1	Title:	Gender differences in lower limb frontal plane kinematics during				
2		landing.				
3	Keywords:	ACL Injury,	gender differe	nces, kinematics, landing, volleyball.		
4						
5	List of autho	ors and institut	ions.			
6	Corresponding author:		Gerwyn Hughes.			
7	Postal Addre	tal Address:		Health and Exercise Sciences Group,		
8			Thames Vall	ley University,		
9			Wellington S	Street,		
10			Slough,			
11			UK.			
12			SL1 1YG.			
13	Email:		gerwyn.hughes@tvu.ac.uk			
14	Telephone:		+ 44 1753 697532			
15	Authors:	Authors:		Gerwyn Hughes <sup>1</sup> James Watkins <sup>2</sup> and Nick Owen <sup>2</sup> .		
16			Institutions:	<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Health and Human Sciences,		
17				Thames Valley University,		
18				Slough, UK.		
19				<sup>2</sup> Department of Sports Science,		
20				Swansea University,		
21				Swansea, UK,		
22						

23 Abstract.

24

The study aimed to investigate gender differences in knee valgus angle and inter-25 knee and inter-ankle distances in university volleyball players when performing 26 opposed block jump landings. Six female and six male university volleyball players 27 performed three dynamic trials each where subjects were instructed to jump up and 28 block a volleyball suspended above a net set at the height of a standard volleyball 29 net as it was spiked against them by an opposing player. Knee valgus/varus, inter-30 knee distance and inter-ankle distance (absolute and relative to height) were 31 32 determined during landing using 3D motion analysis. Females displayed significantly greater maximum valgus angle and range of motion than males. This may increase 33 the risk of ligament strain in females compared with males. Minimum absolute inter-34 knee distance was significantly smaller in females and absolute and relative inter-35 knee displacement during landing was significantly greater in females compared with 36 males. Both absolute and relative inter-ankle displacement during landing was 37 significantly greater in males than females. These findings suggest that the gender 38 difference in the valgus angle of the knee during two-footed landing is influenced by 39 gender differences in the linear movement of the ankles as well as the knees. 40 Coaches should therefore develop training programmes to focus on movement of 41 both the knee and ankle joints in the frontal plane in order to reduce the knee valgus 42 angle during landing which in turn may reduce the risk of non-contact ACL injury. 43

44

45

46

48 Introduction.

49

Anterior Cruciate ligament (ACL) injury is a common injury and approximately 70% 50 these injuries occur in sport (Faegin, 1988; Johnson, 1988; Smith et al., 1988). ACL 51 rupture is a debilitating injury and can cause long-term absence from participation in 52 a sport and, in some cases, enforced retirement. Between 70% and 90% of ACL 53 injuries have been reported to be non-contact in nature, i.e., no direct contact with 54 the knee at the time of injury (Woodland and Francis, 1992; McNair et al., 1993; 55 Mykelbust et al., 1997; Griffin et al., 2000). The incidence on non-contact ACL injury 56 in females has been reported to be 6 to 8 times greater than in males competing in 57 the same sports (Chandy and Grana, 1985; Gray et al., 1985; Ferretti et al., 1992; 58 Paulos, 1992; Malone et al., 1993; Lidenfeld et al., 1994; Arendt and Dick, 1995; 59 Gwinn et al., 2000). 60

61

Non-contact ACL injuries appear to be common in activities involving landing (Hume and Steele; 1997, Otago and Neal; 1997), deceleration (Miller *et al.*, 1995) and rapid change of direction (Bartold, 1997). The incidence of ACL injury is therefore relatively high in sports such as basketball, netball, handball and volleyball that are characterised by a high frequency of landing, decelerating and rapid changes of direction (Arendt and Dick, 1995; Griffin *et al.*, 2000).

68

Whilst the muscle moments about the joints of the lower limbs largely determine the movement patterns of the lower limbs, the resulting angular kinematics may provide some indication of the strain on the joint ligaments. The greater the range of

abnormal joint movement (movement outside a joint's normal range of motion), the 72 greater the possibility of strain on associated ligaments (Watkins, 1999). ACL injury is 73 often associated with valgus movement of the knee at the time of injury (Boden et al., 74 2000; Olsen et al., 2004). For example, Olsen et al. (2004) analysed videotapes of 75 game situations in which ACL injury occurred in team handball in order to identify the 76 mechanisms for ACL injury. Three physicians were used to identify factors relating to 77 the knee position such as estimated varus-valgus angle. The results showed that the 78 knee was in a valgus position in all of the 20 cases analysed and the estimated 79 valgus angle was above 10° in 19 of the 20 cases. Therefore it was concluded that 80 81 valgus knee movement is a high risk factor for ACL injury.

82

Since increased valgus angle during dynamic movement has been associated with 83 an increased likelihood of ACL injury a number of studies have investigated the 84 frontal plane kinematics of the knee during landing/cutting. These studies report that 85 females tend to exhibit greater maximum knee valgus angle and greater range of 86 motion (from initial contact to maximum) when landing/cutting than males (Malinzak 87 et al., 2001; Ford et al., 2003; Kernozek et al., 2005). Consequently, the reported 88 greater maximum knee valgus angle in females when landing may increase the risk 89 of ACL injury relative to males. However, the valgus angle of the knee is related to 90 the linear movement of the knee and ankle joints. At present there is little knowledge 91 of the relative contribution of the linear movements of the knee and ankle joints to the 92 reported greater valgus angle in females compared with males during landing. During 93 a two-footed landing manoeuvre, the distances between corresponding joints in the 94 right and left leg, i.e., distance between right and left knees, (inter-joint distances) 95 may provide more insight into the influence of the linear movements of the knee and 96

ankle joints on the increased valgus angle of the knee in females than looking at theknee joint in isolation.

99

100 Aim.

The aim of the study was to investigate the effects of gender on knee valgus angle and inter-knee and inter-ankle distances in university volleyball players performing block jump landings.

104

105 Methods.

106

107 Subjects.

Data were obtained for six male (Mean age  $21.6 \pm 3.3$  years, mass  $70.1 \pm 3.1$  kg and height  $175.7 \pm 8.6$  cm) and six female (Mean age  $21.2 \pm 1.3$  years, mass  $57.6 \pm 7.5$ kg and height  $164.8 \pm 7.5$  cm) university volleyball players. All subjects were right leg dominant and had no previous history of hip/knee or ankle injury. Written consent forms approved by the departmental ethics committee were signed by all subjects prior to data collection.

114

115 Measurement system.

Two adjacent AMTI force platforms embedded into the laboratory floor sampling at 600 Hz were used to measure ground reaction force to determine initial ground contact of right and left legs on landing. A 12 camera Vicon 512 system (Vicon, Oxford, England) sampling at 120 Hz was used to determine 3D coordinates of 16 retro-reflective markers (25 mm diameter). Markers were placed directly on the skin

over anatomical landmarks in accordance with the Vicon system's lower body plug-in 121 gait marker set; right and left anterior superior iliac spines, right and left posterior 122 superior iliac spines, lower lateral surface of the right and left thigh along the line 123 between the hip and knee joint markers, right and left lateral epicondyle the femur, 124 lower lateral surface of the right and left tibia along the line between knee and ankle 125 joint markers, right and left lateral malleolus, superior proximal end of the second 126 metatarsal of the right and left foot, posterior aspect of the Achilles tendon of the left 127 and right leg at the same height as the second metatarsal marker. From the location 128 of the markers placed on the body, combined with required anthropometric 129 130 measurements (height, weight, leg length, knee width and ankle width) of each subject, the Vicon system calculated the 3D coordinates of hip, knee and ankle joint 131 centres which were used to determine the thigh and shank segment local reference 132 planes. In the plug-in gait system, the measurement of knee valgus/varus angle was 133 determined as the Euler angle of the shank segment reference frame relative to the 134 thigh segment reference plane rotated in the order 1) flexion/extension, 2) 135 valgus/varus, 3) internal/external rotation. The valgus/varus angle is the angle 136 between the distal extension of the thigh axis and the shank axis. A positive angle 137 indicates varus and a negative angle indicates valgus (Figure 1). Inter-joint distances 138 were calculated as the linear distance in 3D between the corresponding lower limb 139 joint centres of the right and left leg (i.e., distance between right and left knee joint 140 centres) for the knee and ankle joints. Based on a frequency content analysis of the 141 3D coordinate data, marker trajectories were filtered using a Woltring Filter with a 142 low-pass cut-off frequency of 10 Hz and stop-band frequency of 30 Hz. 143

144

145 Figure 1 about here.

146 \_\_\_\_\_

147

#### 148 Testing procedure.

The laboratory was set up with a rope fixed horizontally to act as a volleyball net at a 149 height of 2.43 m for male subjects and 2.24 m for female subjects (height of a 150 standard volleyball net). The net was placed 5 cm in front of and parallel to the 151 adjacent force platforms. In addition to the net, a volleyball was suspended from the 152 ceiling so that it was positioned 5 cm above the height of the net (2.48 m for males 153 and 2.29 m for females) and with the centre of the ball 10 cm in front of the line of the 154 net (the other side of the net to where the subject (blocker) was standing). The ball 155 was positioned vertically above the line separating the two force platforms. The 156 jumping and landing task was made as realistic as possible by having subjects 157 attempt to block an actual spike performed by an experienced volleyball player. At 158 the start of each trial, the subject stood with each foot on a separate force plate. The 159 subject then timed his/her blocking action in order to try to block the ball as it was 160 spiked. The ball was spiked from the same suspended position in order to eliminate 161 variation in the position and velocity of the ball. On landing, each foot landed on a 162 separate force plate. Following appropriate warm up and practice, data was recorded 163 for three successful trials for each subject. 164

165

166 Data analysis.

The angular displacement of the knee (mean data for right and left legs combined) in the frontal (valgus/varus) plane along with the inter-knee and inter-ankle distances were determined between initial ground contact and the end of landing, which was defined as, depending on which occurred later in each trial, either maximum knee

flexion or maximum knee valgus angle. Time – series data were then normalised with 171 respect to average trial time. Inter-joint distances were also normalised to height to 172 account for gender differences in body size (expressed as percentage height, %ht). 173 Independent-samples t-tests were carried out on the angular displacement and inter-174 joint data at initial ground contact, maximum and/or minimum values and range of 175 motion to examine gender differences. Due to multiple t-tests (15) being carried out 176 on samples taken from the same population, to reduce the chance of type I error, a 177 Bonferroni adjustment was made to the alpha level. 178

179

180 **Results.** 

181

190

182 Knee valgus/varus angle.

Figure 2 shows females contacted the ground in a slight valgus position (–ve values) which progressively increased between initial ground contact and the end of landing. Males, however, contacted the ground in a slight valgus position and moved into a slight varus position (+ve values) at the end of landing (Table 1 and Figure 2). The valgus angle at initial ground contact was not significantly different between males and females. However, the range of motion and the maximum valgus angle were significantly greater in females compared with males (Table 1 and Figure 2).

198

199 Inter knee and inter ankle displacements.

There was no significant difference in absolute or relative inter-knee distance at initial 200 ground contact between males and females. The absolute minimum inter-knee 201 distance was significantly longer for males than females but there was no significant 202 difference in the relative minimum inter-knee distance between males and females. 203 The change in both absolute and relative inter-knee distance between initial ground 204 contact and the end of landing was significantly smaller for males than females. 205 There was no significant difference between males and females in absolute or 206 relative inter-ankle distance at ground contact or minimum distance. However, the 207 change in absolute and relative inter-ankle distance between initial ground contact 208 209 and the end of landing was significantly greater in males than females (Table 1 and Figures 3 and 4). 210

211

Figure 3 about here.

213 \_\_\_\_\_

215

- Figure 4 about here.
- 217 218

## 219 **Discussion and Implications.**

220

221 Knee valgus/varus angle.

The results show that females exhibited significantly greater maximum knee valgus angle and significantly greater range of motion of knee valgus angle than males

(Table 1 and Figure 2). This finding is supported by a number of previous studies 224 (Malinzak et al., 2001; Ford et al., 2003; Kernozek et al., 2005). However, the values 225 reported in this study are different to previous results, particularly for females. For 226 example, Kernozek et al. (2005) reported values of 0.7 ± 6.9° for males and -24.9 ± 227 8.5° for females for maximum knee valgus angle (valgus -ve / varus +ve), compared 228 with  $0.6^{\circ} \pm 9.1$  for males and  $-10.4^{\circ} \pm 7.7$  for females in this study. There are a 229 number of possible reasons for these differences which include subjects' playing 230 standard and task demands. For example, in Kernozek et al. (2005) the subjects 231 used were recreational athletes whereas university athletes were used in this study. 232 Also, the effect of opposition in the present study may have resulted in differing levels 233 of conscious control over the landing manoeuvre than in the Kernozek et al. (2005) 234 study which involved an unopposed drop landing task. 235

236

Since increased knee valgus angle during landing has been associated with increased risk of ACL injury (Boden *et al.*, 2000; Olsen *et al.*, 2004), the increased knee valgus angle exhibited by females compared with males during landing in the present study may suggest an increased risk of ACL injury in females compared with males. This in turn may be associated with the increased incidence of non-contact ACL injury in females compared with males.

243

<sup>244</sup> Inter knee and inter ankle displacements.

The results of the inter-knee distances indicate that females' knees move significantly closer together and move through a greater absolute and relative distance during landing than males (Table 1), which is also reported by Ford *et al.* (2003). In the Ford

et al. (2003) study, inter-knee distance was measured from markers placed on the 248 lateral epicondyles of each femur, whereas in this study inter-knee distance was 249 measured from estimated knee joint centres. Each estimated knee joint centre 250 incorporates an offset equivalent to the sum of half the knee width and the marker 251 radius. The knee joint centre is located as the offset from the marker located on the 252 lateral epicondyle the femur in a direction perpendicular to the line from the hip joint 253 centre to lateral epicondyle the femur marker. To compare the data from this study 254 with that of Ford et al. (2003) the average knee offsets of 122.1 mm for males and 255 117.2 mm for females were applied to the Ford *et al.* (2003) data. The amended Ford 256 et al. (2003) data for minimum inter-knee distance (males: 223.9 mm ± 6; females 257 203.8 mm  $\pm$  6) is similar to the results of the present study (males: 233.7 mm  $\pm$  39.4; 258 females: 200.0 mm ± 34.5). However, the amended Ford et al. (2003) data for inter-259 knee displacement during landing (males: 53 mm  $\pm$  5; females: 73 mm  $\pm$  5) indicate 260 greater displacement compared with the present results (males: 10.2 mm ± 16.5; 261 females: 27.9 mm ± 18.0). 262

263

To our knowledge, no data has been reported for inter-ankle distances during two-264 footed landing manoeuvres. Therefore no comparisons can be made between the 265 results of this study and previous studies. The inter-ankle results indicate that, after 266 initial ground contact, the ankle joint linear motion was greater in males than females 267 in both absolute and relative terms. From Table 1 and Figures 3 and 4 it can be seen 268 that males' ankles are wider apart at initial ground contact and move together more 269 quickly than in females for the first 40% of normalised contact time. Thereafter, the 270 inter-ankle distance is similar in males and females. This is likely to be because the 271 heels are in contact with the ground during this period. The movement patterns 272

indicate that after the toes make contact with the ground, females' ankles move 273 vertically downward to the ground until the heels make contact, whereas for males, 274 the ankles are brought in towards each other as the heels move down to the ground. 275 When looking at the simultaneous linear motion of the knees and ankles on landing 276 (Figures 3 and 4), a continuous inward movement of the ankles is shown by males 277 and females, however, this inward movement of the ankles is greater in males than 278 females. At the same time, the movement of the knees in males show an out - in -279 out action resulting in minimum net movement. In contrast, the females' knees show 280 continuous inward movement. 281

282

### 283 Conclusions.

284

During two-footed landing females exhibited significantly greater maximum valgus 285 angle and range of motion of knee valgus angle than males. Furthermore, the 286 absolute and relative inter-knee displacement during landing was significantly greater 287 in females than males, whereas absolute and relative inter-ankle displacement during 288 landing was significantly smaller in females than males. These results indicate that 289 the greater knee valgus angle exhibited by females during landing may be influenced 290 by gender differences in the combined linear movements of the knee and ankle joints 291 rather than the knees in isolation. This greater knee valgus angle in females may 292 increase the risk of ligament strain in females relative to males which may contribute 293 to the gender difference in the incidence of non-contact ACL injury. Coaches should 294 therefore incorporate exercises into training programmes to reduce the knee valgus 295 angle in females during two-footed landing. Furthermore, these exercises should 296

- focus on the movement of the ankles as well as the knees in reducing knee valgus
- during landing.

300 References. 301 Arendt, E. A., and Dick, R. (1995). Knee injury patterns among man and women in 302 collegiate basketball and soccer. The American Journal of Sports Medicine, 23, 694-303 701. 304 Bartold, S. J. (1997). Injury driven change to the fundamental design parameters of 305 the Australian rules football boot. In Abstracts of the Australian conference of 306 Science and Medicine in Sport (pp. 52-53). Canberra: Sports Medicine Australia. 307 Boden, B. P., Dean, G. S., Feagin, J. A., and Garrett, W. E. (2000). Mechanisms of 308 anterior cruciate ligament injury. Orthopedics, 23, 573-578. 309 Chandy, T. A., and Grana, W. A. (1985). Secondary school athletic injury in boys and 310 girls: a three-year comparison. Physician and Sports medicine, 13, 314-316. 311 Faegin, J. A. (1988). Isolated anterior cruciate injury. In J. A. Faegin, (Ed.), The 312 crucial ligaments (pp. 15-23). New York: Churchill Livingstone. 313 Ferretti, A., Papandrea, P., Conteduca, F., and Mariani, P. P. (1992). Knee ligament 314 injuries in volleyball players. The American Journal of Sports Medicine, 20, 203-207. 315 Ford, K. R., Myer, G. D., and Hewett, T. E. (2003). Valgus knee motion during 316 landing in high school female and male basketball players. *Medicine and Science in* 317 Sport and Exercise, 35, 1745-1750. 318 Gray, J., Taunton, J. E., McEnzie, D. C., Clement, D. B., McConkey, J. P., and 319 Davidson, R. G. (1985). A survey of injuries to the anterior cruciate ligament of the 320 knee in female basketball players. International Journal of Sports Medicine, 6, 314-321 316. 322

Griffin, L. Y., Angel, J., Albohm, M. J., Arendt, E. A., Dick, R. W., Garrett, W. E.,
Garrick, J. G., Hewett, T.E., Huston, L., Ireland, M. L., Johnson, R. J., Ben Kibler,
W., Lephart, S., Lewis, J. L., Lindenfeld, T. N., Mandelbaum, B. R., Marchak, P.,
Teitz, C. C., and Wojtys, E.M. (2000). Noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries:
risk factors and prevention strategy. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*, 8, 141-150.

Gwinn, D. E., Wilkens, J. H., McDevitt, E. R. Ross, G., and Kao, T. C. (2000). The relative incidence of Anterior cruciate ligament injury in men and women at the United States naval academy. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 28, 98-102.

Hume, P. A. and Steele, J. R. (1997). Injury prevention strategies in netball: are Australian players heeding the advice? In *Abstracts of the Australian conference of Science and Medicine in Sport* (pp. 306-307). Canberra: Sports Medicine Australia.

Johnson, R. J. (1988). *Prevention of anterior cruciate ligament injuries*. In J. A. Faegin, (Ed.), *The crucial ligaments* (pp. 349-356). New York: Churchill Livingstone.

Kernozek, T. W., Torry, M. R., Van Hoof, H., Cowley, H., and Tanner, S. (2005).
Gender differences in frontal plane and sagittal plane biomechanics during drop
landings. *Medicine and Science in Sport and Exercise*, 37, 1003-1012.

Lindenfeld, T. N., Schmitt, D. J., Hendy, M. P., Mangine, R. E., and Noyes, F.R. (1994). Incidence of injury in indoor soccer. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 22, 354-371.

Malinzak, R. A., Colby, S. M., Kirkendall, D. T., Yu, B., and Garrett, W. E. (2001). A comparison of knee joint motion patterns between men and women in selected athletic tasks. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 16, 438-445.

Malone, T. R., Hardaker, W. T., Garrett, W. E. Feagin J.A., and Bassett F.H. (1993). Relationship of gender to anterior cruciate ligament injuries in intercollegiate basketball players. *Journal of the Southern Orthopaedic Association*, 2, 36-39.

McNair, P., Marshall, R., and Matherston, J. (1993). Important features associated with acute anterior cruciate injury. *The New Zealand Medical Journal*, 103, 537-539.

Miller, M. D. M., Cooper, D. E., and Warner, J. J. P. (1995). *Review of sports medicine and arthroscopy.* Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders.

Mykelbust, G., Maehlum, S., Engbretsen, L., Strand, T., and Solheim, E. (1997). Registration of cruciate ligament injuries in Norwegian top level team handball: a prospective study covering two seasons. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, 7, 289-292.

Olsen, O. E., Mykelbust, G., Engebretsen, L., and Bahr, R. (2004). Injury mechanisms for anterior cruciate ligament injuries in team handball: A systematic video analysis. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 32, 1002-1012.

Otago, L., and Neal, R. J. (1997). Netball pivot landings- is there a correct way? In *Abstracts of the Australian conference of Science and Medicine in Sport* (pp. 262-263). Canberra: Sports Medicine Australia.

Paulos, L. E. (1992). Why failures occur symposium: Revision ACL surgery.
 *Presented at the American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine.* Eighteenth
 annual meeting. 6-9 July 1992, San Diego.

Smith, B. A., Livesay, G. A., and Woo, S. L. Y. (1988). Biology and biomechanics of
 the anterior cruciate ligament. *Clinical Sports Medicine*, 12, 637-666.

Watkins, J. (1999). *Structure and Function of the Musculoskeletal System.* Champaign: Human Kinetics.

Woodland, L. H., and Francis, R. S. (1992). Parameters and comparisons of the quadriceps angle of college-aged men and women in the supine and standing positions. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 20, 208-211.

## 374 List of figures.

- Figure 1. Knee valgus/varus angle: a) Markers placed on skin over bone landmarks.
  b) Derived estimated joint centres and knee valgus/varus angle θ.
- Figure 2. Knee valgus/varus ( $\theta_v$ ) between initial ground contact and the end of landing for males and females. The standard deviation at 1% normalised time intervals in indicated by the vertical lines.
- Figure 3. Absolute inter-knee ( $d_K$ ) and inter-ankle ( $d_A$ ) joint centre distances between
- initial ground contact and the end of landing for males and females.
- Figure 4. Relative inter-knee ( $d_K$ ) and inter-ankle ( $d_A$ ) joint centre distances between
- initial ground contact and the end of landing for males and females.

# 385 List of tables.

Table 1. Group mean results for valgus/varus (– varus; + valgus) angles, inter-knee and inter-ankle distances at initial ground contact (IC), maximum valgus angle (MAX<sub>VAL</sub>), maximum varus angle (MAX<sub>VAR</sub>), minimum distance (MIN) and range of motion during landing (ROM) (Mean ± standard deviation).

		Male	es	Females	
	-	Absolute	Relative	Absolute	Relative
	IC	-2.8 ± 5.9	NA	-1.6 ± 2.8	NA
Valg/var	$MAX_{VAL}$	-2.9 ± 7.9*	NA	-10.4 ± 7.7*	NA
(°)	$MAX_{VAR}$	0.6 ± 9.1	NA	N/A	NA
	ROM	$3.5 \pm 9.6^{*}$	NA	$8.8 \pm 7.8^{*}$	NA
Inter-knee	IC	244.0 ± 33.0	13.9 ± 1.9	227.9 ± 29.4	13.8 ± 1.8
distance	MIN	233.7 ± 39.4*	13.3 ± 2.2	200.0 ± 34.5*	12.1 ± 2.1
(mm / %ht)	ROM	10.2 ± 16.5*	$0.6 \pm 0.9^{*}$	27.9 ± 18.0*	1.7 ± 1.1*
Inter-ankle	IC	310.6 ± 58.4	17.7 ± 3.3	288.6 ± 46.3	17.5 ± 2.8
distance	MIN	269.0 ± 58.7	15.3 ± 0.9	264.8 ± 45.8	16.1 ± 2.8
(mm / %ht)	ROM	41.6 ± 27.4*	2.4 ± 1.6*	23.7 ± 16.5*	1.4 ± 1.0*

390

<sup>391</sup> \*: Significant difference between males and females (p < 0.01).