrsim 60$ and column density of $N_H \gtrsim 1.3 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. This result is consistent with the nuclear dust extinction derived by U et al. (2019) at $2.2 \mu\text{m}$ using Br γ /Br δ line ratios, which yield $A_V \sim 12A_{2.2} \simeq 46 \pm 26.4$ assuming extinction law from Calzetti et al. (2000). The high uncertainties were due to faint Br δ emission. It is possible that the intrinsic nuclear extinction may be even higher, at up to $A_V \sim 200$ and $N_H \sim 5 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, as inferred from the high silicate optical depth ($\tau_{\text{sil}} \sim 12$) obtained from CAFE (see Appendix B) assuming a mixed geometry (Marshall et al. 2007). However, potential degeneracy among the underlying multitemperature dust model components could also impact the derived optical depths. Hence, we adopt the values derived from $s_{9.7}$ as the main reference for the nuclear




Fig. 10. Multiphase gas morphology and kinematics derived from Keck/KCWI, JWST/MIRI-MRS, and ALMA data. Left: Comparison of line profiles of emission lines detected in the multiwavelength datasets in the central 3 kpc in region C, defined in Section 3.1.1 (see also Figure 2). Profiles are normalized by the peak flux density and offset vertically for better visual distinction, with best-fit model and components shown in solid and dashed lines. Middle: Color-composite overlay of ALMA CO (1-0), MRS H₂ 0-0 S(1) and Keck/KCWI [O III] λ5007 emission on top of the F336W/F435W/F814W tri-color HST image from Figure 1, showcasing the multiphase biconical structure emerging from the dust-obscured nucleus of IRASF01364. The cyan ellipses highlight the regions defined in Section 3.3 for analyzing the large-scale ionized gas emission. Right: Simplified representation of the molecular and ionized outflow bicones with respect to the stellar disk (in yellow ellipse) and the nucleus (red star). The black curved arrow indicates the rotation of the stellar disk inferred from Figure 8. The cold and warm molecular gas are co-spatial and trace the inner edges of the ionized bicone, and appear brighter and more extended on the near-side NW cone.

extinction, as this quantity is measured directly from the observed spectrum, independent of assumptions about how multiple dust components and their associated opacities are distributed within the galaxy. Lastly, we highlight that the A_V derived from the KCWI spectrum of region C is more than 30 times lower, demonstrating the limitation of optical lines in probing the heavy nuclear obscuration in local LIRGs.

4.3. Detection of high IP lines

As shown in Figures 4 and 10, we detect the [Ne V] 14μm line with IP = 97 eV in both the nuclear and central MRS spectra, as well as [O IV] 26μm with IP = 55 eV (see Table D.1). This marks the first detections of high IP (>50 eV) lines in IRASF01364. Previous nondetections with Spitzer/IRS (Petric et al. 2011; Inami et al. 2013) can likely be explained by a combination of spatial and spectral dilution, as well as limited sensitivity. As star formation does not provide sufficient energy to quadruply ionize Ne, the detection of [Ne V] on kpc-scales is generally considered as a strong evidence for AGN activity (e.g. Satyapal et al. 2007; Petric et al. 2011; Satyapal et al. 2021; Bierschenk et al. 2024). However, the [Ne V]/[Ne II] and [O IV]/[Ne II] ratios measured from the MRS spectra are low, at ~0.005 and ~0.2, respectively, which fall in the range previously measured among starburst-dominated local LIRGs with Spitzer/IRS (Petric et al. 2011; Inami et al. 2013; Pereira-Santaella et al. 2010). This indicates that the AGN does not contribute significantly to the ionized gas excitation at the nucleus, which is consistent with the low AGN fraction (~7%) derived from multiwavelength nuclear SED fitting by Gao et al. (2025). We further discuss the properties of the putative AGN in Section 5.3 based on the [Ne V] 14μm detection.

We do not detect the [Ne V] 24μm line, and estimate a flux upper-limit of $5 \times 10^{-19} \text{ W m}^{-2}$ from three times the rms of the nuclear spectrum measured around the expected redshifted wavelength (25.37μm). This yields a lower-limit of ~0.6 for the [Ne V] 14μm/24μm ratio, which is widely adopted in AGN studies as a diagnostic of the electron density (e.g., Dudik et al. 2007; Pereira-Santaella et al. 2010; Ramos Almeida et al. 2025). However, our derived lower-limit is too low to yield any meaningful constraint on the electron density, which may range from $\lesssim 100$ to up to 10^7 cm^{-3} , as shown by modeling results (e.g., Ramos Almeida et al. 2025). We also do not detect any high IP optical lines in the KCWI spectra, likely due to dust extinction (see Section 4.2). A more detailed JWST investigation of the high IP lines in a sample of local LIRGs including IRASF01364 will be presented in a future work (U et al., in prep.).

4.4. PAH equivalent width and band ratios

Broad emission features between 3–18 μm from PAH molecules mark the most common trait of MIR spectra of star-forming galaxies (Smith et al. 2007). These molecules trace small dust grains in the photodissociation regions (PDR; Bakes & Tielens 1994) and are sensitive probes of the radiation field and grain properties (e.g. Draine et al. 2021). In particular, the equivalent width (EW) of PAH features are observed to be lower in AGN environments (e.g., EW(PAH 6.2) < 0.27 μm; Stierwalt et al. 2013; Inami et al. 2018), due to dilution by the strong AGN continuum, and/or grain destruction by the harsh radiation field (Smith et al. 2007; Diamond-Stanic & Rieke 2010). As the 3.3 μm feature is not covered in our MRS dataset, we follow Stierwalt et al. (2013) and measure the apparent EW of the 6.2 μm PAH feature from the nuclear MRS spectrum, and from




Fig. 11. PAH band ratios measured between the 11.3 and 7.7 μm features with respect to the ones between 6.2 and 7.7 μm features, from region 1–9. Region 3 and 7 are not shown due to poor coverage of the 6.2 and 7.7 μm features. Observed and attenuation-corrected values are shown in triangles and crosses, respectively. Measurements for NGC 7469 from Lai et al. (2022) are shown in squares, and those for NGC 3256 from Bohn et al. (2024) are shown in circles and the pink shaded region. Red-colored symbols mark the AGN. Dashed lines mark the theoretical tracks from Draine et al. (2021) for neutral (blue) and ionized grains (purple) with varying numbers of carbon atoms (indicated in purple texts). Similar to the other two LIRGs, in IRASF01364, the dust grains are more ionized at the AGN than in the extranuclear regions. No clear differences in grain sizes are observed between the AGN and non-AGN regions.

regions 5 and region C, using a spline fit of the local continuum. This yields a consistent value $\sim 0.29\text{--}0.33\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ across these different apertures, which is slightly lower than previous measurement with Spitzer/IRS (i.e., $0.39\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) and still puts the nucleus among the class of composite sources (i.e., $0.27 < \text{EW}(\text{PAH } 6.2) < 0.54\text{ }\mu\text{m}$), consistent with the scenario of a nondominant AGN (see also Section 4.3).

PAH features are also detected across region 1–9, suggesting the potential presence of small dust grains outside the nucleus and in the outflow, which have been observed in several nearby galactic outflows (Sutter et al. 2025; Lopez et al. 2026) and predicted by simulation of multiphase outflow (Richie & Schneider 2026). In Figure 11 we show the PAH band flux ratios between 6.2 and 7.7 μm , and those between 11.3 and 7.7 μm , derived from CAFE fitting of the MRS spectra extracted from region 1–9 (see Appendix B). Region 3 and 7 are excluded as the 6.2 and 7.7 μm features are poorly constrained. Following Lai et al. (2022), we overlay theoretical tracks from Draine et al. (2021), which illustrate the utility of the 11.3/7.7 and 6.2/7.7 ratios as tracers of grain ionization state and size, respectively. While the 6.2/7.7 ratios for the extranuclear regions (in black) are roughly consistent within uncertainties between the observed (in triangles) and intrinsic (i.e., attenuation-corrected; in crosses) measurements, the former show significantly reduced 11.3/7.7 ratios indicating a higher fraction of ionized grains compared to the latter. This difference is even more prominent at the nucleus (i.e., region 5, in red). This is attributed to the large optical depth near the 11.3 μm band due to the deep 9.8 μm silicate absorption feature (Section 4.2). Hence, properly accounting for the effect of MIR attenuation is crucial for understanding dust grain properties in heavily




Fig. 12. $[\text{S IV}]/[\text{Ne II}]$ vs. $[\text{Ne III}]/[\text{Ne II}]$ ratios across region 1–9, shown in triangles. Region 5 (the nucleus) is colored in red. Region 7 is not shown due to poor detection of the $[\text{S IV}]$ 10 μm line. Measurements with Spitzer/IRS for the GOALS sample from Inami et al. (2013) are shown in grey circles, with AGN-dominated systems outlined in red. The star marks the Spitzer/IRS measurement for IRASF01364, where only an upper limit for $[\text{S IV}]/[\text{Ne II}]$ was obtained. Model grids for fast radiative shock with solar metallicity and pre-shock electron density of 100 cm^{-3} (Allen et al. 2008; Sutherland et al. 2018) are overlaid in colored lines, with orange-brown lines representing shock velocities from $100\text{--}200\text{ km s}^{-1}$ and blue-green lines representing magnetic field strengths from $1\text{--}100\text{ }\mu\text{G}$. All regions show line ratios similar to starburst-dominated systems, which are also consistent with the range predicted by models of fast radiative shock.

obscured centers of local LIRGs (Lai et al. 2024; Donnelly et al. 2025).

For comparison, in this figure, we also overlay spatially resolved measurements from two other local LIRGs, NGC 3256 (in circles for outflows and pink shaded area for star-forming regions; Bohn et al. 2024) and NGC 7469 (in squares; Lai et al. 2022), which were also obtained with CAFE. For all three systems, the AGN (in red symbols) show consistently lower 11.3/7.7 ratios than the non-AGN regions, indicating more ionized dust grains towards the AGN. The 6.2/7.7 ratios are generally higher in IRASF01364 than in the other two systems, potentially pointing to smaller grain sizes in the former. Considering the uncertainties, no clear differences in the 6.2/7.7 ratios are observed between the AGN and non-AGN regions in these systems.

4.5. Spatially resolved ionized gas excitation

4.5.1. MIR

Following Inami et al. (2013), we utilize the $[\text{S IV}]/[\text{Ne II}]$ vs. $[\text{Ne III}]/[\text{Ne II}]$ ratios to investigate the ionized gas excitation across regions 1–9, shown in Figure 12. As $[\text{S IV}]$ 10 μm , $[\text{Ne III}]$ 15.6 μm and $[\text{Ne II}]$ 12.8 μm have distinct IPs of 35, 41, and 22 eV, respectively, elevated $[\text{S IV}]/[\text{Ne II}]$ and/or $[\text{Ne III}]/[\text{Ne II}]$ ratios could be used to identify regions of high excitation. In the figure we also show Spitzer/IRS measurements from Inami et al. (2013) for the GOALS sample in grey circles, with AGN-dominated systems outlined in red. Our spatially resolved MRS measurements for IRASF01364 (in triangles) are consistent with previous unresolved measurement with Spitzer/IRS (marked in star) which did not




Fig. 13. Classification of the excitation mechanisms of the ionized gas in IRASF01364 based on the optical BPT diagrams (Baldwin et al. 1981; Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987) using $\log ([\text{O III}]\lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta)$ vs. $\log ([\text{N II}]\lambda 6584/\text{H}\alpha)$, $\log ([\text{O III}]\lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta)$ vs. $\log ([\text{O I}]\lambda 6300/\text{H}\alpha)$, $\log ([\text{O III}]\lambda 5007/\text{H}\beta)$ vs. $\log ([\text{S II}]\lambda 6716,31/\text{H}\alpha)$, from left to right, respectively. Top: measured line ratios at each spaxel are shown in blue, purple and orange, for classifications of “star formation”, “composite/LINER”, “Seyfert” excitation, respectively. The demarcation lines are defined by Kauffmann et al. (2003) (Ka+03), Kewley et al. (2001) (Ke+01), Schawinski et al. (2007) (S+07), and Kewley et al. (2006) (Ke+06). Model grids for fast radiative shock with the same parameters as in Figure 12 are overlaid. Bottom: spatial distribution of spaxels with different classifications. In all panels, circles mark measurements/locations of the regions defined in Section 3.3, with region C highlighted in red. Most optical line emission in the IRASF01364 can be attributed to composite/LINER excitation. Region NW shows Seyfert-like line ratios in the $[\text{S II}]/\text{H}\alpha$ diagram, which may be due to AGN photo-ionization or shocks.

detect the $[\text{S IV}]\text{ }10\mu\text{m}$ line. All regions show similarly low line ratios ($[\text{S IV}]/[\text{Ne II}]\sim 0.01$, $[\text{Ne III}]/[\text{Ne II}]\sim 0.2$) and overlap with starburst-dominated GOALS sources, with no clear differences between the nucleus and extra-nuclear regions. While in this work we do not apply MIR attenuation corrections to the atomic line fluxes, we note that such a correction would increase $[\text{S IV}]/[\text{Ne II}]$ values by up to a factor of 2, which would still lie within the starburst-dominated regime.

As discussed in Inami et al. (2013), these low ratios could result from either photo-ionization by young starburst ($\lesssim 3.5\text{ Myr}$) or shock excitation. For context, we emulate Inami et al. (2013) and overlay model grids of fast radiative shock from Allen et al. (2008), with shock velocity of $100\text{--}200\text{ km s}^{-1}$, magnetic field strength of $1\text{--}100\mu\text{G}$, solar metallicity and pre-shock electron density of 100 cm^{-3} . The model grids were generated with the MAPPINGS V shock modeling code (Sutherland et al. 2018), which updates the calculation from Allen et al. (2008) with more realistic conditions, and were obtained from the Mexican Million Models Shock Database¹⁰ (Alarie & Morisset 2019). Line ratios of all regions are within the range predicted by the shock model, suggesting that a dominant contribution from shocks across the central region of IRASF01364 is plausible.

4.5.2. Optical

To further investigate the excitation of large-scale ionized gas emission detected with KCWI, we construct the optical Baldwin–Phillips–Terlevich (BPT) diagnostic diagrams (Baldwin et al. 1981; Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987) using the observed $[\text{O III}]/\text{H}\beta$, $[\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha$, $[\text{O I}]/\text{H}\alpha$ and $[\text{S II}]/\text{H}\alpha$ line flux ratios for all spaxels with $\text{SNR} > 5$ detection in the $[\text{N II}]$, $[\text{O I}]$ and $[\text{S II}]$ line, respectively, shown in Figure 13. Extinction correction is not applied here as its effect on the line ratios is expected to be trivial given the proximity in wavelengths between the emission line pairs, and also because not all regions have reliable A_V estimates (see Section 4.2). We classify and color-code each spaxel into SF (star formation) in blue, composite or LINER (low-ionization nuclear excitation region) in purple, and Seyfert in orange, following criteria presented by Kewley et al. (2006). The $[\text{O III}]/\text{H}\beta$ vs. $[\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha$ diagram also includes the empirical Seyfert/LINER demarcation by Schawinski et al. (2007). Measurements from individual regions defined in Section 3.3 are also shown (in circles), with region C colored in red.

A majority of the spaxels fall into the composite/LINER category in all three diagrams, which may be explained by a combination of several mechanisms including a low-luminosity AGN (Ferland & Netzer 1983), evolved stars (Binette et al. 1994) and shock ionization (Dopita & Sutherland 1995). The only region

¹⁰ <http://3mdb.astro.unam.mx:3686/>

that falls into the Seyfert category in the [S II]/H α diagram is region NW on the near-side outflow cone (see Figure 10), suggesting AGN excitation of the outflowing ionized gas. However, the observed Seyfert-like line ratios are also consistent with those predicted by the MAPPINGS V fast radiative shock model grids (see Section 4.5.1 and Figure 12) for shock velocities of $\sim 150\text{--}200\text{ km s}^{-1}$. Overall, Figures 12 and 13 indicate that shock excitation is sufficient to explain the observed MIR and optical line ratios.

4.6. Multiphase gas mass in the central region

While the multiwavelength IFU datasets do not share a common FoV, their mutual coverage in region C allow for a matched-scale evaluation of the multiphase gas content within the central $\sim 3\text{ kpc}$ of the system. In the following sections, we explain how we derived the total multiphase gas masses contained within region C based on the line fluxes measured in Section 3, without identifying their physical origins (disk rotation, outflow, etc.). This latter topic is discussed in detail in Section 5.1.

4.6.1. Ionized gas

After correcting for the visual dust extinction estimated in Section 4.2, the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ and H β line luminosities are $(4.9 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{40}\text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and $(5.3 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{40}\text{ erg s}^{-1}$, respectively. Given the measured [S II] $\lambda 6716/\lambda 6731$ line ratio (~ 1.38 ; Table D.5), the electron density at region C is $n_e \sim 50\text{ cm}^{-3}$. Assuming that the ionized gas fills the entire volume covered by region C, and a typical electron temperature of 10^4 K , the above values yield an ionized gas mass of $(3.9 \pm 2.0) \times 10^5\text{ M}_\odot$ from [O III] $\lambda 5007$ and $(9.0 \pm 5.1) \times 10^6\text{ M}_\odot$ from H β , following Eq.(5) and (7) in Carniani et al. (2015). It is possible that the electron density is underestimated when using the [S II] $\lambda 6716/\lambda 6731$ line ratio (e.g., Baron & Netzer 2019), in which case the values reported here would serve as upper limits. We note that the average flux ratios derived from all the KCWI apertures yield n_e values of $100\text{--}300\text{ cm}^{-3}$, based on the prescription of Sanders et al. (2016) which is consistent with previous estimates for the GOALS galaxy sample using MIR [S III] 33.5/18.7 ratios observed with Spitzer/IRS (Inami et al. 2013) on similar physical scales. Adopting this range of n_e would decrease the estimated ionized gas mass by a factor of 2–6.

4.6.2. Warm molecular gas

We use the nine rotational transitions of H $_2$ detected in the MRS spectrum to constrain the temperature and mass of the warm ($\geq 100\text{ K}$) molecular gas within region C, by adopting the continuous power-law temperature model described in Togi & Smith (2016). The model is characterized by the lower and upper temperature limits (T_l, T_u) and the index (n) of the power-law distribution, and allows a smooth extrapolation between the transitions to recover the total molecular gas mass down to $\lesssim 100\text{ K}$. In Figure 14, we show the best-fit model with T_u set to 5000 K following Bohn et al. (2024), for the extinction-corrected measurements (in crosses) that yields $T_l \simeq 243 \pm 3\text{ K}$ and $n = 5.36 \pm 0.02$. The spectral index is in the range of values derived for nearby star-forming galaxies by Togi & Smith (2016), but somewhat steeper than previously derived in local LIRGs on global scales (Zakamska 2010; Pereira-Santaella et al. 2014), suggesting a relatively higher contribution from colder gas components in IRASF01364. However, these values are similar to




Fig. 14. H $_2$ excitation diagram and best-fit result assuming a continuous power-law temperature model as prescribed in Togi & Smith (2016), with an upper temperature limit set to 5000 K . Measurements from region C (Figure 9) are shown in triangles and crosses, for observed and extinction-corrected values, respectively. Extinction-corrected measurements from the nuclear region (Figure 2) and region 5 (Figure 2) are shown in empty gray circles and squares, respectively. Extinction correction mostly affects the measurement of the H $_2$ 0-0 S(3) line. Compared to region C, region 5 shows somewhat higher contribution from S(6) – S(9) lines, while the nuclear (conical) region shows much lower contribution from S(3) – S(9) lines, indicating that the warmer molecular gas components are concentrated at the nucleus.

those derived by Bohn et al. (2024) in the resolved nuclear outflows of NGC 3256. We note that using observed values without correcting for MIR attenuation (shown in triangles) does not affect the derived values, as the correction only affects the H $_2$ 0-0 S(3) line, as shown in Figure 14.

For comparison, we also show measurements from the nuclear conical aperture (circles) and region 5 (squares), which respectively show excess and deficit in emission from warmer components, particularly those traced by the S(6) to S(9) lines, compared to region C. This indicates that the warmer molecular gas components are mostly concentrated at the innermost area encompassed by region 5 and the short-wavelength portion of the nuclear conical aperture, while the colder components are more diffuse and fill the central few kpcs covered by region C. Accounting for the heavy element correction factor of 1.36, the best-fit model shown in Figure 14 yields a total warm ($T \gtrsim 240\text{ K}$) molecular gas of $M_{\text{H}_2, \text{warm}} \simeq 3.4 \times 10^8\text{ M}_\odot$ and column density of $N_{\text{H}_2, \text{warm}} \simeq 3.5 \times 10^{21}\text{ cm}^{-2}$ in region C.

4.6.3. Cold molecular gas

The main source of uncertainty in estimating the cold ($T \sim 10\text{--}100\text{ K}$) molecular gas mass from CO observations lies in the CO-to-H $_2$ conversion factor, α_{CO} , which has been shown to be environment-dependent (e.g., see review by Bolatto et al. 2013). The line ratios between different CO transitions offer clues on the physical condition of the molecular gas in different environments.

The CO line luminosity at each transition is given by Solomon et al. (1997):

$$\frac{L'_{\text{CO}}}{\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2} = 3.25 \times 10^7 \frac{D_L^2}{v_{\text{obs}}^2 (1+z)^3} S \Delta v \quad (1)$$

where the luminosity distance D_L is in Mpc, the observed frequency ν_{obs} is in GHz, and the integrated line flux $S\Delta\nu$ is in Jy km s^{-1} . The resulting line luminosities for region C in CO(1-0), CO(2-1) and CO(3-2) are $(3.6 \pm 1.5) \times 10^9$, $(2.9 \pm 0.1) \times 10^9$, $(3.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^9 \text{ K kms}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2$, respectively, which yield line ratios of $r_{21} \sim 0.8$ (i.e., $L'_{\text{CO}(2-1)}/L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$), $r_{32} \sim 1.0$ and $r_{31} \sim 0.8$. These values are higher than those found in nearby disk galaxies (e.g. Leroy et al. 2022) but similar to global measurements for local U/LIRGs (Montoya Arroyave et al. 2023), potentially due to the outflow reducing the CO optical depth. Hence we adopt the constant CO-to- H_2 conversion factor of $\alpha_{\text{CO}} \sim 1.7 \pm 0.5 M_{\odot}/(\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2)$ for CO(1-0) from Montoya Arroyave et al. (2023) based on calibration using neutral carbon [C I] measurements, which yield cold molecular gas mass of $M_{\text{H}_2, \text{cold}} \sim (6 \pm 3) \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$. This value is also consistent with the total mass estimated from single-dish CO(1-0) measurements by Herrero-Illana et al. (2019) using a similar α_{CO} , indicating that most of the molecular gas in IRAS F01364 is concentrated in the central few kpc. Roughly half of this mass is contained within region 5, which is three times smaller than region C in radius. The inferred gas column densities within regions C and 5 are $(6 \pm 3) \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $\sim 3 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, respectively. These values are about 10 times higher than those inferred for the warm molecular gas from rotational H_2 lines in the last section.

5. Discussion

5.1. Identifying the kinematic components of the outflow

As mentioned in Section 4.1, the diversity and complexity of line profiles of multiphase gas tracers observed in region C (Figure 10) indicates that they may originate from different kinematic features. This is also hinted at in Figure 5 where we observe different morphologies and velocity gradients between the ionized gas traced by the MIR neon lines and warm molecular gas traced by the H_2 emission. In this Section we attempt to provide a coherent picture to interpret the various line profiles observed across the different gas phases in the central 3 kpc of IRAS F01364, in the context of the newly uncovered multiphase outflow (see Section 4.1) and similar biconical outflows described in the literature.

As highlighted in Figure 10, nearly all multiwavelength gas tracers in the spectrum of region C feature a similar double-peaked line profile. These profiles have also been commonly observed in biconical outflows of nearby starburst galaxies (e.g., M 82, NGC 253, NGC 2992; Heckman et al. 1990; Shopbell & Bland-Hawthorn 1998; Veilleux et al. 2001; Leroy et al. 2015), and are attributed to the superposition of the nuclear disk and/or walls of the bicone along a tilted line of sight (LOS). To interpret the potential origins of the observed multiple kinematic components, in Figure 15 we provide a modified version of the schematic presented by Heckman et al. (1990), based on the outflow configuration inferred previously in Section 4.1 and focusing on the LOS towards regions 8, 5 and 2 (hereafter as R-8, R-5 and R-2) along the bicone.

5.1.1. Outflow velocities

As discussed in Heckman et al. (1990) and illustrated in Figure 15, gas flowing along the front and back walls of a biconical outflow from an inclined disk would naturally produce two distinct narrow kinematic components along the LOS, at red-shifted and blue-shifted projected velocities, respectively. For example, along R-8 through the near-side NW cone, we would observe




Fig. 15. Schematic of the kinematic model for the biconical outflow observed in IRAS F01364, modified from Heckman et al. (1990) and Veilleux et al. (2001). The three gray dotted lines represent the potential lines-of-sight (LOS) towards regions 8, 5, and 2 (R-8, R-5, R-2) along the bicone, and the plane-of-sky is indicated by the dashed gray line. The yellow star and elongated ellipse respectively represent the nuclear starburst and the surrounding gaseous disk rotating at v_{rot} , viewed at an inclination angle i . The half opening angle the bicone is given by ϕ , and blue-shifted and red-shifted projected velocities are colored in blue and red, respectively. Each component is labeled based on the structure of origin (e.g., NF: near-side cone, front wall; FB: far-side cone, back-wall, etc.). Deprojected velocities are colored black.

two velocity components coming from the front and back wall, respectively, at $v_{\text{NF}} = v_1 \cos(i - \phi)$ (NF – “near-side, front wall”) and $v_{\text{NB}} = v_2 \cos(i + \phi)$ (NB – “near-side, back wall”), where v_1 and v_2 are the intrinsic velocities at the observed location, i is the inclination of the nuclear disk, and ϕ is the half opening angle of the near-side cone. Similarly, we may observe two components at $v_{\text{FF}} = v_3 \cos(i + \phi)$ (FF – “far-front”) and $v_{\text{FB}} = v_4 \cos(i - \phi)$ (FB – “far-back”) for the far-side SE cone at R-2, in the simplistic case that the bicone is perfectly symmetrical.

Now focusing on the observed MIR neon line profiles (see Figure 6), if we assume that the two narrow components observed in R-8 and R-2 originate from outflowing gas along the walls, from Table D.2 we then have $|v_{\text{NF}}| \approx 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $|v_{\text{NB}}| \approx 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $|v_{\text{FF}}| \approx 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $|v_{\text{FB}}| \approx 180 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. We estimate $\phi \sim 40\text{--}50^\circ$ from the FWHM line-width map of [O III] $\lambda 5007$ (Figure 9) based on the opening angle of the area with FWHM $\gtrsim 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and adopt $i \sim 60^\circ$ derived from the stellar velocity field in Figure 8 (see Section 4.1). The resulting de-projected velocities would be $v_1 \sim 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $v_2 \sim 580 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $v_3 \sim 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $v_4 \sim 190 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. These values would suggest that the outflow is decelerating away from the disk in the near-side cone, but somehow accelerating in the far-side cone, which we consider unlikely as both sides should exhibit similar behavior.

Given the clear rotation pattern seen in the stellar emission within the central 3 kpc, it is possible that gas rotating with the stellar disk at a velocity v_{rot} also contributes to the observed line profiles. As shown in Figure 8, such rotation produces blue-shifted narrow component towards the disk far-side (DF), and red-shifted narrow component towards the disk near-side (DN), with $v_{\text{DF}} = v_{\text{DN}} = v_{\text{rot}} \sin i$ assuming the gaseous disk is symmetric. In this case, the blue-shifted narrow component in R-8

indicates $|v_{DF}| \simeq 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and the red-shifted narrow component in R-2 indicates $|v_{DN}| \simeq 180 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which are also consistent with the narrow components observed in R-5. The much higher value of $|v_{DN}|$ could result from the LOS intercepting the disk near the edge in R-2 compared to R-8, yielding $v_{\text{rot}} \sim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

While the above scenarios have attributed the narrow components distinctly to either gas flowing along the walls of the outflow cones or that is rotating around the stellar disk, we note that realistically, they likely represent contributions from both structures, which would require high spatial and spectral resolution to disentangle. This is illustrated in Appendix E, where we perform kinematic modeling of the molecular gas disk on the CO(2-1) dataset, which has the highest spatial and spectral resolution among the multiwavelength IFU datasets utilized in this work. This was done with ^{3D}Barolo, using disk inclination and position angle previously derived by Agostino et al. (2026) from ~ 10 -pc resolution ALMA CO(2-1) observations of the nuclear molecular disk. We describe in more detail the procedures and results in Appendix E. The results shown in Figure E.1 highlights the challenge in robustly separating the outflow and disk components, which span the same velocity range. This is also seen in the emission line channels maps shown in Appendix F. For an example, the [Ne III] line, shown in Figure F.1, shows clear signature of disk rotation as the bright, compact nuclear emission moves from NE to SW from between ~ -300 to 300 km s^{-1} . Meanwhile, extended fainter emission corresponding to the NW and SE cone also appear in these channels, and hence the narrow components associated with the walls of the outflow cones would be blended with the disk emission both spatially and spectrally, especially given the MRS spectral resolution of $\sim 120 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (in FWHM) at the observed wavelengths of [Ne III].

Nevertheless, the broad component with FWHM $\sim 600\text{--}1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ consistently seen in R-2, R-5, R-8 in the ionized gas tracers (Table D.2) allows for a more definitive characterization of the outflow velocity in the ionized gas phase, given by $v_{\text{out,ion}} = |v_{\text{broad}}| + \text{FWHM}_{\text{broad}}/2 \sim 300\text{--}600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, following definitions commonly adopted in the literature (e.g., Rupke et al. 2005a; Fluetsch et al. 2021). For the CO lines, a broad component is detected along the bicone marginally in R-2 and more strongly in R-8 (see Figure 7 and Table D.3), which yield outflow velocity in the cold molecular gas phase of $v_{\text{out,cold H}_2} \sim 200\text{--}300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. These values are in agreement with the fact that emission is seen along the bicone up to $\sim \pm 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the [Ne III] channel maps in Figure F.1, but only up to $\sim \pm 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the CO(2-1) channel maps shown in Figure F.2. For the H₂ 0-0 S(3) line (Table D.2), no broad components are identified in any regions potentially due to insufficient spectral resolution ($\sim 90 \text{ km/s}$ in FWHM). As the channel maps in Figure F.1 show emission up to $\sim \pm 350 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ along the bicone, from which we adopt a warm molecular gas outflow velocity of $v_{\text{out,warm H}_2} \sim 350 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

5.1.2. Molecular vs. ionized gas

As already highlighted in previous sections, broad wings characterized by a FWHM line width of $> 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are seen consistently in both MIR neon lines in regions 2, 3, 5, 7, 8 (see also Table D.2) along the outflow direction, with the broadest component measured at the nucleus, reaching FWHM $\sim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in both region 5 and the nuclear aperture (see Table D.1). On larger scales, shown in Figure 10, the ionized gas emission traced by [O III] $\lambda 5007$ and H β in regions NW and SE along the bicone are completely dominated by a broad component with

FWHM $\sim 300\text{--}500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. In all these regions, the broad component exhibits consistent blue-shifted velocities towards the NW and red-shifted velocities towards SE, which we interpret as the presence of outflowing ionized gas in between the walls of the bicone.

As illustrated in Figure 15, outflowing materials traveling at a range of velocity inside the bicone would produce a broad component that is dominantly blue-shifted in the near-side cone seen at R-8 and region NW and red-shifted in the far-side cone seen at R-2 and region SE. Along a LOS closer to the nucleus (e.g., R-5 or region C), the superposition of materials flowing in opposite directions would produce a broad component near systemic velocity. This picture is consistent with what we observe in the ionized gas tracers, except for the [Ne V] $14\mu\text{m}$ line, which we discuss later in Section 5.1.3.

On the other hand, this broad component is largely absent in the molecular gas tracers, where the broadest component with FWHM of $\sim 300\text{--}500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ is detected only in CO (2-1) at R-8 and marginally at R-2. As discussed in Section 5.1.1, this likely indicates a slower outflow in the molecular gas phase, whose line profiles are not easily distinguishable from that of the disk. In addition, as we illustrate in Figure 15, slow-moving molecular gas accumulating near the disk will produce dominantly red-shifted emission in the near-side cone and blue-shifted emission in the far-side cone, in contrast to what is seen in the ionized gas tracers. These predictions are in agreement with what is seen in the channel maps in Appendix F, and can be attributed to the tilted outflow cones resulting in LOS intercepting the NB and FF walls much closer to the disk than the NF and FB walls. As such, emission coming from the NB and FF walls will consistently dominate over those coming from molecular gas in the center of the outflow and along the NF and FB walls, due to the rapidly decreasing molecular gas density with elevation. This may also explain the prominent “X”-shaped morphology observed in the CO and H₂ lines (i.e., Figure 10, Figure F.1).

5.1.3. Kinematics of the [Ne V] $14\mu\text{m}$ line

As shown in Figures 4 and 10, unlike other ionized gas tracers, the [Ne V] $14\mu\text{m}$ line tracing the most highly ionized gas only exhibits a single red-shifted narrow component at $\sim 180 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with FWHM $\sim 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Similar profiles have been observed in optical studies of high IP lines in nearby AGN hosting radio jet (e.g., Rodríguez-Ardila et al. 2006, 2017), where the highly ionized gas traces a dense energetic outflow and is driven mechanically by the jet. Extended coronal line emission associated with such jet-driven outflows have also been observed in [Ne V] with MRS in local Seyferts and quasars (Davies et al. 2024; Kader et al. 2026; Bianchin et al. 2026). While VLA observations at 15 and 33 GHz do not show evidence of a radio jet down to $\sim 100 \text{ pc}$ scales (Barcos-Muñoz et al. 2017; Song et al. 2022), we cannot rule out the possibility of a small-scale one-sided jet (e.g., Mezcua & Prieto 2014) driving the observed red-shifted emission of [Ne V] $14\mu\text{m}$. Alternatively, this component may trace highly excited gas emanating from the inner NB wall of the biconical outflow, given that it shares similar velocity as the red-shifted narrow components seen in the other ionized gas tracers with lower IP. The ~ 1.6 times higher [Ne V] $14\mu\text{m}$ line flux measured from region C compared to that from the nuclear spectrum (Table D.1) potentially indicates that the [Ne V] emission is extended. However, assuming the emission is unresolved and considering MRS aperture correction factor (1.13 from Argyriou et al. (2023)) given the aperture sizes specified in Section 3.1, the evidence for extended [Ne V]

emission is marginal. A more detailed search and characterization of other tracers with similarly high IPs would be required to investigate the kinematics of the highly ionized gas, which we defer to future works.

5.1.4. Potential contributions from the merger

Given the merger nature of IRASF01364, one may also question whether tidal effects play a role in driving the observed gas kinematics. For an example, the distinctly different velocity fields observed between the ionized and molecular may also result from disk counter-rotation/misalignment triggered by the merger (e.g., Lu et al. 2021). However, we highlight that the disk inclination and position angle derived from the stellar velocity field shown in Figure 10 are in agreement with those derived from previous 10 pc-scale observations of the nuclear ionized and molecular gas disks of IRASF01364 in Pa α , rovibrational H₂, and CO(2-1) lines by Medling et al. (2015) and Agostino et al. (2026). Hence, there is no evidence of strong disk misalignment among stars, ionized and molecular gas.

Nevertheless, the effect of merger is clearly present, which manifests in the extended molecular loop and tail observed towards the NE and SW direction respectively in the CO (2-1) maps shown in Figure 7. The narrow, double-peaked profiles of [O III] λ 5007 and H β in the NE and SW regions along the major axis of the stellar disk shown in Figure 9 likely result from overlapping emission between the disk and tidal features. However, given the consistent disk rotation observed in this work and previous high-resolution studies of the nuclear disk (i.e., Medling et al. 2015; Agostino et al. 2026), as well as broadened line profiles along the outflow direction observed across the multiphase tracers, we argue that the multiphase kinematics of the central 3 kpc of the system focused in this work are likely dominated by disk rotation and the outflow rather than tidal features.

5.2. Outflow energetics

Following the scenario proposed above, in this section, we aim to quantify the outflow properties, as measured mainly within the central ~ 3 kpc of IRASF01364, i.e., in region C, where gas emission is the brightest across gas phases. This region also covers the bulk of the CO emission (e.g., see Figure A.1) tracing the cold molecular gas outflow, which dominates the total outflow energetics, as we show later in this section. We follow Lutz et al. (2020) and Fluetsch et al. (2021) and define outflow properties (outflow dynamical time, τ_{dyn} , mass outflow rate, $\dot{M}_{\text{out}}$, outflow kinetic energy, E_{kin} and kinetic power, $\dot{E}_{\text{kin}}$) as

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{\text{dyn}} &= R_{\text{out}}/v_{\text{out}}; \\ \dot{M}_{\text{out}} &= \dot{M}_{\text{out}}/\tau_{\text{dyn}}; \\ E_{\text{kin}} &= 0.5\dot{M}_{\text{out}}v_{\text{out}}^2; \\ \dot{E}_{\text{kin}} &= 0.5\dot{M}_{\text{out}}v_{\text{out}}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Here, v_{out} and R_{out} are the outflow velocity and radius. Unless otherwise noted, we adopted R_{out} as the deprojected physical radius of region C, which is 1.6 kpc for a disk inclination of 60°. We discuss below the outflow properties inferred from different gas tracers.

Cold molecular gas: By modeling the disk emission using 3D Barolo, as shown in Appendix E, we estimate that the outflow may contribute $\sim 40\%$ of the CO(2-1) emission captured in region C. This would yield an outflow mass in cold molecular gas of $\dot{M}_{\text{out,cold H}_2} \sim 1.2\text{--}3.6 \times 10^9 \text{ M}_{\odot}$, based on the

total cold molecular gas mass derived in Section 4.6.3. While we have adopted $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 1.7 \text{ M}_{\odot} (\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2)^{-1}$ in Section 4.6.3, for the outflowing molecular gas this value may be much lower due to turbulence reducing the CO optical depth (e.g., Bolatto et al. 2013). Previous works on outflows in local LIRGs have commonly adopted $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8 \text{ M}_{\odot} (\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2)^{-1}$ (e.g., Ciccone et al. 2014; Fluetsch et al. 2019), and an even lower value of $\sim 0.4\text{--}0.6 \text{ M}_{\odot} (\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2)^{-1}$ has been estimated in the outflow of NGC 3256 from CO absorption features observed with JWST/NIRSpec by Pereira-Santaella et al. (2024). Adopting this latter value, and an outflow velocity of $v_{\text{out,cold H}_2} \sim 200\text{--}300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ estimated in Section 5.1.1, we then have $\dot{M}_{\text{out,cold H}_2} \sim 3\text{--}12 \times 10^8 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $\tau_{\text{dyn,cold H}_2} \sim 5\text{--}8 \text{ Myr}$, $\dot{M}_{\text{out,cold H}_2} \sim 38\text{--}240 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $E_{\text{kin,cold H}_2} \sim 0.1\text{--}1.1 \times 10^{57} \text{ erg}$ and $\dot{E}_{\text{kin,cold H}_2} \sim 0.5\text{--}6.8 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$.

Warm molecular gas: Following discussions in Section 5.1.1, we infer from the channel maps (i.e., Figure F.1) an outflow velocity in the warm molecular gas phase up to $v_{\text{out,cold H}_2} \sim 350 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Since the detailed modeling of the disk emission is challenging given the relatively coarse spectral resolution, here we simply assume that the warm molecular gas also contributes $\sim 40\%$ of the total H₂ emission captured in region C, following the distribution of the cold molecular gas traced by CO(2-1). This is based on the similar spatial distributions and velocity profiles observed between the warm and cold molecular gas tracers, shown in Figure 10. Following this assumption, we have $\dot{M}_{\text{out,warm H}_2} \sim 1.4 \times 10^8 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ based on the total warm molecular gas mass derived in Section 4.6.2. The inferred outflow properties are $\tau_{\text{dyn,warm H}_2} \sim 4.5 \text{ Myr}$, $\dot{M}_{\text{out,warm H}_2} \sim 31 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $E_{\text{kin,warm H}_2} \sim 1.7 \times 10^{56} \text{ erg}$ and $\dot{E}_{\text{kin,warm H}_2} \sim 1.2 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$.

Ionized Gas: Following discussions in Section 5.1.1, we assume that the outflow is mainly traced by the broad components identified in the ionized gas tracers, which yields $v_{\text{out,ion}} \sim 500\text{--}600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from [Ne II], [Ne III], [O III] and H β (Table D.1 and D.4). These broad components account for 20–50% of the total line fluxes of these tracers within region C, which correspond to an outflow mass in ionized gas of $\dot{M}_{\text{out,ion}} \sim 0.8\text{--}7.0 \times 10^6 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ based on the total mass calculated in Section 4.6.1 from H β . The inferred ionized outflow properties are $\tau_{\text{dyn,ion}} \sim 3 \text{ Myr}$, $\dot{M}_{\text{out,ion}} \sim 0.3\text{--}2.3 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $E_{\text{kin,ion}} \sim 0.2\text{--}2.5 \times 10^{55} \text{ erg}$ and $\dot{E}_{\text{kin,ion}} \sim 0.2\text{--}2.6 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. As discussed in Section 5.1.1, it is possible that the outflow walls also contribute, to some degree, to the narrow components observed in region C, in which case the estimated $\dot{M}_{\text{out,ion}}$, $E_{\text{kin,ion}}$ and $\dot{E}_{\text{kin,ion}}$ may further increase by up to a factor of two. We note that while the ionized gas emission extends out to a projected distance of $\sim 10 \text{ kpc}$ beyond the nucleus, it is unclear whether they belong to the same outflow structure, and hence not considered here.

The values calculated above together indicate that molecular gas, especially in the cold phase ($T \lesssim 100 \text{ K}$), heavily dominates the mass and energetics of the galactic outflow in IRASF01364, consistent with conclusions drawn from previous multiphase studies of outflows in other local U/LIRGs (Fluetsch et al. 2021). The values of the mass outflow rates and kinetic energies in the molecular phase are also comparable to values derived from previous studies (e.g. Ciccone et al. 2014; Pereira-Santaella et al. 2018; Lutz et al. 2020; Fluetsch et al. 2021) of local U/LIRGs, with $\dot{M}_{\text{out}}$ nearly 10 times higher than what is seen in nearby starbursts (e.g. Leroy et al. 2015; Krieger et al. 2019; Bolatto et al. 2021). However, the local U/LIRGs hosting $\dot{M}_{\text{out}} > 100 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in the sample of Lutz et al. (2020) all have a much higher AGN contribution to the bolometric luminosity ($> 25\%$) than IRASF01364 ($\sim 5\text{--}7\%$; Díaz-Santos et al. 2017; Gao et al.

2025). We note that the assumed CO-to-H₂ conversion factor has a key impact on the estimated energetics. Sensitive, multitracer observations will provide critical constraints on the derived outflow properties. We discuss the potential origin of this powerful outflow in Section 5.5.

5.3. A low-luminosity, dust-obscured AGN

The detection of [Ne V] 14.3 μ m line from MRS provides the first direct evidence of AGN activity in the nucleus of IRASF01364. The line flux measured from region C is $(4.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-16}$ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻², corresponding to an AGN bolometric luminosity of $L_{\text{bol}} \approx 1.2\text{--}1.8 \times 10^{43}$ erg s⁻¹, adopting calibrations by Satyapal et al. (2007) and Spinoglio et al. (2022). Using the relationship between [Ne V] 14.3 μ m and 2–10 keV luminosity obtained by Bierschenk et al. (2024), we expect intrinsic 2–10 keV luminosity of $L_{2-10\text{keV}}^{\text{int}} \sim 2.1 \times 10^{42}$ erg s⁻¹. Given the observed 2–10 keV luminosity from Chandra is $L_{2-10\text{keV}}^{\text{obs}} \sim 1.5 \times 10^{41}$ erg s⁻¹ (Iwasawa et al. 2011), we estimate the obscuring column density N_{H} using RXTorusD (Ricci & Paltani 2023), a physical X-ray absorption and reflection model. We adopt a photon index of $\Gamma \sim 1.8$, typical for nearby AGN (Ricci et al. 2017b), which yields $N_{\text{H}} \sim 1.6 \times 10^{23}$ cm⁻², indicating that the AGN is dust-obscured. Similar results are obtained by Torres-Albà et al. (in prep.) via direct modeling of the Chandra spectrum (Iwasawa et al. 2011). The inferred obscuring column density is consistent with nuclear obscuration derived from the 9.7 μ m absorption feature (Section 4.2) and the gas column density from CO (Section 4.6.3), pointing to a potential shared origin, although the latter is highly dependent on the uncertain α_{CO} .

While dust-obscured AGN are frequently found in local gas-rich mergers (Ricci et al. 2017a; Koss et al. 2018; Ricci et al. 2021), the low inferred $L_{2-10\text{keV}}^{\text{int}}$ makes IRASF01364 one of the few local IR-luminous mergers found so far to host a low-luminosity AGN with $L_{2-10\text{keV}} < 10^{43}$ erg s⁻¹ (e.g. Ricci et al. 2021). The AGN also seems to play a minimal role in photoionizing the surrounding gas, based on the MIR and optical line ratios shown in Figures 12 and 13, consistent with the low bolometric AGN fraction ($\sim 7\%$) inferred from X-ray-to-mm SED fitting by Gao et al. (2025). Following Ricci et al. (2023), we infer an extreme obscuring column density of $\sim 10^{26}$ cm⁻² from comparing the observed 2–10 keV emission to the ALMA 100 GHz flux (~ 2 mJy) measured on ~ 300 pc-scale. While this may suggest a potentially more powerful AGN that is more obscured than inferred from the MIR, the starburst likely dominates both the X-ray and 100 GHz emission of IRASF01364, hence complicating the interpretation. Observations at much higher resolutions would be necessary to isolate emission from the low-luminosity AGN. Upcoming deep observations with XMM-Newton will also further shed light on the nature of the AGN (ID: 096261; PI: N. Torres-Albà).

Given a central blackhole mass of $\sim 10^8\text{--}10^9$ M_⊙ estimated from kinematic modeling (Medling et al. 2015; Agostino et al. 2026), the inferred Eddington ratio (i.e., $\lambda_{\text{edd}} = L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{edd}}$) would be extremely low $\lesssim 10^{-3}$, indicating highly inefficient accretion. This agrees with the recent finding from Rieke et al. (2025) that most late-stage IR-luminous mergers appear to have low accretion rates, challenging the picture of a merger-quasar evolutionary sequence where the final phase of the gas-rich merger is characterized by the emergence of a highly accreting AGN (e.g. Hopkins et al. 2006). Continuous search with JWST in galaxy mergers will potentially uncover many more cases of low-luminosity AGN that do not dominate the excitation nor total

energy budget of the system, as already suggested from previous surveys with Spitzer (e.g., Petric et al. 2011; Stierwalt et al. 2013; Inami et al. 2013) and NuSTAR (Ricci et al. 2021).

5.4. Star formation rate of the nuclear starburst

Due to the relative faintness of MIR hydrogen recombination lines, many studies have explored and advocated for the usage of other bright MIR features as extinction-free tracers of star formation rates (SFR), such as the [Ne II] 12.8 μ m and [Ne III] 15.6 μ m lines (e.g. Ho & Keto 2007; Inami et al. 2013; Zhuang et al. 2019; Whitcomb et al. 2020) and PAH features (e.g. Shipley et al. 2016; Xie & Ho 2019; Hernán-Caballero et al. 2020).

From the observed line flux measurements from region C reported in Table D.1, we derive a total SFR of $\sim 8\text{--}10$ M_⊙ yr⁻¹ from [Ne II] and [Ne III], accounting for contribution from the AGN using the [Ne V] 14.3 μ m line, following calibrations provided in Zhuang et al. (2019). The values derived from observed fluxes of 6.2, 7.7 and 11.3 μ m PAH features (Table B.1) based on prescriptions from Shipley et al. (2016) are lower, at $\sim 2\text{--}6$ M_⊙ yr⁻¹. Assuming Case B recombination (Hummer & Storey 1987), we derive SFR ~ 4 M_⊙ yr⁻¹ from the observed Pf α and Hu α line flux, with H α -based calibrations from Murphy et al. (2011). We note that the inferred intrinsic H α luminosity is about five to ten times higher than the extinction-corrected H α luminosity calculated within region C from the KCWI datasets, further confirming the limitation of optical lines for probing the heavy nuclear obscuration in IRASF01364.

The general agreement between values derived from PAH and hydrogen recombination lines is expected as both prescriptions were calibrated on H α measurements (Murphy et al. 2011; Shipley et al. 2016). The AGN and shock from the outflow may have played a role in reducing the PAH emission via destruction of small grains (Zhang et al. 2022; García-Bernete et al. 2024). Meanwhile, since both hydrogen recombination lines and neon lines trace the ionizing photon rates (Ho & Keto 2007; Murphy et al. 2011), the relatively lower values derived from the former are likely due to their faint emission blending partially with the underlying strong MIR continuum and PAH emission, hence we consider the estimates from the much brighter neon lines to be more reliable.

Previous studies of IRASF01364 based on high-resolution ($< 0''.1 = 90$ pc) NIR Bry (U et al. 2019) and radio continuum observations (Barcos-Muñoz et al. 2017; Song et al. 2022) yield nuclear SFR of $50\text{--}60$ M_⊙ yr⁻¹, which is about five times higher than the estimates based on MIR neon lines. There are several potential causes for the discrepancy: First, the MIR lines may still suffer significant attenuation due to the heavy nuclear obscuration in IRASF01364. If we were to assume that the wavelength-dependent attenuation derived from CAFE applies equally to PAH and ionized gas, the inferred intrinsic SFR from the neon lines would be ~ 30 M_⊙ yr⁻¹, which approaches the values reported in the literature. However, as we note in Section 3.1, it is unlikely that the PAH and ionized gas are subject to the same attenuation.

Alternatively, as shown in a recent work by Robinson et al. (2026) using results from radiative transfer modeling (Efsthathiou et al. 2022), SFR calibrations based on MIR neon lines or PAH features measured in normal star-forming galaxies consistently underestimate the SFR for local ULIRGs by up to 1 dex, which is attributed to a distinct mode of star formation in these more extreme systems. This is also observed by Inami et al. (2013), who found that the neon line luminosities

strongly correlate with the total IR luminosities at low IR luminosities but show clear deviation towards high IR luminosities. Applying the scaling relations derived by Robinson et al. (2026) (their Eqn. 34), we obtain from the [Ne II] line flux, a SFR of $\sim 40\text{--}50 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for the nuclear starburst, which is similar to values based on previous high-resolution NIR and radio continuum observations. Given that the total SFR of the system is $\sim 130 M_{\odot}$ (Howell et al. 2010), and the nucleus dominates the MIR emission as shown in Figure 2, we hence adopt a nuclear SFR $\sim 40\text{--}60 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. A systematic, matched-resolution comparison among multiwavelength SFR tracers across a range of nuclear environments in local LIRGs would further help elucidate the origin of the discrepancy identified in this work and the literature.

5.5. Connecting the outflow, AGN, and nuclear starburst

As noted in Section 5.2, the total mass outflow rate and kinetic energy/power are dominated by those of the molecular gas phase, which are highly dependent on the unconstrained α_{CO} factor. This hinders a direct comparison among the energetics of the outflow, starburst, and AGN to infer the origin and driving mechanisms of the outflow. Nevertheless, we note that the outflow orientation along the galaxy minor axis and the derived outflow velocities (Section 5.1) match what is commonly observed in nearby starbursts (e.g. Leroy et al. 2015; Bolatto et al. 2021; Lamperti et al. 2022). Adopting the SFR estimated from previous high-resolution NIR and radio continuum observations of $40\text{--}60 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, the kinetic power delivered by supernovae (SNe) from the nuclear starburst would be $\dot{E}_{\text{kin,SN}} = 7 \times 10^{41} (\text{SFR}/M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}) \sim 4 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Veilleux et al. 2005), which is about two times higher than the estimated bolometric luminosity of the obscured AGN from Section 5.3. In addition to the mechanical energy ejected by SNe, the dusty compact starburst also provides ideal conditions for supplying strong IR radiation pressure that is efficient in driving the massive molecular outflow (e.g. Murray et al. 2005; Huang et al. 2020). Indeed, given a starburst radius of $\sim 140 \text{ pc}$ estimated from the radio continuum (Song et al. 2022), the inferred star formation rate surface density (Σ_{SFR}) would be $\gtrsim 10^3 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$, reaching the limit of radiation pressure supported maximal starburst (Barcos-Muñoz et al. 2017; Thompson et al. 2005). Thus, the combined power from SNe and radiation pressure from the optically thick starburst may be sufficient in driving the observed outflow with a total kinetic energy of $\dot{E}_{\text{kin}} = \dot{E}_{\text{kin,ion}} + \dot{E}_{\text{kin,warm H}_2} + \dot{E}_{\text{kin,cold H}_2} \sim 2\text{--}8 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, without evoking AGN contribution. The mass-loading factor, given by the ratio between the total mass outflow rate $\dot{M}_{\text{out}}$ ($\sim \dot{M}_{\text{out,warm H}_2} + \dot{M}_{\text{out,cold H}_2} \simeq 70\text{--}270 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) and SFR, is about 1–5, which is also similar to those previously estimated in other starbursts (e.g., Leroy et al. 2015; Bolatto et al. 2013; Fluetsch et al. 2019).

On the other hand, as discussed by Cicone et al. (2014) and Lutz et al. (2020), AGN may still play a significant role in driving massive molecular outflows in starburst-dominated systems due to short-term AGN variability. Observational studies have shown that AGN power can vary rapidly, i.e., “flicker”, over a timescale of $\sim 10^5 \text{ yr}$ (e.g., Schawinski et al. 2007; Keel et al. 2012), which is much shorter than the timescale of outflows and starbursts ($> 10^6 \text{ Myr}$; e.g., Zubovas & Nardini 2020). A more active AGN in the past would also help explain the high SMBH mass measured from previous 10-pc scale studies (Medling et al. 2015; Agostino et al. 2026), given the low accretion level estimated in Section 5.3. In this scenario, AGN winds driven by powerful AGN activity in the recent past




Fig. 16. Ratio between the summed fluxes of $\text{H}_2 0\text{--}0 \text{ S}(1) - \text{S}(3)$ and the $7.7 \mu\text{m}$ PAH feature, with respect to the $[\text{Ne III}]/[\text{Ne II}]$ line flux ratio. Measurements from regions 1–9 are shown in triangles, and region 5 (the nucleus) is colored in red. Region 3 and 7 are excluded due to poor detection of the $7.7 \mu\text{m}$ PAH feature. Measurements with Spitzer/IRS for the GOALS sample from Inami et al. (2013) and Stierwalt et al. (2014) are shown in grey circles, with AGN-dominated systems outlined in red. Star marks the Spitzer/IRS measurement for IRAS F01364. The purple shaded area indicate threshold from PDR modeling (Guillard et al. 2012). All extranuclear regions show excess H_2 emission consistent with previous Spitzer/IRS measurement but the nucleus show low H_2/PAH ratio consistent with prediction for PDR.

may have supplied significant energy to efficiently drive materials out of the disk. In addition, as predicted by simulations by Richings & Faucher-Giguère (2018a,b) for AGN with $10^{44\text{--}47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, some molecular gas may have also formed in-situ in the shocked layer of the AGN wind with moderate outflow velocity of few 100 km s^{-1} , as the swept-up gas cools rapidly within $\sim 1 \text{ Myr}$. The extended ionized gas emission detected in the KCWI datasets out to $> 10 \text{ kpc}$ beyond the nucleus (i.e., region exN and exS; Figures 9 and F.3) may be the relics of such AGN-driven wind. Alternatively, they may be tidal features photo-ionized directly by the powerful AGN, as have been observed in other interacting and merging galaxies (Keel et al. 2024; Finlez et al. 2025). However, the emission in exN and exS do not show clear signature of AGN photo-ionization from the BPT diagram in Figure 13, as commonly seen in “Extended Emission Line Regions” (EELRs) found in nearby quasars and active galaxies (e.g. Lintott et al. 2009; Keel et al. 2012; Sartori et al. 2016; Finlez et al. 2022; Venturi et al. 2023; Finlez et al. 2025, Bianchin et al., in prep.). Hence, in the case that a more active AGN was present in the past, it likely did not reach sufficient power to dominate the overall gas excitation.

Regardless of the driving mechanisms, the MIR and optical diagnostics presented in Figures 12 and 13 suggests that shock associated with the outflow may be responsible for gas excitation throughout the system, which likely gives rise to the excess H_2 emission seen with Spitzer/IRS (Stierwalt et al. 2014). In Figure 16 we show the resolved measurements of the H_2/PAH ratio and $[\text{Ne III}]/[\text{Ne II}]$ ratio from region 1–9, where we also overlay previous Spitzer/IRS measurement from Stierwalt et al. (2014) and Inami et al. (2013) for the GOALS sample (circles), including IRAS F01364 (star). While measurements for the nuclear region (region 5) are consistent with the model-predicted limit for a photo-dissociation region (Guillard et al. 2012), marked in the shaded area, all extranuclear regions lie

above this limit, and approach the values measured on the global scale. The H_2 /PAH ratios also do not show clear dependence on the $[Ne\ III]/[Ne\ II]$ ratio, indicating that the enhancement in H_2 is not related to the hardness of the radiation field due to the starburst/AGN. This provides a spatially resolved confirmation that excess H_2 emission comes from shocked gas in the outflow, rather than direct excitation from the starburst or AGN. In this regard, follow-up MRS observations of bright H_2 emitters identified by Stierwalt et al. (2013) will likely uncover many more cases of powerful galactic outflows such as the one in IRASF01364.

6. Summary

We combined IFU observations from JWST/MIRI-MRS, Keck/KCWI, and ALMA to study the multiphase ISM properties in the late-stage merger and local LIRG IRAS F01364-1042. This system was initially highlighted by Stierwalt et al. (2013) due to its bright H_2 emission detected with Spitzer/IRS. The new, spatially resolved multiwavelength datasets enable us to uncover and characterize, for the first time, a multiphase galactic biconical outflow and a dust-obscured AGN in this starburst-dominated system. We summarize the main results below.

1. The key tracers of the ionized, warm, and cold molecular gas all show enhanced line widths along the minor axis (SE-NW direction) of an inclined rotating stellar disk. The region of enhanced line widths exhibits a clear biconical shape, signifying the presence of a multiphase biconical outflow extending at least 5 kpc beyond the nucleus. Dust is present in the outflow, as visible from archival HST images, and from the derived visual extinction based on $H\alpha/H\beta$ ratios measured from the KCWI datasets, which also supports a picture where the NW cone would reside on the near side, as inferred from the relatively stronger gas emission towards the NW direction.
2. The multiphase gas exhibits different line profiles, with the ionized gas commonly exhibiting a broad component with $FWHM > 500\text{ km s}^{-1}$. We adopted a simplified kinematic model based on similar biconical outflows studied in the literature to explain the observed line profiles, which we attribute to the superposition of a gaseous nuclear disk and outflowing gas along the bicone. The molecular gas is likely more concentrated near the disk compared to the ionized gas, producing the apparent perpendicular motion observed between two. Based on this model, the observed line profiles and channel maps, we inferred an outflow velocity of $\sim 500\text{--}600\text{ km s}^{-1}$, $\sim 350\text{ km s}^{-1}$, and $\sim 200\text{--}300\text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the ionized, warm, and cold molecular gas phase, respectively.
3. Focusing on measurements from the central $\sim 3\text{ kpc}$, we inferred a $\sim 40\%$ flux contribution from the outflow and estimated the multiphase outflow energetics, which is heavily dominated by the cold molecular gas phase, similar to outflows found in other local LIRGs. We estimated a high cold molecular gas mass outflow rate of $\sim 38\text{--}240\text{ M}_\odot\text{ yr}^{-1}$ and kinetic power of $\sim 0.5\text{--}6.8 \times 10^{42}\text{ erg s}^{-1}$, which are similar to those measured in AGN-dominated systems from the literature. However, these values are subject to high uncertainties related to the CO-to- H_2 conversion factor.
4. The detection of faint $[Ne\ V]\ 14\mu\text{m}$ emission with JWST/MIRI-MRS at the nucleus confirms, for the first time, the presence of a low-luminosity AGN in IRASF01364, which was suggested by previous multiwavelength studies. From the observed $[Ne\ V]\ 14\mu\text{m}$ flux we estimate an AGN bolometric luminosity of $L_{\text{bol}} = 1.2\text{--}1.8 \times 10^{43}\text{ erg s}^{-1}$.

Comparison between the observed and the inferred intrinsic X-ray luminosity suggests the AGN is dust-obscured with an obscuring column density of $N_H \sim 10^{23}\text{ cm}^{-2}$. The AGN is also accreting inefficiently based on the high SMBH mass estimated from previous 10-pc scale observations, indicating that the bulk of the SMBH growth has taken place in the past.

5. We estimated the SFR using MIR tracers measured on kpc-scales from the JWST/MIRI-MRS dataset and found lower values compared to previous NIR/radio continuum observations at higher resolution. This is potentially due to MIR attenuation or distinct physical conditions present in the compact nuclear starburst. Adopting the SFR from the latter, we estimate kinetic power from supernovae of $\sim 4 \times 10^{43}\text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Given the additional, high expected radiation pressure from the dusty starburst, star formation alone is sufficient for powering the observed powerful outflow. However, a more active AGN in the recent past may also have played a role by enhancing the molecular gas content in the outflow and producing the bright excess H_2 emission in the MIR, as predicted by simulations. We confirm that the excess H_2 seen on global scale with Spitzer/IRS arises from outside the nucleus, likely a result from widespread shock associated with the outflow.

The results from this work highlight the importance of spatially resolved multiwavelength observations in investigating the nature of dust-obscured starbursts. In particular, we show that the ionized and molecular gas can have distinct behaviors, with ionized gas exhibiting stronger signatures of turbulence associated with the outflow, which could contribute to the differences observed between ionized and molecular gas tracers in dynamical studies of high- z star-forming galaxies (e.g., Rizzo et al. 2024). Additionally, we emphasize that in the case of IRASF01364, the molecular gas distribution and kinematics observed on kpc-scales does not solely trace disk rotation. Caution should be taken when interpreting the cold gas dynamics when information from other gas phases is not available. Last but not least, this work showcases the capability of JWST/MIRI-MRS to unveil low-luminosity AGN hidden in dusty, starburst-dominated systems, which could represent a common phase in gas-rich galaxy mergers.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the anonymous referee for their thorough and constructive feedback that significantly improved the quality of this work. YS would like to thank F. Kemper, A. Prieto, A. Rodríguez Ardila and M. Romano for their helpful inputs on interpreting the PAH features, coronal line kinematics and AGN/outflow properties. VU and MB acknowledge funding support from the Space Telescope Science Institute program #JWST-GO-01717.001-A. VU acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation (NSF) Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Grant (AAG) #AST-2536603, NASA Astrophysics Data Analysis Program (ADAP) grant #80NSSC23K0750, and NASA Astrophysics Decadal Survey Precursor Science (ADSPS) grant #80NSSC25K0169. MB thanks the financial support of the IAU-Gruber Foundation Fellowship, and acknowledges support from the Juan de La Cierva scholarship with reference JDC2023- 052684 -I, funded by MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 y por el FSE+ and from the Agencia Estatal de Investigación of the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (MICIU/AEI) under the grant “Tracking active galactic nuclei feedback from parsec to kiloparsec scales”, with reference PID2022-141105NB-I00 and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). ET acknowledges support from ANID through CATA-BASAL FB210003, and FONDECYT Regular 1241005 and 1250821. MSG and CML acknowledges that this research project was supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI) under the “2nd Call for HFRI Research Projects to support Faculty Members & Researchers” (Project Number: 03382). TG acknowledges support from the Australian Research Council through Discovery Project DP210101945, funded by the Australian Government. DBS gratefully acknowledges support from NSF Grant 2407752. This work is based in part on observations made with the NASA/ESA/CSA James Webb Space Telescope. The data were

obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-03127 for JWST. These observations are associated with program #GO-01717. This paper also makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA#2017.1.01235.S, ADS/JAO.ALMA#2019.1.00811.S, 2018.1.00279.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), NSTC and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. Some of the data presented herein were obtained at Keck Observatory, which is a private 501(c)3 nonprofit organization operated as a scientific partnership among the California Institute of Technology, the University of California, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The Observatory was made possible by the generous financial support of the W. M. Keck Foundation. The authors wish to recognize and acknowledge the very significant cultural role and reverence that the summit of Maunakea has always had within the Native Hawaiian community. We are most fortunate to have the opportunity to conduct observations from this mountain.

References

- Agostino, J., Medling, A. M., Barcos-Muñoz, L., et al. 2026, arXiv e-prints [arXiv:2601.16057]
- Alarie, A., & Morisset, C. 2019, *Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis.*, **55**, 377
- Albareti, F. D., Allende Prieto, C., Almeida, A., et al. 2017, *ApJS*, **233**, 25
- Allen, M. G., Groves, B. A., Dopita, M. A., Sutherland, R. S., & Kewley, L. J. 2008, *ApJS*, **178**, 20
- Argyriou, I., Glasse, A., Law, D. R., et al. 2023, *A&A*, **675**, A111
- Armus, L., Mazzarella, J. M., Evans, A. S., et al. 2009, *PASP*, **121**, 559
- Armus, L., Lai, T., U. V., et al. 2023, *ApJ*, **942**, L37
- Bakes, E. L. O., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 1994, *ApJ*, **427**, 822
- Baldwin, J. A., Phillips, M. M., & Terlevich, R. 1981, *PASP*, **93**, 5
- Barcos-Muñoz, L., Leroy, A. K., Evans, A. S., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **843**, 117
- Barcos-Muñoz, L., Aalto, S., Thompson, T. A., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, **853**, L28
- Baron, D., & Netzer, H. 2019, *MNRAS*, **486**, 4290
- Beirão, P., Armus, L., Lehnert, M. D., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **451**, 2640
- Bianchin, M., U. V., Song, Y., et al. 2024, *ApJ*, **965**, 103
- Bianchin, M., Ramos Almeida, C., González-Martín, O., et al. 2026, *A&A*, **709**, L9
- Bierschenk, M., Ricci, C., Temple, M. J., et al. 2024, *ApJ*, **976**, 257
- Binette, L., Magris, C. G., Stasińska, G., & Bruzual, A. G. 1994, *A&A*, **292**, 13
- Blecha, L., Snyder, G. F., Satyapal, S., & Ellison, S. L. 2018, *MNRAS*, **478**, 3056
- Bohn, T., Inami, H., Togi, A., et al. 2024, arXiv e-prints [arXiv:2403.14751]
- Boksenberg, A., Carswell, R. F., Allen, D. A., et al. 1977, *MNRAS*, **178**, 451
- Bolatto, A. D., Wolfire, M., & Leroy, A. K. 2013, *ARA&A*, **51**, 207
- Bolatto, A. D., Leroy, A. K., Levy, R. C., et al. 2021, *ApJ*, **923**, 83
- Bower, R. G., Benson, A. J., Malbon, R., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, **370**, 645
- Briggs, D. S. 1995, Ph.D. Thesis, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology
- Buiten, V. A., van der Werf, P. P., Viti, S., et al. 2024, *ApJ*, **966**, 166
- Bushouse, H., Eisenhamer, J., Dencheva, N., et al. 2023, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10022973>
- Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. C., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, **533**, 682
- Cappellari, M. 2023, *MNRAS*, **526**, 3273
- Carniani, S., Marconi, A., Maiolino, R., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **580**, A102
- CASA Team (Bean, B., et al.) 2022, *PASP*, **134**, 114501
- Cazzoli, S., Arribas, S., Maiolino, R., & Colina, L. 2016, *A&A*, **590**, A125
- Chiar, J. E., Ennico, K., Pendleton, Y. J., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **666**, L73
- Cicone, C., Maiolino, R., Sturm, E., et al. 2014, *A&A*, **562**, A21
- Condon, J. J., Huang, Z. P., Yin, Q. F., & Thuan, T. X. 1991, *ApJ*, **378**, 65
- Conroy, C., & Gunn, J. E. 2010, *ApJ*, **712**, 833
- Conroy, C., Gunn, J. E., & White, M. 2009, *ApJ*, **699**, 486
- Cornwell, T. J. 2008, *IEEE J. Selected Top. Signal Process.*, **2**, 793
- Davies, R., Shimizu, T., Pereira-Santaella, M., et al. 2024, *A&A*, **689**, A263
- Di Matteo, T., Springel, V., & Hernquist, L. 2005, *Nature*, **433**, 604
- Di Teodoro, E. M., & Fraternali, F. 2015, *MNRAS*, **451**, 3021
- Diamond-Stanic, A. M., & Rieke, G. H. 2010, *ApJ*, **724**, 140
- Díaz-Santos, T., Armus, L., Charmandaris, V., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **846**, 32
- Díaz-Santos, T., Lai, T. S. Y., Finnerty, L., et al. 2025, CAFE: Continuum And Feature Extraction tool, Astrophysics Source Code Library [record ascl:2501.001]
- Donnelly, G. P., Lai, T. S. Y., Armus, L., et al. 2025, *ApJ*, **983**, 79
- Dopita, M. A., & Sutherland, R. S. 1995, *ApJ*, **455**, 468
- Draine, B. T., Li, A., Hensley, B. S., et al. 2021, *ApJ*, **917**, 3
- Dudík, R. P., Weingartner, J. C., Satyapal, S., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **664**, 71
- Efstathiou, A., Farrah, D., Afonso, J., et al. 2022, *MNRAS*, **512**, 5183
- Egorov, O. V., Leroy, A. K., Sandstrom, K., et al. 2025, *A&A*, **703**, A103
- Ferland, G. J., & Netzer, H. 1983, *ApJ*, **264**, 105
- Ferrarese, L., & Merritt, D. 2000, *ApJ*, **539**, L9
- Finlez, C., Treister, E., Bauer, F., et al. 2022, *ApJ*, **936**, 88
- Finlez, C., Treister, E., Bauer, F., et al. 2025, *A&A*, **703**, A63
- Fluetsch, A., Maiolino, R., Carniani, S., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, **483**, 4586
- Fluetsch, A., Maiolino, R., Carniani, S., et al. 2021, *MNRAS*, **505**, 5753
- Gaia Collaboration (Vallenari, A., et al.) 2023, *A&A*, **674**, A1
- Gao, T., U. V., Auge, C. W., et al. 2025, *ApJ*, **988**, 185
- García-Berete, I., Rigopoulou, D., Donnan, F. R., et al. 2024, *A&A*, **691**, A162
- Gardner, J. P., Mather, J. C., Abbott, R., et al. 2023, *PASP*, **135**, 068001
- Guillard, P., Boulanger, F., Pineau des Forêts, G., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **749**, 158
- Güver, T., & Özel, F. 2009, *MNRAS*, **400**, 2050
- Heckman, T. M., Armus, L., & Miley, G. K. 1990, *ApJS*, **74**, 833
- Hernán-Caballero, A., Spoon, H. W. W., Alonso-Herrero, A., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, **497**, 4614
- Herrero-Illana, R., Privon, G. C., Evans, A. S., et al. 2019, *A&A*, **628**, A71
- Hill, M. J., & Zakamska, N. L. 2014, *MNRAS*, **439**, 2701
- Hinshaw, G., Weiland, J. L., Hill, R. S., et al. 2009, *ApJS*, **180**, 225
- Ho, L. C., & Keto, E. 2007, *ApJ*, **658**, 314
- Hopkins, P. F., Hernquist, L., Cox, T. J., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **630**, 705
- Hopkins, P. F., Hernquist, L., Cox, T. J., et al. 2006, *ApJS*, **163**, 1
- Hopkins, P. F., Cox, T. J., Hernquist, L., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, **430**, 1901
- Howell, J. H., Armus, L., Mazzarella, J. M., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **715**, 572
- Huang, X., Davis, S. W., & Zhang, D. 2020, *ApJ*, **893**, 50
- Hummer, D. G., & Storey, P. J. 1987, *MNRAS*, **224**, 801
- Inami, H., Armus, L., Charmandaris, V., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **777**, 156
- Inami, H., Armus, L., Matsuhara, H., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **617**, A130
- Iwasawa, K., Sanders, D. B., Teng, S. H., et al. 2011, *A&A*, **529**, A106
- Kader, J. A., U. V., Barcos-Muñoz, L., et al. 2026, arXiv e-prints [arXiv:2601.08791]
- Kauffmann, G., & Haehnelt, M. 2000, *MNRAS*, **311**, 576
- Kauffmann, G., Heckman, T. M., Tremonti, C., et al. 2003, *MNRAS*, **346**, 1055
- Keel, W. C., Chojnowski, S. D., Bennert, V. N., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, **420**, 878
- Keel, W. C., Moiseev, A., Uklein, R., & Smirnova, A. 2024, *MNRAS*, **530**, 1624
- Kewley, L. J., Dopita, M. A., Sutherland, R. S., Heisler, C. A., & Trevena, J. 2001, *ApJ*, **556**, 121
- Kewley, L. J., Groves, B., Kauffmann, G., & Heckman, T. 2006, *MNRAS*, **372**, 961
- Khalatyan, A., Cattaneo, A., Schramm, M., et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, **387**, 13
- Kim, D. C., Evans, A. S., Vavilkin, T., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **768**, 102
- Koss, M. J., Blecha, L., Bernhard, P., et al. 2018, *Nature*, **563**, 214
- Krieger, N., Bolatto, A. D., Walter, F., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, **881**, 43
- Lai, T. S. Y., Armus, L., U. V., et al. 2022, *ApJ*, **941**, L36
- Lai, T. S. Y., Armus, L., Bianchin, M., et al. 2023, *ApJ*, **957**, L26
- Lai, T. S. Y., Smith, J. D. T., Peeters, E., et al. 2024, *ApJ*, **967**, 83
- Lamperti, I., Pereira-Santaella, M., Perna, M., et al. 2022, *A&A*, **668**, A45
- Larson, K. L., Díaz-Santos, T., Armus, L., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, **888**, 92
- Law, D., Diaz, R., Sosey, M., et al. 2025, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15060585>
- Leroy, A. K., Walter, F., Martini, P., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **814**, 83
- Leroy, A. K., Rosolowsky, E., Usero, A., et al. 2022, *ApJ*, **927**, 149
- Lintott, C. J., Schawinski, K., Keel, W., et al. 2009, *MNRAS*, **399**, 129
- Lopez, S., Ring, C., Leroy, A. K., et al. 2026, *ApJ*, **999**, L7
- Lu, S., Xu, D., Wang, Y., et al. 2021, *MNRAS*, **503**, 726
- Lutz, D., Sturm, E., Janssen, A., et al. 2020, *A&A*, **633**, A134
- Magorrian, J., Tremaine, S., Richstone, D., et al. 1998, *AJ*, **115**, 2285
- Marshall, J. A., Herter, T. L., Armus, L., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **670**, 129
- McConnell, N. J., & Ma, C.-P. 2013, *ApJ*, **764**, 184
- McMullin, J. P., Waters, B., Schiebel, D., Young, W., & Golap, K. 2007, in *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVI*, eds. R. A. Shaw, F. Hill, & D. J. Bell, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, **376**, 127
- Medling, A. M., U. V., Guedes, J., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **784**, 70
- Medling, A. M., U. V., Max, C. E., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **803**, 61
- Mezcua, M., & Prieto, M. A. 2014, *ApJ*, **787**, 62
- Montoya Arroyave, I., Cicone, C., Makrolevaditi, E., et al. 2023, *A&A*, **673**, A13
- Morrissey, P., Matuszewski, M., Martin, D. C., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, **864**, 93
- Mould, J. R., Huchra, J. P., Freedman, W. L., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, **529**, 786
- Murphy, E. J., Condon, J. J., Schinnerer, E., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, **737**, 67
- Murray, N., Quataert, E., & Thompson, T. A. 2005, *ApJ*, **618**, 569
- Newville, M., Otten, R., Nelson, A., et al. 2025, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15014437>
- O'Sullivan, D., & Chen, Y. 2020, CWITools: Tools for Cosmic Web Imager data, Astrophysics Source Code Library [record ascl:2011.028]

- Patapis, P., Argyriou, I., Law, D. R., et al. 2024, *A&A*, **682**, A53
- Pereira-Santaella, M., Diamond-Stanic, A. M., Alonso-Herrero, A., & Rieke, G. H. 2010, *ApJ*, **725**, D270
- Pereira-Santaella, M., Spinoglio, L., van der Werf, P. P., & Piqueras López, J. 2014, *A&A*, **566**, A49
- Pereira-Santaella, M., Colina, L., García-Burillo, S., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **616**, A171
- Pereira-Santaella, M., González-Alfonso, E., García-Bernete, I., García-Burillo, S., & Rigopoulou, D. 2024, *A&A*, **681**, A117
- Perna, M., Arribas, S., Pereira Santaella, M., et al. 2021, *A&A*, **646**, A101
- Petric, A. O., Armus, L., Howell, J., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, **730**, 28
- Ramos Almeida, C., García-Bernete, I., Pereira-Santaella, M., et al. 2025, *A&A*, **698**, A194
- Rau, U., & Cornwell, T. J. 2011, *A&A*, **532**, A71
- Ricci, C., & Paltani, S. 2023, *ApJ*, **945**, 55
- Ricci, C., Bauer, F. E., Treister, E., et al. 2017a, *MNRAS*, **468**, 1273
- Ricci, C., Trakhtenbrot, B., Koss, M. J., et al. 2017b, *ApJS*, **233**, 17
- Ricci, C., Privon, G. C., Pfeifle, R. W., et al. 2021, *MNRAS*, **506**, 5935
- Ricci, C., Chang, C.-S., Kawamuro, T., et al. 2023, *ApJ*, **952**, L28
- Rich, J., Aalto, S., Evans, A. S., et al. 2023, *ApJ*, **944**, L50
- Richie, H. M., & Schneider, E. E. 2026, *ApJ*, **996**, 17
- Richings, A. J., & Faucher-Giguère, C.-A. 2018a, *MNRAS*, **478**, 3100
- Richings, A. J., & Faucher-Giguère, C.-A. 2018b, *MNRAS*, **474**, 3673
- Rieke, G. H., Wright, G. S., Böker, T., et al. 2015, *PASP*, **127**, 584
- Rieke, G. H., Buitén, V. A., Goldberg, C. E., et al. 2025, *ApJ*, **988**, 17
- Rizzo, F., Bacchini, C., Kohandel, M., et al. 2024, *A&A*, **689**, A273
- Robinson, L., Farrah, D., Efstathiou, A., et al. 2026, *ApJ*, **999**, 25
- Rodríguez-Ardila, A., Prieto, M. A., Viegas, S., & Gruenwald, R. 2006, *ApJ*, **653**, 1098
- Rodríguez-Ardila, A., Prieto, M. A., Mazzalay, X., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **470**, 2845
- Rupke, D. S. N., & Veilleux, S. 2013, *ApJ*, **768**, 75
- Rupke, D. S., Veilleux, S., & Sanders, D. B. 2005a, *ApJ*, **632**, 751
- Rupke, D. S., Veilleux, S., & Sanders, D. B. 2005b, *ApJS*, **160**, 115
- Sanders, D. B., & Mirabel, I. F. 1996, *ARA&A*, **34**, 749
- Sanders, D. B., Soifer, B. T., Elias, J. H., et al. 1988, *ApJ*, **325**, 74
- Sanders, R. L., Shapley, A. E., Kriek, M., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, **816**, 23
- Sartori, L. F., Schawinski, K., Koss, M., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **457**, 3629
- Satyapal, S., Vega, D., Heckman, T., O'Halloran, B., & Dudik, R. 2007, *ApJ*, **663**, L9
- Satyapal, S., Kamal, L., Cann, J. M., Secrest, N. J., & Abel, N. P. 2021, *ApJ*, **906**, 35
- Schawinski, K., Thomas, D., Sarzi, M., et al. 2007, *MNRAS*, **382**, 1415
- Shipley, H. V., Papovich, C., Rieke, G. H., Brown, M. J. I., & Moustakas, J. 2016, *ApJ*, **818**, 60
- Shopbell, P. L., & Bland-Hawthorn, J. 1998, *ApJ*, **493**, 129
- Silk, J., & Rees, M. J. 1998, *A&A*, **331**, L1
- Smith, J. D. T., Draine, B. T., Dale, D. A., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **656**, 770
- Solomon, P. M., Downes, D., Radford, S. J. E., & Barrett, J. W. 1997, *ApJ*, **478**, 144
- Song, Y., Linden, S. T., Evans, A. S., et al. 2022, *ApJ*, **940**, 52
- Spinoglio, L., Fernández-Ontiveros, J. A., & Malkan, M. A. 2022, *ApJ*, **941**, 46
- Spoon, H. W. W., Marshall, J. A., Houck, J. R., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **654**, L49
- Spoon, H. W. W., Farrah, D., Leboutteiller, V., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **775**, 127
- Springel, V., Di Matteo, T., & Hernquist, L. 2005a, *ApJ*, **620**, L79
- Springel, V., Di Matteo, T., & Hernquist, L. 2005b, *MNRAS*, **361**, 776
- Stierwalt, S., Armus, L., Surace, J. A., et al. 2013, *ApJS*, **206**, 1
- Stierwalt, S., Armus, L., Charmandaris, V., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **790**, 124
- Sutherland, R., Dopita, M., Binette, L., & Groves, B. 2018, MAPPINGS V: Astrophysical plasma modeling code, Astrophysics Source Code Library [record ascl:1807.005]
- Sutter, J., Sandstrom, K., Chown, R., et al. 2025, *ApJ*, **992**, L7
- Thompson, T. A., Quataert, E., & Murray, N. 2005, *ApJ*, **630**, 167
- Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2008, *ARA&A*, **46**, 289
- Togi, A., & Smith, J. D. T. 2016, *ApJ*, **830**, 18
- Torres-Albà, N., Iwasawa, K., Díaz-Santos, T., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **620**, A140
- U, V., Medling, A. M., Inami, H., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, **871**, 166
- U, V., Lai, T., Bianchin, M., et al. 2022, *ApJ*, **940**, L5
- Vardoulaki, E., Charmandaris, V., Murphy, E. J., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **574**, A4
- Veilleux, S., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1987, *ApJS*, **63**, 295
- Veilleux, S., Kim, D. C., Sanders, D. B., Mazzarella, J. M., & Soifer, B. T. 1995, *ApJS*, **98**, 171
- Veilleux, S., Shopbell, P. L., & Miller, S. T. 2001, *AJ*, **121**, 198
- Veilleux, S., Cecil, G., & Bland-Hawthorn, J. 2005, *ARA&A*, **43**, 769
- Veilleux, S., Meléndez, M., Sturm, E., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **776**, 27
- Venturi, G., Treister, E., Finlez, C., et al. 2023, *A&A*, **678**, A127
- Wells, M., Pei, J. W., Glaspe, A., et al. 2015, *PASP*, **127**, 646
- Whitcomb, C. M., Sandstrom, K., Murphy, E. J., & Linden, S. 2020, *ApJ*, **901**, 47
- Whiting, M. T. 2012, *MNRAS*, **421**, 3242
- Wyithe, J. S. B., & Loeb, A. 2003, *ApJ*, **595**, 614
- Xie, Y., & Ho, L. C. 2019, *ApJ*, **884**, 136
- Yuan, T. T., Kewley, L. J., & Sanders, D. B. 2010, *ApJ*, **709**, 884
- Zakamska, N. L. 2010, *Nature*, **465**, 60
- Zhang, L., Ho, L. C., & Li, A. 2022, *ApJ*, **939**, 22
- Zhuang, M.-Y., Ho, L. C., & Shangquan, J. 2019, *ApJ*, **873**, 103
- Zubovas, K., & Nardini, E. 2020, *MNRAS*, **498**, 3633

- ¹ European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova, 3107, Vitacura, Santiago 763-0355, Chile
- ² Joint ALMA Observatory, Alonso de Córdova, 3107, Vitacura, Santiago 763-0355, Chile
- ³ Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany
- ⁴ IPAC, California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- ⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, 4129 Frederick Reines Hall, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA
- ⁶ Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Calle Vía Láctea, s/n, E-38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
- ⁷ Departamento de Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna, E-38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
- ⁸ Ritter Astrophysical Research Center and Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606, USA
- ⁹ National Radio Astronomy Observatory, 520 Edgemont Road, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA
- ¹⁰ Department of Astronomy, University of Virginia, 530 McCormick Road, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA
- ¹¹ Department of Astronomy, University of Geneva, Chemin Pegasi 51, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland
- ¹² Instituto de Estudios Astrofísicos, Facultad de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Universidad Diego Portales, Av. Ejército Libertador 441, Santiago, Chile
- ¹³ Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of British Columbia, 6224 Agricultural Road, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z1, Canada
- ¹⁴ Hiroshima Astrophysical Science Center, Hiroshima University, 1-3-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan
- ¹⁵ Occidental College, Physics Department, 1600 Campus Road, Los Angeles, CA 90042, USA
- ¹⁶ Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands
- ¹⁷ Instituto de Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7D, Arica, Chile
- ¹⁸ European Space Agency, c/o STScI, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
- ¹⁹ Centre for Astrophysics Research, University of Hertfordshire, College Lane, Hatfield AL10 9AB, UK
- ²⁰ Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA
- ²¹ School of Sciences, European University Cyprus, Diogenes Street, Engomi 1516, Nicosia, Cyprus
- ²² Institute of Astrophysics, Foundation for Research and Technology-Hellas (FORTH), Heraklion 70013, Greece
- ²³ Department of Physics, University of Crete, Heraklion 71003, Greece
- ²⁴ Department of Astronomy, University of Florida, P.O. Box 112055, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA
- ²⁵ Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Australian National University, Weston Creek, ACT 2611, Australia
- ²⁶ ARC Centre of Excellence for All Sky Astrophysics in 3 Dimensions (ASTRO 3D), Australia
- ²⁷ W. M. Keck Observatory, Kamuela, HI, USA
- ²⁸ Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA

Appendix A: Information on the ALMA datasets

We provide details on the ALMA observations used in this work in Table A.1, along with the characteristics of the reduced imaging products. The reduction and imaging procedures of these datasets are described in Section 2. Emission line maps for the CO (J = 2-1) line, which achieves the highest SNR, are shown in Figure 7. Maps for the CO (J = 1-0) and (J=3-2) lines are shown below in Figure A.1 for reference.

Table A.1. Information on ALMA observations and imaging products

Observations				
Project	PI	Band	MOUS	LAS
2017.1.01235.S	L. Barcos-Muñoz	3	uid://A001/X1292/X3a uid://A001/X1292/X3c	1''26 8''65
2019.1.00811.S	A. Medling	6	uid://A001/X1465/X228b uid://A001/X1465/X2285	3''88 3''92
2018.1.00279.S	L. Barcos-Muñoz	7	uid://A001/X13b3/X10c uid://A001/X1358/X39	1''15 4''24
Imaging products				
Band	Target emission	$\theta_M \times \theta_m$	PA (deg)	σ_{rms} (mJy/beam)
3	105 GHz Continuum	0'37 × 0'28	−74	0.03
	CO (J=1-0)	0'35 × 0'27	−73	0.54
6	228 GHz Continuum	0'32 × 0'27	40	0.05
	CO (J=2-1)	0'42 × 0'33	73	0.52
7	334 GHz Continuum	0'18 × 0'16	−60	0.20
	CO (J=3-2)	0'44 × 0'37	90	2.20

Notes. θ_M and θ_m are the major and minor axis length of the synthesized beam. The reported σ_{rms} , in unit of mJy/beam, are given per velocity channel width of 10.5, 10.5 and 7.1 km s^{−1} for CO J=1-0, J=2-1 and J=3-2 cubes. In the last two columns we also list the Member ObsUnitSet (MOUS) of the associated ALMA observations and the Largest Angular Scale (LAS), or the maximum recoverable scale, of each dataset.




Fig. A.1. Flux, velocity, and line-width FWHM of the CO (J = 1 - 0) and CO (J = 3 - 2) emission, shown in the upper and lower panels, respectively. The 105 GHz and 334 GHz continuum emission in levels of [10, 30, 50] σ_{rms} , and [5, 10, 20] σ_{rms} , are overlaid in black contours over the CO (J = 1 - 0) and CO (J = 3 - 2) flux maps, respectively, where σ_{rms} are reported in Table A.1. Cyan circle mark region C, which encompasses the bulk of the cold molecular gas emission traced by CO (J = 1 - 0).

Appendix B: Fluxes of PAH features obtained from MRS spectra with CAFE

We provide the observed and attenuation corrected fluxes of PAH features obtained from fitting the MRS spectra using CAFE (Díaz-Santos et al. 2025), as described in Section 3.1.1. In Table B.1, we report values for all features identified with CAFE from the nuclear and central spectra, described in Section 3.1.1 and shown in Figure 2. The fitted optical depths of absorption features of silicate at 9.7 μm (τ_{SiII}), water ice at 6 μm ($\tau_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$), and hydrogenated amorphous carbon (τ_{HAC}) at 6.8 μm derived from CAFE are listed in the lower section of the Table. In Table B.2, we list values for only the brightest PAH features at 6.2, 7.7 and 11.3 μm from spectra extracted with region 1 - 9. These regions are defined in Section 3.1 and shown in Figure 5. The 6.2 and 7.7 μm PAH features

Table B.1. PAH features in the MRS nuclear and central spectra

Feature (1)	Nuclear		Central (3 kpc)	
	f_{obs} (2)	f_{int} (3)	f_{obs} (4)	f_{int} (5)
PAH6.2	0.21±0.01	0.90±0.04	0.66±0.06	2.54±0.21
PAH7.7(C)	0.70±0.02	2.17±0.06	1.75±0.11	5.31±0.34
PAH8.3	0.09±0.01	5.31±0.34	0.22±0.02	0.81±0.09
PAH8.6	0.11±0.01	0.45±0.02	0.28±0.03	1.19±0.13
PAH11.3(C)	0.09±0.01	0.61±0.02	0.22±0.02	1.46±0.11
PAH12.6(C)	0.21±0.01	0.79±0.04	0.33±0.04	1.20±0.13
PAH17.0(C)	0.28±0.01	1.30±0.06	0.35±0.03	1.59±0.12
τ_{Si}	12.0		11.6	
τ_{H_2O}	1.47		1.07	
τ_{HAC}	0.46		0.22	

Notes. (1) Name of the PAH feature or complex (“C”), where the number represents the central wavelength of the emission in μm . (2) & (3): observed and attenuation-corrected PAH flux and uncertainties derived by CAFE from the nuclear spectrum, in units of $10^{-15} \text{ W m}^{-2}$. (4) & (5): same as (2) and (3), but from spectra extracted within the central region (i.e., region C). Last three rows list the optical depths of the absorption features due to silicate, water ice and hydrogenated amorphous carbon derived with CAFE.

Table B.2. PAH features in MRS spectra extracted from region 1 - 9

Region	PAH6.2		PAH7.7(C)		PAH11.3(C)		τ_{Si}	τ_{H_2O}	τ_{HAC}
	f_{obs}	f_{int}	f_{obs}	f_{int}	f_{obs}	f_{int}			
1	0.44±0.04	1.97±0.19	1.21±0.10	4.85±0.42	0.25±0.02	2.36±0.21	7.60	0.46	0.00
2	0.38 ± 0.05	1.68 ± 0.21	1.05 ± 0.09	3.96 ± 0.33	0.22 ± 0.22	1.88 ± 0.17	10.3	0.69	0.05
3	-	-	-	-	0.05 ± 0.05	0.28 ± 0.23	-	-	-
4	0.44 ± 0.1	1.62 ± 0.37	1.4 ± 0.3	4.61 ± 1.0	0.27 ± 0.27	2.0 ± 0.48	7.79	0.37	0.00
5	4.03 ± 0.21	18.1 ± 0.1	10.7 ± 0.6	38.2 ± 2.1	1.05 ± 1.05	8.4 ± 0.52	14.5	1.16	0.30
6	0.45 ± 0.09	1.29 ± 0.26	1.41 ± 0.19	3.4 ± 0.47	0.26 ± 0.26	1.29 ± 0.22	5.90	0.56	0.04
7	-	-	-	-	0.07 ± 0.07	0.08 ± 0.03	-	-	-
8	0.32 ± 0.06	1.14 ± 0.2	0.94 ± 0.12	3.0 ± 0.4	0.2 ± 0.2	1.46 ± 0.22	5.25	0.32	0.00
9	0.67 ± 0.09	2.89 ± 0.37	1.77 ± 0.18	6.77 ± 0.7	0.29 ± 0.29	2.51 ± 0.27	12.1	0.61	0.04

Notes. f_{obs} and f_{int} are observed and attenuation-corrected PAH flux and uncertainties derived by CAFE, in units of $10^{-16} \text{ W m}^{-2}$. Last three columns list the derived optical depths of the absorption features due to silicate, water ice and hydrogenated amorphous carbon.

are poorly constrained in region 3 and 7, hence not reported here. For the other regions, flux ratios measured between these PAH features are visualized in Figure 11. The fitted τ_{Si} , τ_{H_2O} , and τ_{HAC} derived from CAFE are listed in right side of the Table.

Appendix C: Selection of best-fit models of emission line profiles

We illustrate in Figure C.1 below the model selection process described in Section 3.1 for fitting the emission line profiles. We consider the model with the lowest BIC value as the best-fit model, which correspond to a single Gaussian model, two-Gaussian model and three-Gaussian model for $\text{Hu}\delta$, H_2 0-0 S(2), and [Ne III] lines shown in Figure C.1. In the case where the BIC values are the same or have only slight difference of < 50 , we choose the model with less components as the best-fit to avoid over-fitting. We also exclude models that yield a best-fit component with $\text{SNR} < 5$.

Appendix D: Best-fit parameters for emission lines detected in the multiwavelength datasets

We provide the best-fit parameters of continuum-subtracted line profiles of individual emission lines from the multiwavelength IFU datasets presented in this work, using the methodology described in Section 3.1 and Appendix D above. In Table D.1 we list the values for all MIR emission lines identified from the nuclear and central MRS spectra, defined in Section 3.1.1. Table D.2 lists the values for [Ne II], [Ne III] and H_2 0-0 S(3) lines extracted from region 1 - 9, defined in Section 3.1 and shown in Figure 5. The continuum-subtracted line profiles of these three lines are also visualized in Figure 6. Table D.3 lists the values for CO J=1-0, 2-1 and 3-2 lines extracted from region 1 - 9 and also the central region, from the multifrequency ALMA datasets described in Appendix A. These line profiles are visualized in Figure 7. Table D.4 and D.5 report values for the brightest emission lines detected in the KCWI spectra extracted in the seven regions (i.e., exS, SE, SW, C, NE, SW, exN) defined in Section 3.3. The line profiles of $\text{H}\beta$ and [O] III are visualized in Figure 9. For the other lines, robust decomposition is challenging due to relatively fainter emission and/or line blending, hence only the integrated line fluxes are reported (i.e., in Table D.5). The flux ratios of these lines are visualized in Figure 13.




Fig. C.1. Continuum-subtracted line profiles of the $\text{Hu}\delta$, H_2 0-0 S(2), and $[\text{Ne III}]$ lines detected in the nuclear MRS spectrum (Figure 2), shown in black curves, respectively in the top, middle and bottom panels. The model fitting results (in orange curves) and residual using one, two and three Gaussian components are shown from left to right. The individual Gaussian components (i.e., “g1”, “g2”, “g3”) for multi-Gaussian models are shown in dashed blue, red and green curves.

Appendix E: Kinematic modeling of the central molecular gas disk with ^3D Barolo

We estimate the contribution from the rotating molecular disk to the total CO ($J = 2 - 1$) flux measured within the central 3 kpc covered by region C, by performing tilted-ring modeling with ^3D Barolo (Di Teodoro & Fraternali 2015). Agostino et al. (2026) already performed kinematic modeling of the nuclear molecular disk with ^3D Barolo using CO ($J = 2 - 1$) observations with an angular resolution of $\sim 0''.02$ (19 pc), which is more than 10 times higher than the CO ($J = 2 - 1$) observation used in this work that focuses on the extended emission. Here, we assume that the massive nuclear molecular gas disk modeled by Agostino et al. (2026) on ~ 10 -pc scale also dictates the rotational motion on kpc scales and, hence, we chose to model the inner kpc ($\sim 1''$) of the low-resolution CO ($J = 2 - 1$) emission by fixing the inclination and PA to values derived by Agostino et al. (2026) from high-resolution observations, which are 60° and 256° , respectively. The rotational velocity and dispersion are allowed to vary freely. The modeling results are shown below in Figure E.1 in red contours over the PV diagrams extracted along the major ($\phi = 256^\circ$) and minor axis ($\phi = 345^\circ$), shown in grey scales. The model accounts for $\sim 60\%$ of the total CO ($J = 2 - 1$) flux, as shown in the rightmost panel. Region C, indicated with vertical dashed cyan lines in the PV diagrams, covers the bulk of the cold molecular gas outflow that is most visible along the minor axis, while excluding the tidal feature seen towards NE that is most visible along the major axis. We note that the modeling performed here is only meant as an independent assessment of the disk contribution, rather than an accurate representation of the underlying gas kinematics, given that the observed gas motions within the central kpc may still be impacted by the outflow.

Appendix F: Channel maps for the multiphase gas tracers

To better visualize the kinematic features present in IRAS F01364, we present here channel maps for $[\text{Ne III}]$ $15.6\mu\text{m}$, H_2 0-0 S(3), CO ($J = 2 - 1$) and $[\text{O III}]$ $\lambda 5007$ lines, respectively in Figure F.1, F.2 and F.3. We only show channels over the velocity ranges where emission is seen, which is approximately -500 – $+350$ km/s for H_2 0-0 S(3), -600 – $+700$ km/s for $[\text{Ne III}]$ $15.6\mu\text{m}$, -300 – $+300$ km/s

Table D.1. Emission lines detected in the MRS nuclear and central spectra

Line (1)	λ_{rest} (2)	IP (3)	Nuclear Region			Central Region		
			f_{obs} (4)	v_{cen} (5)	FWHM (6)	f_{obs} (7)	v_{cen} (8)	FWHM (9)
H ₂ 0-0 S(9)	4.69		0.62±0.06	60±8	285±19	5.16±0.17	33±4	360±9
H ₂ 0-0 S(8)	5.05		0.43±0.02	48±2	306±5	2.67±0.10	39±3	321±9
Hu δ	5.13	13.6	0.16±0.01	27±8	360±20	0.74±0.11	142±30	646±72
[Fe II]	5.34	7.9	1.66±0.08	-25±8	262±9	5.19±0.37	-35±11	249±14
			0.85±0.08	169±7	198±8	3.26±0.38	163±10	200±12
H ₂ 0-0 S(7)	5.51		1.54±0.12	-40±11	233±10	8.99±0.54	-46±12	246±13
			0.73±0.12	131±13	189±12	4.25±0.57	135±13	194±14
Hu γ	5.91	13.6	0.17±0.01	121±9	423±22	0.43±0.06	87±25	548±50
H ₂ 0-0 S(6)	6.11		0.80±0.02	-6±2	284±5	5.18±0.14	-4±3	306±6
H ₂ 0-0 S(5)	6.91		2.99±0.13	0±6	218±7	14.9±0.5	-30±4	213±4
			1.00±0.14	167±11	178±10	9.15±0.48	151±5	196±6
[Ar II]	6.99	15.8	1.19±0.06	-63±1	218±2	2.81±0.17	-60±1	216±2
			1.10±0.06	162±1	198±2	2.52±0.15	164±1	190±1
			1.18±0.12	-48±22	1211±64	2.86±0.32	-73±28	1220±76
Pf α	7.46	13.6	1.34±0.11	153±12	445±28	2.82±0.19	146±9	407±21
H ₂ 0-0 S(4)	8.03		2.77±0.11	12±4	303±9	13.1±0.2	2±2	318±4
[Ar III]	8.99	27.6	0.17±0.49	-45±3	164±10	0.30±0.02	-55±2	162±7
			0.19±0.55	173±6	182±12	0.35±0.02	153±3	197±7
			0.39±0.16	-10±35	384±22	0.82±0.26	2±18	514±48
H ₂ 0-0 S(3)	9.66		2.85±11.59	-26±10	221±7	18.1±0.6	-35±5	237±6
			0.85±0.31	128±25	202±18	7.10±0.66	155±8	193±8
[S IV]	10.51	34.8	0.05±0.02	-61±4	173±12	0.13±0.14	-60±6	188±13
			0.06±0.01	177±7	217±12	0.18±0.14	170±7	197±16
			0.13±0.05	-28±40	489±50	0.29±0.15	-43±53	532±69
H ₂ 0-0 S(2)	12.28		4.00±0.24	-50±9	209±9	11.6±0.54	-53±5	210±6
			1.67±0.25	124±13	179±13	6.44±0.56	135±7	180±7
Hu α	12.37	13.6	0.50±0.06	170±18	475±42	0.77±0.08	162±17	467±39
[Ne II]	12.81	21.6	5.56±0.32	-53±2	216±3	9.71±0.51	-51±1	215±3
			5.21±0.30	171±1	199±3	9.11±0.48	169±1	198±3
			5.74±0.79	34±17	1030±64	9.97±1.30	32±14	928±54
[Ne V]	14.32	97.1	0.29±0.06	183±24	336±56	0.45±0.08	190±21	343±51
[Cl II]	14.37	13.0	0.56±0.07	41±15	374±34	0.83±0.09	40±12	357±29
[Ne III]	15.56	41.0	1.39±0.08	-35±4	208±8	2.02±0.03	-36±3	204±6
			1.23±0.08	183±3	176±9	1.83±0.03	179±2	177±6
			2.56±0.92	29±18	607±68	4.33±0.39	29±11	613±41
H ₂ 0-0 S(1)	17.04		9.06±0.30	-57±5	196±5	24.2±0.7	-44±3	214±4
			4.69±0.31	128±6	156±6	9.75±0.66	150±4	145±4
[S III]	18.71	23.3	7.11±0.18	50±3	341±6	9.55±0.24	50±3	346±6
[O IV]	25.89	54.9	17.0±3.1	-170±92	1524±219	19.3±3.6	-178±97	1607±231

Notes. 1): Name of the emission line. (2): Rest wavelength in μm . (3): Ionizing potential, in eV. For each line, we list the best-fit parameters of the individual Gaussian components. (4) & (7): Component flux, in $10^{-18} \text{ W m}^{-2}$. (5) & (8): Central component velocity relative to the galaxy systemic velocity, in km s^{-1} . (6) & (9): Component FWHM in km s^{-1} . The instrumental FWHM (see Section 2.2) has been subtracted in quadrature from the reported values. See Section 3.1 for details.

for CO(2-1) and $-450 - +500 \text{ km/s}$ for [O III] $\lambda 5007$. The channels displayed were selected to center around the velocity channel closest to 0 km/s with spacings of 3, 3, 5 and 6 channels for [Ne III] $15.6 \mu\text{m}$, H₂ 0-0 S(3), CO ($J = 2 - 1$) and [O III] $\lambda 5007$, respectively, to account for the different instrument spectral resolutions. In all panels, we additionally show the direction of the kinematic major axis of the stellar disk (i.e., Figure 8), represented in white dashed lines, and the outlines of the NW and SE outflow cones, in dashed cyan lines. The latter were defined based on the FWHM line width-enhanced regions identified in [O III] $\lambda 5007$ (i.e., Figure 9).

Table D.2. Best-fit parameters for [Ne III], [Ne II] and H₂ 0-0 S(3) lines in region 1 - 9

Region	[Ne III]			[Ne II]			H ₂ 0-0 S(3)		
	f_{obs} (1)	v_{cen} (2)	FWHM (3)	f_{obs} (4)	v_{cen} (5)	FWHM (6)	f_{obs} (7)	v_{cen} (8)	FWHM (9)
1	0.43±0.03	170±3	147±7	2.43±0.24	181±4	146±12	1.07±0.93	24±20	277±10
	0.84±0.04	70±5	350±5	4.44±0.31	65±8	337±7	1.20±1.00	101±4	185±12
2	0.33±0.02	-9±5	272±7	1.35±0.08	-20±3	234±7	0.89±0.17	-91±3	220±10
	0.23±0.02	191±2	170±7	1.12±0.07	180±2	185±6	1.33±0.13	23±12	352±7
	0.57±0.09	185±20	507±18	1.44±0.35	106±15	653±51	-	-	-
3	0.03±0.02	-65±5	225±18	0.13±0.01	-70±4	203±11	1.73±0.19	-94±2	168±9
	0.03±0.03	177±7	260±16	0.14±0.01	155±4	222±11	1.40±0.33	-67±7	342±23
	0.08±0.05	53±21	663±108	0.35±0.07	-5±20	599±64	-	-	-
4	0.43±0.10	13±21	235±43	4.23±0.14	42±5	344±5	3.34±1.53	47±49	264±35
	0.16±0.09	175±6	140±7	1.54±0.11	181±3	134±8	5.01±1.21	166±4	167±13
	0.87±0.30	-24±11	526±39	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	1.16±0.02	-35±5	206±9	5.45±0.27	-52±1	216±3	4.65±1.71	-23±6	231±5
	1.01±0.02	184±4	169±12	5.08±0.26	171±1	198±3	1.39±0.23	142±10	187±9
	2.35±1.08	42±15	534±67	5.15±0.60	-12±16	985±53	-	-	-
6	0.67±0.09	-54±4	172±8	2.68±0.46	-73±4	189±13	4.20±0.14	-87±1	171±3
	0.29±0.04	188±9	170±22	4.02±0.38	87±18	343±16	1.29±0.24	-47±6	330±15
	1.63±0.25	113±12	494±22	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	0.06±0.01	-104±10	288±13	0.23±0.02	-55±6	244±13	2.30±0.50	30±34	314±24
	0.05±0.01	120±4	220±13	0.21±0.02	148±4	220±12	2.17±0.52	168±5	215±13
	0.13±0.03	-79±21	680±67	0.37±0.14	-85±45	688±84	-	-	-
8	0.20±0.02	-56±2	186±5	0.94±0.03	-64±2	192±4	2.33±0.21	-18±12	240±10
	0.21±0.02	158±2	208±5	1.01±0.03	150±2	212±4	2.03±0.22	163±10	219±9
	0.49±0.05	-137±22	542±18	1.32±0.18	-59±15	617±27	-	-	-
9	0.47±0.09	-51±5	159±6	3.06±1.52	-75±4	183±12	1.93±0.27	-34±3	157±8
	0.24±0.05	158±15	120±31	4.59±0.48	59±14	336±10	2.29±0.37	15±7	249±6
	1.16±0.21	-33±14	473±16	-	-	-	-	-	-

Notes. (1), (4), (7): Component flux, in $10^{-18} \text{ W m}^{-2}$. (2), (5), (8): Central component velocity relative to the galaxy systemic velocity, in km s^{-1} . (3), (6), (9): Component FWHM in km s^{-1} . The instrumental FWHM (see Section 2.2) have been subtracted in quadrature from the reported values. These parameters are visualized in Figure 6.

Table D.3. Best-fit parameters for CO (1-0), CO (2-1) and CO (3-2) lines

Region	CO (1-0)			CO (2-1)			CO (3-2)		
	$S_{\nu}\Delta\nu$ (1)	v_{cen} (2)	FWHM (3)	$S_{\nu}\Delta\nu$ (4)	v_{cen} (5)	FWHM (6)	$S_{\nu}\Delta\nu$ (7)	v_{cen} (8)	FWHM (9)
1	2.06±0.27	59±7	167±16	2.64±0.70	65±3	125±16	13.9±0.7	61±3	202±8
	-	-	-	4.61±1.41	60±5	260±27	-	-	-
2	-	-	-	1.91±0.53	-21±34	482±71	4.23±0.73	-85±10	183±24
	-	-	-	0.79±0.24	-104±10	143±32	-	-	-
3	1.15±0.30	-125±18	208±42	1.79±0.17	-95±5	167±12	3.73±0.57	-87±8	156±18
4	2.49±0.27	150±6	176±14	6.38±1.27	154±3	149±11	11.9±3.3	160±4	146±17
	-	-	-	2.00±1.23	25±99	257±109	8.5±3.3	32±65	264±71
5	7.47±1.08	131±14	193±16	24.8±0.7	140±2	176±3	46.4±1.8	149±3	171±4
	7.77±1.08	-44±17	209±21	32.3±0.7	-44±3	215±4	67.7±1.9	-41±4	224±6
6	2.90±0.27	-91±5	177±13	7.98±0.19	-87±1	194±3	15.8±0.8	-82±3	182±7
7	1.22±0.37	172±29	301±69	2.76±0.20	116±7	305±17	9.35±0.96	121±10	300±23
8	2.07±0.32	171±16	313±37	1.45±0.41	150±9	157±29	13.1±0.8	96±6	285±14
	-	-	-	5.33±0.75	70±13	358±19	-	-	-
9	3.80±0.27	-26±4	182±10	12.0±0.2	-27±1	180±2	23.6±0.6	-25±2	190±4
	21.9±6.7	103±51	276±61	44.9±2.1	140±4	182±5	77.0±5.3	151±5	163±7
C	13.1±7.3	-76±30	202±38	69.1±2.2	-43±4	216±6	151±6	-34±5	221±8

Notes. (1), (4), (7): Component flux, in Jy km s^{-1} . (2), (5), (8): Central component velocity relative to the galaxy systemic velocity, in km s^{-1} . (3), (6), (9): Component FWHM in km s^{-1} . These parameters are visualized in Figure 7.

Table D.4. Best-fit parameters for H β and [O III] λ 5007 lines

Region	H β			[O III] λ 5007		
	f_{obs} (1)	v_{cen} (2)	FWHM (3)	f_{obs} (4)	v_{cen} (5)	FWHM (6)
exS	1.69 ± 0.10	94 ± 8	409 ± 19	2.61 ± 0.31	75 ± 10	474 ± 31
	-	-	-	0.70 ± 0.12	109 ± 5	120 ± 16
SE	1.29 ± 0.21	210 ± 18	806 ± 58	3.28 ± 0.10	159 ± 6	704 ± 15
	0.81 ± 0.09	146 ± 6	297 ± 22	0.09 ± 0.03	181 ± 8	60 ± 22
SW	0.58 ± 0.09	203 ± 8	111 ± 17	0.79 ± 0.11	172 ± 13	176 ± 24
	0.34 ± 0.07	311 ± 6	48 ± 11	0.29 ± 0.08	287 ± 4	46 ± 14
C	3.46 ± 0.80	44 ± 28	244 ± 24	2.51 ± 0.24	14 ± 10	204 ± 16
	2.93 ± 0.87	200 ± 20	216 ± 17	1.67 ± 0.22	170 ± 7	142 ± 10
	1.75 ± 0.30	137 ± 21	874 ± 65	3.91 ± 0.37	101 ± 8	637 ± 26
NW	0.40 ± 0.10	-75 ± 16	301 ± 57	4.12 ± 0.09	-30 ± 5	688 ± 11
	0.34 ± 0.04	187 ± 4	111 ± 11	0.35 ± 0.03	154 ± 3	66 ± 7
	1.46 ± 0.24	-75 ± 22	970 ± 76	-	-	-
NE	0.64 ± 0.08	119 ± 16	209 ± 21	0.53 ± 0.13	19 ± 31	214 ± 48
	0.22 ± 0.06	224 ± 4	70 ± 17	0.50 ± 0.11	176 ± 9	124 ± 17
exN	2.47 ± 0.15	119 ± 8	393 ± 18	5.10 ± 0.16	115 ± 4	402 ± 10

Notes. (1)(4): Component flux, in $10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. (2)(5): Central component velocity relative to the galaxy systemic velocity, in km s^{-1} . (3)(6): Component FWHM in km s^{-1} . These parameters are visualized in Figure 9.

Table D.5. Flux measurements of optical emission lines detected with KCWI

Region	[O II] λ 3726	[O II] λ 3729	H α	[N II] λ 6584	[O I] λ 6300	[S II] λ 6716	[S II] λ 6731
exS	5.20 ± 1.52	6.17 ± 1.38	10.3 ± 1.0	8.66 ± 0.96	4.01 ± 0.45	1.61 ± 0.12	1.11 ± 0.08
SE	7.75 ± 2.36	2.98 ± 7.92	11.0 ± 0.2	21.0 ± 0.3	3.46 ± 0.19	5.30 ± 0.05	4.06 ± 0.05
SW	3.35 ± 0.39	3.97 ± 0.37	6.21 ± 0.10	4.47 ± 0.10	1.93 ± 0.18	2.95 ± 0.05	2.03 ± 0.04
C	10.3 ± 1.0	15.0 ± 0.8	46.3 ± 0.6	42.7 ± 0.6	10.7 ± 0.3	18.1 ± 0.1	13.0 ± 0.1
NW	6.77 ± 17.37	9.85 ± 4.38	7.81 ± 2.02	10.6 ± 0.3	1.34 ± 0.11	2.45 ± 0.08	1.80 ± 0.06
NE	2.25 ± 0.22	2.39 ± 0.21	3.09 ± 0.50	2.51 ± 0.35	1.32 ± 0.16	1.75 ± 0.16	1.21 ± 0.11
exN	7.92 ± 1.53	8.97 ± 1.35	19.7 ± 1.7	6.75 ± 0.86	1.59 ± 0.21	1.46 ± 0.14	1.58 ± 0.14

Notes. Values are in units of $10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.




Fig. E.1. modeling results of the central kpc of the CO ($J = 2 - 1$) emission from 3D Barolo. The left and middle panels show the PV diagrams of the fitted model of the nuclear rotating molecular disk (in red contours), along the major ($\phi = 256^\circ$) and minor axis ($\phi = 346^\circ$) previously determined by Agostino et al. (2026). The observed emission are shown in grey scales, and area covered by region C is indicated with vertical dashed cyan lines. The right panel compares the observed and modeled CO ($J = 2 - 1$) line profile extracted from region C, shown in grey and red, respectively. The modeled nuclear disk contributes 60% of the total flux contained within region C.




Fig. F.1. Channel maps for (top) H_2 0-0 S(3) and (bottom) [Ne III] 15.6 μm lines observed with JWST/MIRI-MRS. Red cross mark the position of the nucleus based on the ALMA 334 GHz continuum image. White and cyan dash lines represent the kinematic major axis of the stellar disk, and the outlines of the NW and SE outflow cones, respectively. White contours show levels of 0.015, 0.09, 0.3 mJy, and 0.016, 0.24, 0.8 mJy, for the top and bottom panels respectively.




Fig. F.2. Channel maps for the CO ($J = 2 - 1$) line observed with ALMA. Red cross, white and cyan dashed lines are the same as in Figure F.1. White contours show levels of 0.04, 0.07, 0.14 mJy.




Fig. F.3. Channel maps for the $[\text{O III}]\lambda 5007$ line observed with Keck/KCWI. Red cross, white and cyan dashed lines are the same as in Figure F.1. White contours show levels of $0.2, 0.5, 1.0 \times 10^{-18} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ \AA}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.